1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	CENTERS FOR MEDICARE AND MEDICAID SERVICES
12	Medicare Coverage Advisory Committee
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	November 4, 2004

20	
21	Holiday Inn Inner Harbor
22	Lombard and Howard Street
23	Baltimore, Maryland
24	
25	

1	Panelists
2	
3	Chairperson
4	Ronald M. Davis, M.D.
5	
6	Vice-Chairperson
7	Barbara J. McNeil, M.D., Ph.D.

9	Voting Members
10	David J. Margolis, M.D., Ph.D.
11	Brent J. O'Connell, M.D.
12	Clifford Goodman, Ph.D.
13	Jonathan P. Weiner, Ph.D.
14	Jed Weissberg, M.D.
15	Michael Abecaassis, M.D.
16	Kieren P. Knapp, D.O.
17	William F. Owen, Jr., M.D.
18	
19	HCFA Liaison
20	Steve Phurrough, M.D., M.P.A.
21	
22	Industry Representative
23	G. Gregory Raab, Ph.D.
24	
25	

1	Panelists (Continued)
2	
3	Non-Voting Guest Panelists
4	Sam Klein, M.D., M.S.
5	Henry Buchwald, M.D., Ph.D
6	Harvey Sugerman, M.D.
7	
8	Executive Secretary
9	Kimberly Long
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS
2	Page
3	
4	Opening Remarks
5	Ronald Davis/Kimberly Long/
6	Steve Phurrough 7
7	

8	Introduction of Panel	11
9		
10	CMS Summary of Evidence	and Presentation
11	of Voting Questions	
12	Ross Brechner, M.D., M	.S., M.P.H. 17
13		
14	Questions from Panel	38
15		
16	Scheduled Public Comment	S
17	Bruce Wolfe, M.D.	44
18	David Flum, M.D.	53
19	Thomas Wadden, Ph.D.	59
20	Sasha Stiles, M.D.	63
21	Robert Straddiff	67
22	Stella Tanner	70
23	Walter Pories, M.D.	71
24	David Flum, M.D.	74
25	Mary Lee Watts, M.P.H	., R.D. 81

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS (Contin	ued)
2			
3	Scheduled Public Comments (Con	tinued)
4	Josef E. Fischer, M.D., F.A.C.	S. 85	5
5	John B. Dixon, M.B.B.S., Ph.I). 8	39
6	Jeff W. Allen, M.D., F.A.C.S.	93	3
7	David Provost, M.D.	97	
8	Barry L. Fisher, M.D., F.A.C.S	S . 10)1
9	Douglas S. Hess, M.D.	106	
10	Robin Blackstone, M.D., F.A.	C.S.	109
11	Marc Bessler, M.D.	114	
12	Christopher D. Still, D.O.	118	
13	Karen Schoelles, M.D.	122	
14	Robert A. Rabkin, M.D., F.A.	C.S.	126
15	Sondra Albers 1	30	
16	Pamela Rogers	133	
17	Eldith Willis 13'	7	

19	Questions from Panel	142
20		
21	Open Public Comments	
22	Monica Ganz	162
23	William Denman, M.D.	164
24	Henry Alder	167
25	Nick Christeau, M.D.	168
		6
1		
2	TABLE OF CONTEN	TS (Continued)
3		
4	Open Public Comments (cont	inued)
5	John Kral, M.D.	172
6	George Cowan, M.D.	175

8	Lunch	181	
9			
10	Open Panel Discussions		
11	Ronald M. Davis, M.D.		181
12			
13	Formal Remarks and Vote		265
14			
15	Closing Remarks		285
16			
17	Adjournment		
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

1	PANEL PROCEEDINGS
2	(The meeting was called to order at
3	8:06 a.m., Thursday, November 4, 2004.)
4	MS. LONG: Good morning and welcome,
5	committee chairperson, members and guests. I am
6	Kimberly Long, an executive secretary for the
7	Medicare Coverage Advisory Committee. The
8	committee is here today to discuss the evidence,
9	hear presentations and public comment, and make
10	recommendations regarding the use of bariatric
11	surgery for the treatment of morbid obesity.
12	The following announcement addresses
13	conflict of interest issues associated with this
14	meeting and is made part of the record to preclude
15	even the appearance of impropriety. The conflict
16	of interest statutes prohibit special government
17	employees from participating in matters that could

18	affect their or their employers' financial
19	interests. To determine if any conflict existed,
20	the Agency reviewed all financial interests
21	reported by the committee participants. The
22	Agency has determined that all members may
23	participate in the matters before the committee
24	today. With respect to all other participants, we

ask in the interest of fairness that all persons

25

- 1 making statements or presentations disclose any
- 2 current or previous financial involvement with any
- 3 company engaged in bariatric surgery or products
- 4 used in the surgery. This includes direct
- 5 financial investments, consulting fees, and
- 6 significant institutional support. If you haven't

7	already received a disclosure statement, they are
8	available at the table outside of this room.
9	We ask that all presenters adhere to
10	their time limits. We have a large number of
11	presenters to hear from today and a very tight
12	agenda, and therefore cannot allow extra time.
13	There is a timer at the podium that you should
14	follow.
15	And now I would like to turn the
16	meeting over to Dr. Steve Phurrough.
17	DR. PHURROUGH: Thank you, and let me
18	also welcome the public as well as the panel. We
19	appreciate your time and efforts to assist us in
20	this particular endeavor. We think this is an
21	important issue. Just to clarify what currently

Medicare is considering, we have current coverage

decisions on our records about what we do and

don't pay for in the arena of bariatric surgery.

We made some policy decisions this year that

22

23

24

- 1 allows us to consider either expanding or
- 2 contracting that particular coverage. The actual
- 3 things that we do or don't pay for has not changed
- 4 and the purpose of this meeting is to get some
- 5 expert advice on what the evidence demonstrates
- 6 around the benefits of bariatric surgery in our
- 7 population, both the over and under 65 population
- 8 that we have responsibility for.
- 9 There are obviously a whole host of
- 10 other issues around the treatment of obesity other
- 11 than bariatric surgery. We are not addressing
- 12 those today. If you are here to advocate for
- these, let me suggest that you save that for
- 14 another meeting that we will have in the near
- 15 future around other issues other than bariatric
- surgery. Today's question is what's the evidence
- around the use of bariatric surgery, if in fact a

- patient is determined by their physician to be
- 19 eligible for that.
- We will take the information that we
- 21 receive from the panel today and use that
- information to determine whether in fact we should
- 23 open a national coverage determination to
- 24 potentially change our current coverage policies.
- 25 That's the purpose of this meeting today, we think

- 1 it's a very important question for our patient
- 2 population and we look forward to both input from
- 3 our guests today as well as the panel discussion,
- 4 so thank you again.
- 5 DR. DAVIS: Thank you very much,
- 6 Dr. Phurrough and Kim. Let me just make a few

- 7 comments myself and kick off the meeting. I'm
- 8 Dr. Ron Davis, I am director of the Center for
- 9 Health Promotion and Disease Prevention at the
- 10 Henry Ford Health System in Detroit, and pleased
- 11 to have the opportunity to chair the Medicare
- 12 Coverage Advisory Committee in this particular
- meeting, and in a few moments we will go around
- 14 the table and ask members of the committee to
- introduce themselves and make any conflict of
- interest disclosures that might be appropriate.
- I do want to thank in advance the
- presenters and CMS staff and others who have
- reviewed the literature and presented an abundance
- 20 of information to the members of the committee and
- 21 who will do so throughout the meeting, and also
- the members of the committee for their
- participation. And we do have a rather heavy
- agenda, no pun intended, so I will do my best to
- 25 keep us on track as we move through all of the

- 1 people who have requested the opportunity to
- 2 address the committee, and still allowing the
- 3 committee enough opportunity to have full
- 4 discussion amongst the members of the committee.
- 5 Then of course we have the questions
- 6 that we have been asked to answer, which will be
- 7 taken up toward the end of the meeting and if we
- 8 have time, we will have open discussion about
- 9 other topics of interest, areas where more
- 10 research might be needed, the query that CMS
- posted on its web site as to whether a registry of
- persons who have had bariatric surgery might be
- beneficial, and so on.
- So, let me now proceed again to
- introduce myself and to review conflict of
- 16 interest disclosures for myself. The formal

17	disclosure that I made in writing to CMS staff or
18	by e-mail, I should say, was that no, I have not
19	received financial support from any company
20	engaged in bariatric surgery and I haven't
21	previously served on nor do I currently serve on
22	any advisory committee or panel dealing with this
23	topic, and I haven't been contacted by any party
24	prior to the meeting to discuss today's topic.
25	I do want to make two disclosures,

- 1 though, that are not addressed by those questions.
- 2 My institution, Henry Ford Health System does have
- a bariatric surgery program; however, I have no
- 4 authority over it, no formal role in it, and I
- 5 have had minimal contact with it since it was

- 6 formed. Also, as I mentioned at prior meetings of
- 7 the MCAC, one of my extracurricular activities is
- 8 as a member of the board of trustees at the
- 9 American Medical Association; however, I am not
- officially representing the AMA at this meeting.
- 11 Barbara?
- DR. MCNEIL: I'm Barbara McNeil, I'm
- vice chair of this organization, this committee,
- and I am chairman of the Department of Health Care
- 15 Policy of the Harvard Medical School, a
- radiologist at the Brigham and Women's Hospital in
- 17 Boston, and I have no conflicts.
- DR. MARGOLIS: Hi, my name is David
- 19 Margolis, I'm a dermatologist and epidemiologist
- at the University of Pennsylvania. In filling out
- 21 this form, since they specifically asked, it
- 22 listed two companies, one was Johnson & Johnson,
- and I actually gave a lecture on
- 24 pharmacoepidemiologic techniques to a group of
- 25 medical people there last year. At the time I was

- 1 unaware that they had any bariatric products. I
- 2 have no other conflicts.
- 3 DR. GOODMAN: I'm Clifford Goodman, a
- 4 vice president of the Lewin Group, a health care
- 5 policy consulting firm, background in technology
- 6 assessment of evidence-based medicine. I have no
- 7 financial conflicts or other conflicts. I should
- 8 just mention that last month I moderated an
- 9 invited round-table examining the safety of
- 10 bariatric surgery at the Agency for Health
- 11 Research and Quality; however, this was not an
- 12 advisory panel, it did not make recommendations,
- it was a discussion round table only.
- DR. O'CONNELL: My name is Brent
- 15 O'Connell, I am a physician with Highmark Blue
- 16 Cross Blue Shield in Pennsylvania. I have some

	17	conflicts.	I have	been o	on the	Blue	Cross	Blu
--	----	------------	--------	--------	--------	------	-------	-----

- 18 Shield Technology Evaluation Center which reviewed
- 19 this topic and that is in your handouts today. I
- 20 have no financial interest in the topic. The
- 21 third thing you need to know is that one company
- 22 did contact me offering to provide information and
- assistance, which I declined.
- DR. WEINER: I'm Jonathan Weiner,
- 25 professor of health policy management at Johns

- 1 Hopkins School of Public Health and a health
- 2 services researcher and outcomes researcher. I
- 3 have no personal conflict of interest although I'm
- 4 sure among my 30,000 colleagues at Johns Hopkins,
- 5 all the treatments are being provided, but I have

- 6 no involvement in any of that.
- 7 DR. WEISSBERG: Jed Weissberg. I'm a
- 8 gastroenterologist at Kaiser Permanente and
- 9 associate executive director for quality in that
- organization. Like Brent and Barbara, I served on
- the Blue Cross Blue Shield TEC which considered
- this topic but have no financial interests.
- DR. ABECAASSIS: Mike Abecaassis, I'm a
- professor of surgery at Northwestern University in
- 15 Chicago. I have no conflicts of interest. In my
- department, or the department that I am a part of,
- there are surgeons that perform bariatric surgery,
- but I have no issues related to that.
- DR. KNAPP: I'm Kieren P. Knapp, D.O.
- I am a family physician, past president of
- 21 American College of Osteopathic Family Physicians,
- and I have no conflicts of interest.
- DR. OWEN: Good morning. I am Bill
- Owen, I'm a professor of medicine at Duke
- 25 University, I'm also chief scientist at Wechsler

- 1 International Health Care, I have no conflicts of
- 2 interest.
- 3 DR. RAAB: I'm Greg Raab, an
- 4 independent health policy consultant. I noticed
- 5 in the financial interest question that I was
- 6 given that Johnson & Johnson was specifically
- 7 mentioned and I wanted to point out that I have
- 8 performed research for Johnson & Johnson but have
- 9 no relationship with their businesses that deal
- with bariatric surgery.
- DR. KLEIN: I'm Sam Klein, the director
- of the Center for Human Nutrition at Washington
- 13 University School of Medicine in St. Louis. I
- 14 receive research support from TransNeuronics for a
- multicenter trial that's being conducted in this

16	country, and am also on the medical advisory board
17	of EnteroMedics. I was recently on a panel from
18	the American Society for Bariatric Surgery that
19	evaluated gastric obesity surgery.
20	DR. BUCHWALD: I'm Henry Buchwald, I am
21	professor of surgery at the University of
22	Minnesota, I am a guest panelist and I have been
23	in this field for many years. I am a practicing
24	surgeon as well as a professor of surgery in the

field of bariatrics. I am past president of the

25

- 1 American Society of Bariatric Surgery and the
- 2 International Federation of Surgery for Obesity.
- 3 I've participated in many seminars on bariatric
- 4 surgery and I consult for Ethicon and

- 5 Transneuronics, and I have recently been called in
- 6 a telephone conversation by Blue Cross Blue
- 7 Shield.
- 8 DR. SUGERMAN: I'm Harvey Sugerman, I'm
- 9 emeritus professor of surgery at Virginia
- 10 Commonwealth University and retired general
- 11 surgeon. I spent many years doing bariatric
- surgery. I am currently the president of the
- 13 American Society for Bariatric Surgery for which I
- receive some financial funding, as well as editor
- of a new journal called Surgery for Obesity and
- 16 Related Diseases for which I also receive
- 17 financial support. I have also been a member of
- the surgical advisory board for Ethicon
- 19 Endosurgery at Johnson & Johnson, and have been on
- 20 speaker panels supported by U.S. Surgical
- 21 Corporation. I was an initial investigator with
- the FDA A trial for INAMED Corporation on the
- 23 laparoscopic adjustable gastric band.
- DR. DAVIS: Barbara.
- DR. MCNEIL: Ron, Brent's remark about

_	.1 .	1		. 1	1.	1 .		1 .			
1	Blue (_ross_	TEC	remin	ıaea	me	tnat	i aiso	sei	rve	on

- 2 that and was on the panel that reviewed it 14
- 3 months ago.
- 4 DR. DAVIS: Thank you everybody, and if
- 5 anyone thinks of another disclosure that they
- 6 forgot to make a few moments ago and would like to
- 7 make later in the meeting, that would be fine.
- 8 I would also like to let the presenters
- 9 know in advance that before they make their
- 10 remarks, we would appreciate any appropriate
- 11 conflicts of interest disclosures on their part as
- well.
- So with that, I think we're ready to
- proceed with the agenda, and the next item is the
- 15 CMS summary of evidence and presentation of voting

questions. Dr. Ross Brechner.

16

- DR. BRECHNER: Good morning. With such
- a distinguished panel, a fellow like me gets a
- 19 little bit nervous giving a talk, and it reminds
- 20 me of the famous scientist who made such a
- 21 phenomenal discovery that he was paid half a
- 22 million dollars for a year to get around the
- 23 United States in a chauffeured car and give his
- talk in different kinds of cities. Somewhere
- about two months into this, the chauffeur turned

- 1 to the scientist and said you know, you've got a
- 2 pretty easy job. You just drive around, give the
- 3 same talk time after time, and you make a fortune.
- 4 Well, the scientist said you know, I worked long

- 5 and hard for this, and I don't understand what the
- 6 problem is with you, but I deserve this. And the
- 7 chauffeur said yeah, but you know, it could be
- 8 done by anybody. I could do it.
- 9 Well, they made some kind of
- arrangement and in the next city the chauffeur was
- dressed as the scientist and the scientist was
- dressed as the chauffeur, and the chauffeur came
- up to the stand and gave a flawless speech and was
- smiling to himself when the moderator said
- suddenly, we're going to take questions from the
- audience. Well, someone raised their hand and
- they said well, look, if we take this data and we
- do a three-way analysis of variance and we then
- 19 take out this confounder and put in this
- 20 confounder, split this group into four groups and
- 21 do this and that, et cetera, what would happen?
- Well, the chauffeur looked at him and said you
- know, that question is a pretty basic and simple
- 24 question. In fact, it's so basic I'm going to let
- 25 my chauffeur answer it.

1	(Laughter.)
2	DR. BRECHNER: With that, I'll get
3	started. I do want to thank everyone on the slide
4	for their health. It was a team effort, we all
5	worked together. In my talk after the
6	introduction, I will be talking about Medicare
7	coverage, the epidemiology of obesity, and then
8	the current mechanisms and types of bariatric
9	surgery. I will follow with our procedure for
10	evidence review and the results, the conclusions,
11	and then finally the questions for the MCAC panel.
12	Obesity in the United States has been
13	on a marked rise for 20 to 30 years until
14	recently, 27 percent of our population is

15	overweight and 34 percent is obese.	Treatment for
----	-------------------------------------	---------------

- 16 obesity consists of modalities like diet,
- 17 exercise, life style modification, behavioral
- 18 modification, medications, some combination of
- 19 those, or bariatric surgery.
- 20 Some definitions are in order for the
- 21 talk. BMI is defined as the body mass index and
- equals the body weight in kilograms divided by the
- 23 height in meters squared.
- Classes of obesity, Class I, 30.0 to
- 25 34.9 BMI; Class II, 35.0 to 39.9 BMI, and Class

- 1 III, 40 or more, known as extreme obesity. Morbid
- 2 obesity is a BMI of 35 or more with at least one
- 3 comorbidity, or a BMI of 40 or over. And the

- 4 percent extended weight loss is the weight loss
- 5 resulting from bariatric surgery divided by the
- 6 preoperative weight, minus an ideal body weight as
- 7 designated in standard life tables, times 100 to
- 8 get the percentage.
- 9 Now CMS is aware that the rate of
- 10 bariatric surgery is increasing. CMS desired a
- 11 review and assessment of evidence including
- 12 quality. We ultimately want to assure that we
- 13 have the highest quality of outcomes. In the
- past, Medicare has paid for treatments for obesity
- if there was a benefit category for that treatment
- and if the obesity caused or was aggravated by
- another disease. We could not pay for obesity
- 18 treatment if there were no comorbidities.
- 19 Some of the language for this is up on
- 20 the board: Although obesity itself is not an
- 21 illness, it may be caused by an illness. Obesity
- 22 can aggravate other diseases. And services in
- 23 connection with the treatment of obesity are
- 24 covered services when such services are an
- 25 integral and necessary part of a course of

- 1 treatment for one of these illnesses.
- Now the language on the top, obesity
- 3 itself cannot be considered an illness, this is
- 4 the language that was removed from the NCD manual
- 5 in July 2004 by CMS. Now we continue to pay for
- 6 certain treatments of all kinds if there is a
- 7 benefit category and if obesity is caused by or
- 8 aggravated another disease. The types of
- 9 treatments that we have for obesity that are
- 10 covered has not changes.
- 11 Congress, with respect to benefit
- 12 categories, determines the services that we cover
- and these categories are listed in the Social
- 14 Security Act, Section 1861. With reference to

15	obesity, these benefit categories exist for
16	surgery or physician counseling, but they do not
17	include exercise, diet counseling for obesity by a
18	dietitian and obesity drugs.
19	Since 1979, Medicare has had a
20	bariatric surgery policy that may reimburse when
21	it's considered medically appropriate and the
22	obesity is related to a comorbidity.
23	What are some of our next steps for

coverage? As Dr. Phurrough mentioned, the public

is welcome to submit requests to expand coverage

24

25

- 1 to patients who do not have comorbidities for
- 2 treatments that have a benefit category. CMS will
- 3 review the policies to determine if current

- 4 coverage of bariatric surgery should be modified.
- 5 The first mechanism for bariatric
- 6 surgery is restrictive surgery. In restrictive
- 7 surgery the stomach is mechanically reduced in
- 8 terms of size so that the amount of food that can
- 9 be taken in is greatly diminished. In
- malabsorptive surgery, the stomach is bypassed and
- the food goes to the small intestine at some level
- in the small intestine. And then there are
- surgeries that are combinations of those.
- Now in vertical banded gastroplasty or
- VBG, a restrictive kind of surgery, some stapling
- 16 is done here and a band is placed here, and this
- small pouch is created. It's hard to fill up your
- stomach like you used to with a small pouch.
- 19 In laparoscopic adjustable gastric
- banding, or LAGB, another type of restrictive
- surgery, the band is wrapped around the stomach
- just inferior to the esophagus, creating another
- small pouch with the same result as the first
- type. This band can be inflated or deflated

9

11

12

13

23

1 done through this access port.

2 Now Roux-en-Y, or RYGBP, which is a

combination type surgery, there's a transection of

4 the stomach, number one, right here, and then 75

5 to 150 centimeters down the bowel there's a

6 transection and the distal part of that

7 transection, lumen is brought up and anastomosed

8 over here and the proximal part is anastomosed

down below, causing malabsorption.

The biliopancreatic diversion with

duodenal switch, the first thing that occurs is a

transection of the bowel just below the stomach

and then once again, much further down, there is

14	another transection, and the proximal part of that
15	is brought up to the stomach, and this is called
16	the new duodenum, that's where the word duodenal
17	switch comes from, and then the other end, the
18	proximal end is connected way down close to the
19	ilium to once again create malabsorption. Notice
20	that in this surgery, there is also a resection of
21	the stomach.
22	With respect to the epidemiology, the
23	NHLBI in 1998 published this table and simply put,
24	the message from this table is that as BMIs get
25	higher, the risk for different kinds of illnesses

- 1 gets higher, and the same thing occurs with weight
- 2 circumference. So when the two of them are

- 3 combined, there is even a higher risk. Some of
- 4 these illnesses are coronary heart disease,
- 5 hypertension, type 2 diabetes, sleep apnea,
- 6 certain cancers, and musculoskeletal disorders.
- Now, in a study by Zizza in North
- 8 Carolina, the number of females that are obese
- 9 throughout the state as compared to the number of
- males at a ratio of ten to nine, almost one, with
- females being slightly higher.
- The RAND TA in the late '70s, they
- reported that from the late '70s to the new
- millennium, Class I and II obesity showed a 50
- percent increase. Persons of an age greater or
- 16 equal to 20, of those persons, 55 percent are
- 17 overweight or obese. They also reported that the
- 18 rate of extreme obesity in Class III had
- multiplied by four between 1986 and 2000.
- Flegal reported that in persons over
- 21 the age of 75, the prevalence of a BMI greater
- than or equal to 35 was 6.4 percent.
- Buchwald in his meta-analysis reported
- 24 that the current percent of the U.S. population

1 :	5 pe	rcent.
-----	------	--------

- 2 Steinbrook reported that for extreme
- 3 obesity, beginning with the early '90s at 2.9
- 4 percent prevalence, extreme obesity rose to 4.7
- 5 percent by 2000.
- 6 The rate of bariatric surgeries is
- 7 increasing. When it first started, it was low,
- 8 less than one per 100,000, in the '90s to 2000,
- 9 progressed from 2.7 to 6.3 per 100,000, in a study
- 10 by Pope of the National Inpatient Sample.
- 11 Steinbrook in the New England Journal of Medicine
- reported that the number of bariatric surgery
- cases in 2003 was upwards of 100,000, which

14	translates to a rate of about 30 per 100,000 in
15	the population. In North Carolina in the same
16	study by Zizza, adjusting for other factors, the
17	odds of a female having bariatric surgery as
18	compared to a male was over five to one.
19	Now in our evidence review, we
20	performed literature searches to locate papers on
21	surgery for obesity. We focused and confined the
22	overall search to April 2003 to the present
23	because at the time we started the search, we
24	started this review, the latest TA, the latest
25	technology assessment that we could review was the

- 26
- 1 Blue Cross Blue Shield in June 2003, and we went
- 2 back a couple of months to allow for the time that

- 3 they had from completing that data to publishing
- 4 it. We extended our search back in time for
- 5 papers on the elderly.
- We found 22 acceptable papers and we
- 7 utilized five technology assessments. The
- 8 technology assessments were the NHLBI assessment
- 9 in 1998, the one that RAND did for AHRQ which was
- published recently in 2004, Commonwealth of
- 11 Massachusetts technology assessment in 2004, the
- 12 Blue Cross Blue Shield from 2003, along with a
- technology assessment done by the University of
- 14 Pittsburgh for AHRQ in 2003.
- Now the outcomes that we looked at, a
- list up there, sustained weight loss, short-term
- 17 mortality, longevity, that is long-term mortality,
- 18 comorbidities and complications.
- With respect to the outcome of
- sustained weight loss, four of our 22 articles had
- 21 data on sustained weight loss, one on the elderly.
- Four of five TAs had data on sustained weight
- loss, but none had data on persons over 65. With

- respect to the sustained weight loss, there is no
- 25 data comparing those with comorbidities having

- 1 surgery to those without comorbidities having
- 2 surgery.
- In the NHLBI tech assessment with
- 4 respect to bariatric surgery, they reported that
- 5 it was acceptable to use VBG and RYGBP, remember,
- 6 this is 1998, if the patient has acceptable
- 7 operative risks, and they also reported that RYGBP
- 8 showed a greater long-term weight loss than VBG.
- 9 In this graph, this is about the
- 10 Swedish Obesity Study for all surgeries combined.
- 11 The first line, to adjust you, is on control
- groups. The next three lines are for surgery.

13	The bottom one is gastric bypass. And these are
14	weight changes that they're showing, the weight at
15	start and weight at the finish. Now for all
16	combined surgeries, these three lines, there was
17	weight loss of 20 kilograms on average at the end
18	of eight years but for bypass surgeries, it was
19	eight to nine kilograms more than the others over
20	those eight years. This was a nonrandomized
21	control trial of 37-to-57-year olds and it is
22	still going on.
23	Dolan reported that for BPD, there was
24	a greater weight loss in BPD than in banding, and

at two years, showed that it was 64 percent excess

25

2	Sugerman demonstrated that after five
3	years in persons over the age of 60 having bypass,
4	there was a 50 percent excess weight loss, and in
5	that study he reported at five years a 27 percent
6	absolute weight loss, percent of body weight.
7	Gonzalez in his study of persons over
8	the age 50 having bypass reported that there was a
9	68 percent weight loss at greater than or equal to
10	18 months after the surgery.
11	Regarding short-term mortality, seven
12	of our 22 articles had data on short-term
13	mortality, one on the elderly. Three of the five
14	TAs had data on short-term mortality but none had
15	data on persons over 65. Once again, with respect
16	to short-term mortality, there is no data
17	comparing those with comorbidities to those
18	without comorbidities having surgery.
19	Flum, in a study of Washington state
20	patients, reported that the short-term mortality
21	rate was 1.9 percent overall, in experienced
22	surgeons 0.5 percent, and in inexperienced
23	surgeons defined as a surgeon who had done 19 or

- 24 fewer cases, it was approximately 6.0 percent.
- In the meta-analysis by Buchwald, he

- 1 reported that for restrictive surgery, the
- 2 short-term mortality rate was 0.1 percent, for
- 3 bypass 0.5 percent, and for BPD/DS, 1.1 percent.
- 4 And Sugerman in his article on bypass
- 5 surgery in persons over the age of 60, in 80
- 6 patients had no mortality, representing a
- 7 short-term mortality rate of 0.0 percent, that's
- 8 no short-term mortality.
- 9 Pope, in his study of the National
- 10 Inpatient Sample, demonstrated that the rate for
- short-term in-hospital mortality was 0.37 percent,
- and that stayed the same from 1990 to 1997. This

13	seems to be confirmed by Fernandez, who found
14	in-hospital mortality rate of 0.4 percent in his
15	study.
16	In the Massachusetts technology
17	assessment, they reported that the short-term
18	mortality rate for LAGB was less than 0.5 percent
19	for VBG less than 1.4 percent, and overall 0.1 to
20	2.0 percent.
21	In Herron's review, he reported that
22	for BPD the short-term mortality rate was 0.5

- 23 percent to 2.5 percent, and for all the other
- surgeries 0.0 to 1.0 percent. 24
- Fernandez reported risk factors for 25

- 2 weight being higher, age being higher, and being
- 3 male gender.
- 4 With respect to longevity, there were
- 5 two out of our 22 articles that had data on
- 6 longevity, and one on the elderly. Zero of the
- 7 five TAs had data on longevity, none had data on
- 8 patients over 65. With respect to longevity,
- 9 there is no data comparing those with
- 10 comorbidities having surgery to those without
- 11 comorbidities having surgery.
- Now in a study that was a nonsurgical
- modeling by Fontaine in the New England Journal of
- Medicine, the years of life lost were measured,
- and for a BMI of 40 as compared to a BMI of 24,
- black males had more years of life lost than white
- males and white females and black females. This
- varied as the BMI increased. This is for 40, but
- it went, the years of life lost increased for
- 20 higher BMIs across all ages and at an older age,
- 21 the effect decreased markedly and in fact at age
- 22 60, black females actually in his modeling had an

23	advantage,	. 1	. 1		C	1.0
7 4	advantaga	than	mannad	VAOTE	α t	11ta
4.)	auvamage.	$u \cup v$	gameu	vears	OI.	\mathbf{H}
			0	J		

- Now in Flum's study he showed that
- 25 there was a longevity benefit if the patients

- 1 survived to one year after surgery and if that
- 2 happened, they had a 33 percent lower hazard
- 3 ratio.
- 4 Regarding comorbidities, nine of our 22
- 5 articles had data on comorbidities, one, or two of
- 6 them on the elderly; there is actually two of
- 7 them. Three of five TAs had data on comorbidities
- 8 but none over the age of 65.
- 9 In Pope's National Inpatient Sample,
- 10 from 1990 to 1997, in 1990 20.8 percent showed at
- least one comorbidity and in 1997, 31.4 percent

12 showed at least one comorbidity. 13 In Residori's study, he found that 14 there was at least one pre-op metabolic 15 comorbidity in 57 percent of his patients. 16 Gonzalez in his study of persons 17 greater than or equal to 50 years of age, 90 18 percent had at least one comorbidity. Resolution 19 of those comorbidities was as high as 90 percent, 20 although a little lower for hypertension at 56 21 percent. Of note is the fact that one-third of 22 the diabetes mellitus cases and one-half of their 23 hypertension cases that they diagnoses were 24 previously undiagnosed.

25

32

In Sugerman's study of persons over the

- age of 60, he reported that there were 3.8
- 2 comorbidities per patient, as compared to 2.4
- 3 comorbidities per patient for those persons under
- 4 the age of 60.
- 5 In the Swedish Obesity Study, the odds
- 6 for developing diabetes mellitus was six times
- 7 higher over the same period of time in no surgery
- 8 controls as compared to persons that had surgery.
- 9 Now with respect to hypertension, that was a more
- 10 equivocal, there were more equivocally equal, and
- you can see these two numbers here, but when they
- looked at the RYGBP patients, there was an
- improvement in hypertension in the surgical group
- as compared to the control group.
- 15 In Dixon's Adelaide study, he reported
- that just under 50 percent of all the
- 17 comorbidities that he found improved or resolved.
- He also reported that 60 percent of patients that
- 19 had any comorbidity were medication free at three
- years after surgery.
- In the meta-analysis by Buchwald for
- all types of surgery, diabetes mellitus resolved

- 23 in 77 percent, or improved or resolved in 86
- 24 percent. Hypertension resolved in 62 percent, or
- improved or resolved in 78 percent.

- 1 Hyperlipidemia improved in 78 percent. And
- 2 obstructive sleep apnea improved or resolved in 83
- 3 percent. Now, for individual surgeries in that
- 4 same meta-analysis, he reported that BPD had
- 5 almost a 99 nine percent resolution of
- 6 comorbidities, in RYGBP that figures was 84
- 7 percent, and in banding it was 48 percent.
- 8 In Dolan's study comparing BPD to LAGB,
- 9 he reported that the resolution of comorbidities
- was similar after both procedures, 66 percent
- 11 hypertension to 100 percent in obstructive sleep

- 12 apnea or OSA.
- On the outcome of complications, five
- of our 22 articles had data on complications, two
- on the elderly. Three of five TAs had data on
- 16 complications, but none had complications solely
- in persons over 65. With respect to
- 18 complications, there is no data comparing those
- with comorbidities having surgery to those without
- 20 comorbidities having surgery.
- 21 The complication rate in LAGB was lower
- than other procedures as stated in the
- 23 Massachusetts technology assessment. Dolan
- 24 reported in his study a complication rate of 56
- 25 percent in BPD versus a 6.3 percent complication

- 1 rate in LAGB.
- 2 Herron in his review reported that
- 3 bypass surgery had lower reoperative rates, and
- 4 Pope reported that those reoperative rates for
- 5 RYGBP was 1.6 percent, for LAGB 7.7 to 10 percent,
- 6 for VBG 11.3 percent, in his National Inpatient
- 7 Samples analysis.
- 8 The Massachusetts technology assessment
- 9 reported that LAGB had lower wound infection rates
- than other surgeries.
- Felix reported that in all laparoscopic
- cases, there was a conversion rate to open on 3
- percent of the cases and that the risk factors for
- such conversion were higher age, higher weight,
- and being male.
- Fernandez reported that the risk
- 17 factors for leak after surgery were being male,
- having diabetes or having had a laparoscopic RYGBP
- versus an open RYGBP.
- And the RAND TA reported that wound
- 21 infection rates ran from 2.3 percent for

- 22 laparoscopic procedures to 11.4 percent for open.
- 23 Livingston reported that the
- 24 malnutrition prevalence after surgery was 2.5
- percent VBG, 16.9 percent RYGBP, and 5 percent

- 1 overall.
- With regards to frequency of
- 3 complications in hospital, the risk factors for
- 4 complications is reported by Livingston for being
- 5 of higher age and being male. The most common
- 6 complication he found in hospital was pneumonia,
- 7 2.6 percent.
- 8 In Pope's study, of the NIS from 1990
- 9 to '97, he reported that dehiscence after surgery
- decreased from 2.2 percent to 1.4 percent between

11	those two years, and respiratory complications
12	decreased from 7.4 percent to 5.9 percent.
13	In the RAND TA they reported that
14	laparoscopic procedures have fewer wound
15	infections and incisional hernias than the other
16	procedures.
17	Conclusions. There is a paucity of
18	data comparing those with comorbidities having
19	surgery to those without comorbidities having
20	surgery.
21	Weight loss via surgery may be an
22	attainable goal.
23	Combination procedures show greater
24	weight loss than purely restrictive procedures.
25	Sustained weight loss may resolve or

- 1 improve comorbid conditions.
- 2 Short-term mortality is between 0.5
- 3 percent and 2.5 percent, or let's say less than
- 4 0.5 percent. Experienced surgeons have a lower
- 5 rate of short-term mortality.
- 6 Laparoscopic procedures have fewer
- 7 complications than open procedures. LAGB may have
- 8 the lowest complication rate.
- 9 The data on the Medicare population
- aged 65 or more is sparse, especially outcome
- data. There is little data on precise numbers of
- patients with one or more comorbidities in many
- studies. What we need from here on out are high
- 14 quality studies on clinically important gaps.
- Now for the questions for the
- 16 committee. There are two sets of questions; the
- 17 first five questions apply to the persons with
- obesity who have at least one or more comorbidity.
- 19 The second set will apply to persons who have no
- 20 comorbidities and have obesity.
- 21 Question one. How well does the

evidence address the effectiveness of bariatric
surgery in the treatment of obesity in patients
with one or more comorbidities, compared to

nonsurgical medical management?

25

37

1 How confident are you in the validity 2 of the scientific data on the following outcomes? Sustained weight loss, long-term survival, 3 short-term mortality, comorbidities. 4 5 How likely is it that bariatric 6 surgery, including RYGBP, banding and BPD will positively affect the following outcomes in obese 7 8 patients with one or more comorbidities compared 9 to nonsurgical medical management? Weight loss sustained, long-term survival, short-term 10

mortality, and comorbidities.

11

25

12	Four, how confident are you that the
13	following bariatric surgeries will produce a
14	clinically important net health benefit in the
15	treatment of obese patients with one or more
16	comorbidities? And the three different surgeries
17	are listed with both an open and lap option.
18	Based on the scientific evidence
19	presented, question number five, how likely is it
20	that the results of bariatric surgery in obese
21	patients with one or more comorbidities can be
22	generalized to, A, the Medicare population aged 65
23	plus, B, providers, facilities and physicians in
24	community practice.

Now the previous five questions, as I

1 mentioned earlier, are asked again as they pertain

- 2 to patients with no comorbidities having bariatric
- 3 surgery compared to nonsurgical medical
- 4 management.
- 5 DR. DAVIS: Thank you very much,
- 6 Dr. Brechner. Why don't we allow for a few
- 7 questions for Dr. Brechner if anybody has any.
- 8 Yes, Dr. Goodman.
- 9 DR. GOODMAN: Dr. Brechner, so as I
- 10 understand it, the reason that your literature
- started in 2003 was simply to pick up from where
- the Blue Cross Blue Shield tech assessment ended
- 13 in 2003.
- DR. BRECHNER: Well, at the time that
- we started, or we got notice that we were going to
- do this, yes, it was late July, so we were looking
- 17 at tech assessments that were available, and after
- that two of them popped up as being available, so
- that one was written in 2003, in June, so what we
- 20 did was we figured that if they published it in

- before, so to play it safe we went back to April
- 23 2003 to search the general literature, but we went
- back further for information on the elderly.
- DR. GOODMAN: But your analysis is

- 1 confined to the lit search period that you
- 2 accessed?
- 3 DR. BRECHNER: Unless the TA covered
- 4 it.
- 5 DR. GOODMAN: Unless the TA covered it,
- 6 in which case you defaulted to the TA?
- 7 DR. BRECHNER: Well, I default to the
- 8 TA if I had no other information. If I had newer
- 9 information I used that instead.

10	DR. GOODMAN: And you mentioned the
11	NHLBI tech assessment.
12	DR. BRECHNER: Yeah.
13	DR. GOODMAN: And that was confined to
14	RCTs only collected by the Cochran collaboration,
15	correct?
16	DR. BRECHNER: I don't think so. I
17	think they had evidence grades of A, B, C and D in
18	their summary, and
19	DR. GOODMAN: I believe they confined
20	it, but we can check. I just wanted to point out,
21	then, that the bodies of evidence considered among
22	the different assessments might not have the same
23	scope or depth.
24	DR. BRECHNER: Well, that's definitely
25	true.

1	DR. DAVIS: Yes, Dr. Weissberg.
2	DR. WEISSBERG: Ross, I appreciated
3	that summary. The critical distinction between
4	with or without comorbidities has been with us
5	since 1991 and has been made much of. Do you
6	think that that distinction is still as relevant
7	today, given that 40 to 90 percent of patients
8	when looked for will actually demonstrate
9	comorbidities?
10	DR. BRECHNER: That's a decision for
11	you guys to make based on the evidence today. I
12	just couldn't find any information on, in the
13	studies on persons that didn't have comorbidities
14	at surgery. I think that from when we reviewed
15	all the papers, and there were a lot of papers
16	that we reviewed, there were many papers that had
17	I think, patients in the studies that were
18	operated that may not have had comorbidities. The
19	problem was that in just about every one of those

studies, they were concentrating on the resolution

- of comorbidities and left out the opportunity, for
- better words, of looking at those two groups
- compared to each other.
- DR. DAVIS: Yes, Mike.
- DR. ABECAASSIS: Ross, I also want to

- 1 thank you for that review. So if you take
- 2 diabetes, for example, as one of the significant
- 3 comorbidities, there are many different ways to
- 4 define diabetes. A lot of people define diabetes
- 5 for the purposes of these studies as somebody
- 6 who's on either insulin or maybe an oral agent,
- 7 but that's not the way diabetes is defined I
- 8 believe by different societies and associations
- 9 that have looked carefully into the complications

- of diabetes. So my question is, did you find any
- 11 uniformity in the papers that you did review with
- respect to the definition of, for example,
- 13 diabetes?
- DR. BRECHNER: No, I didn't find much
- uniformity. A lot of the time it wasn't defined,
- it was just listed as diabetes.
- 17 DR. DAVIS: Barbara.
- DR. MCNEIL: The question of
- 19 comorbidities, I think is an important one, and I
- was trying to get at where Jed was going, and I
- 21 was looking at the patient characteristics table
- in Dr. Buchwald's meta-analysis. And if you look
- at some of those data, for example, it says that
- 24 the data say that 40 percent of the patients had
- 25 hypercholesterolemia, so that presumably is a

- 1 standard definition, and that 25 percent had
- 2 impaired glucose tolerance, and that 60 percent
- 3 had degenerative joint disease, or 50 percent had
- 4 degenerative joint disease. And for example for
- 5 the degenerative joint disease, it looked to me as
- 6 if that might not correlate 100 percent with
- 7 diabetes or high cholesterol, so therefore you
- 8 could take the diabetes number and the cholesterol
- 9 number, and some big fraction of the joint disease
- 10 number because I wouldn't expect, as I said, there
- 11 to be any real correlation, and perhaps get some
- estimate of the percentage of patients with
- comorbidities going into the surgery. Does that
- make sense? And if you did that, it comes out to
- probably be pretty high.
- DR. DAVIS: Dr. Sugerman?
- DR. SUGERMAN: I'd like to make two
- points at this stage. One is the Pope paper that
- 19 you mentioned that showed an increase in

- 20 comorbidity from 26 to 37 percent. I've talked to
- 21 Darby Pope, who's a resident at Dartmouth
- Hitchcock, and he admitted the fact that those
- data are extremely tenuous and weak. They were
- based upon claims data and you know, many
- 25 discharge summaries won't have those data in it,

- 1 so it's a very poor look at comorbidities. It's a
- 2 good look at the number of operations performed,
- 3 but not a good lock at comorbidities.
- 4 And just as an aside, when we looked at
- 5 our patients who had BMIs of 40 or greater, 3,000
- 6 patients in our series, 98.1 percent of them had
- 7 one or more comorbidities, and that excluded even
- 8 looking at quality of life. So most of these

9 patients, almost every patient who has a BMI of 40 or greater has a comorbidity. 10 11 DR. BRECHNER: Yes. You know, it was 12 hard for me to tell because a lot of the papers 13 reported X comorbidities without saying exactly 14 how many of their patients had one or more, they 15 concentrated over the broad range of doing that. 16 And the Pope paper, his methodology was good with 17 the sample that he had, and I agree that that 18 figure that he listed is questionable, but I 19 couldn't tell from the paper, there was no mention 20 of this in terms of limitations, and we're looking 21 for hard data. 22 DR. SUGERMAN: Again, the problem is 23 there has not been any motivation to look at the 24 patients who don't have any comorbidities, because

when we look at the criteria for surgery, it's a

- 1 BMI of 40 or greater.
- DR. DAVIS: Thank you again, Dr.
- 3 Brechner, to you and your colleagues at CMS for
- 4 pulling together that very informative literature
- 5 review.
- We're going to move on with the agenda
- 7 and begin with scheduled public comments. And
- 8 Dr. Walter Pories has worked with others in
- 9 arranging for this initial slate of presenters.
- 10 It is Dr. Wolfe who will begin, and we're going to
- let this group of presenters speak until about
- 12 9:45 and then we're going to take a break and then
- 13 we'll continue on with scheduled public comments.
- 14 Dr. Wolfe.
- DR. WOLFE: Thank you. My name is
- Bruce Wolfe, I am professor emeritus of surgery at
- 17 UC Davis and practice bariatric surgery in
- 18 Sacramento. I am co-chair of the NIH bariatric
- 19 surgery consortium which is known as LABS. I have

20	received	financial	support b	oth for	research	and
20	1 CCC1 V CG	minument	support	our ror	rescaren	and

- 21 honoraria in the past from U.S. Surgical
- 22 Corporation and Ethicon Endosurgery. My present
- research is funded by NIH. My expenses for travel
- 24 to today's conference will be reimbursed by the
- 25 American Society for Bariatric Surgery, known as

- 1 the ASBS. I serve on the medical review committee
- 2 of Blue Shield of California.
- 3 Dr. Pories has organized a group
- 4 presentation, a decision was made to consolidate
- 5 those talks, so not all of the listed speakers
- 6 will in fact speak.
- 7 I would like to begin by recognizing
- 8 the contributions of Secretary Thompson in

9	recognizing the problem of obesity and addressing
10	it. I would like to thank Chairperson Davis and
11	the members of the panel for the opportunity to
12	present, and particularly thank the executive
13	secretary Miss Long for her patience and
14	assistance in helping us prepare our presentation.

Dr. Brechner has already addressed the increased demand for bariatric surgery, the obesity epidemic. Epidemiologic research demonstrates that obesity is a life threatening disease and surgery is the only effective treatment which is presently available. These factors have combined to create this increased demand for bariatric surgery.

This slide from the Swedish Obese
Subjects Study has already been shown. I focus on
the control patients who did not undergo surgery

	1	but underw	ent the best n	onsurgical	treatmer
--	---	------------	----------------	------------	----------

- 2 available. We see the characteristic transient
- 3 weight loss with nonsurgical treatment followed by
- 4 a regain of the weight, and in fact in six to
- 5 eight years a net gain of weight among those
- 6 patients. It is this observation that is
- 7 fundamentally the reason that in practice it is
- 8 not possible to recruit or retain patients in
- 9 nonsurgical arms comparing nonsurgical with
- 10 surgical therapy.
- We recognize as researchers in the
- 12 field the classification scheme for the quality of
- 13 the data and that we do not have randomized
- 14 control trials or Level I data regarding surgical
- versus nonsurgical controls to present today. We
- will present data that represent the distillation
- of three years of initiatives by the surgical
- 18 community and others, including the recent ASBS

19	consensus conference, the AHRQ-sponsored
20	technology assessment, and other technology
21	assessments as we have heard, as well as the
22	NIH/NIDDK-funded consortium on surgery or LABS
23	Today we will be presenting the
24	aggregated results of many observational studies

that together represent the preponderance of the

25

- 1 evidence to address the five questions that have
- 2 been posed to the panel. The basic operations
- 3 that are in use have already been discussed as
- 4 well; they are restrictive and bypass operations.
- 5 It's beyond the scope of this conference to get
- 6 into the precise mechanism by which these
- 7 operations accomplish weight loss.

8 First, the gastric bypass. Several 9 authors have reported series with ten or more 10 years of follow-up. Dr. Pories reported on 600 11 patients with 97 percent follow-up, a weight loss 12 at two years of 70 percent of excess body weight, 13 58 percent at five years, and 55 percent at ten 14 years. We've seen data on postoperative 15 complications, they range from 5 to 20 percent. 16 Long-term complications consist of ventral hernia 17 in 10 to 30 percent, a problem that is essentially 18 eliminated by the laparoscopic approach to gastric 19 bypass. Late nutritional deficiencies do occur 20 but are preventable and treatable by appropriate 21 supplementation. 22 The open versus laparoscopic approach 23 is of interest inasmuch as he great majority of 24 bariatric operations are done in this country by

laparoscopy at this time. The benefits of

1	laparoscopy	v for al	l procedures	include	diminished
_	Idparoscop	, ioi ai	I DIOCCUUICO	morace	GIIIIIIIIIIICC

- 2 injury response leading to diminished
- 3 postoperative pain. That is thought to be
- 4 fundamental in reducing the disturbance of
- 5 pulmonary function that abdominal surgery produces
- 6 and lowering the complication rate of pulmonary
- 7 complications. There's diminished stimulation of
- 8 hypercoagulability of blood, there's more rapid
- 9 recovery, and most importantly, there's diminished
- wound complications.
- This is some of the data from a
- 12 prospective randomized trial conducted by Winn and
- myself at UC Davis that showed in laparoscopic
- versus open gastric bypass less use of intensive
- 15 care unit, shorter hospital stay, more rapid
- return to activity, and other benefits as I
- 17 indicated.
- This is a summary slide with several

19	reports on laparoscopic gastric bypass outcomes
20	showing weight loss in the range of 70 to 80
21	percent in keeping with the data for open gastric
22	bypass. Our data which has been presented but not
23	published at 3.5 years in the randomized trial
24	shows that the weight loss is identical among the
25	two groups. It is therefore reasonable to pool

- 1 together the outcome results regarding weight loss
- 2 for laparoscopic and open gastric bypass.
- 3 Complications of Roux-en-Y gastric
- 4 bypass do occur. In the randomized trials the
- 5 incidents were similar although the specific
- 6 complications were somewhat different. Mortality
- 7 rates are in this column to the right that have

- 8 been reported in range from 0 to 3 percent.
- 9 The laparoscopic adjustable gastric
- band has been described by Dr. Brechner as well.
- 11 There are, the band has been available in the
- 12 United States for just three years and so we're
- dependent on international data for follow-up
- beyond that. There are three trials that have
- data out six to eight years demonstrating excess
- body weight loss in the range of 50 to 59 percent.
- 17 As has already been mentioned, the
- 18 complication rate for laparoscopic adjustable
- 19 gastric banding is not zero, but most authors
- 20 report no mortality, the range is up to 1.2
- 21 percent in these series, and other complications
- that are mechanical related to the band itself do
- 23 occur and are generally manageable.
- 24 This is still one more technology
- assessment done by the Australian government in

- 1 which they performed a similar technology
- 2 assessment as what we've heard about already
- 3 today. And what they found was that the mortality
- 4 for laparoscopic adjustable gastric band was very
- 5 low, at .05 percent, morbidity of 11 percent, as
- 6 opposed to Roux-en-Y gastric bypass, the mortality
- 7 of 0.5 percent and higher morbidity. The vertical
- 8 banded gastroplasty is an operation that is used
- 9 much less frequently in the United States at this
- time and so we won't be focusing on that
- 11 operation.
- The weight loss they showed was that at
- two years the Roux-en-Y gastric bypass has
- superior weight loss to the banding, but at four
- years both operations result in significant weight
- losses, the weight losses are closer together at
- 17 four years than at two.

18	The diagram for biliopancreatic
19	diversion with or without duodenal switch has also
20	been shown this morning. The results are seen
21	here. A single surgeon, Dr. Scopinaro, who
22	basically devised the procedure, a very large
23	series with excellent results, 78 percent excess
24	body weight loss at 12 years and acceptably low
25	complications. 3.2 percent stomal ulcers with H2

- 1 blockers, 12 percent without, a certain amount of
- 2 protein malnutrition, 7 percent, concern regarding
- 3 bone demineralization as malabsorptive procedures
- 4 may lead to net calcium loss.
- 5 The modification of the duodenal switch
- 6 in which the anastomosis is post-pyloric shows a

- 7 similar weight loss at 80 percent at two years,
- 8 and also acceptably low complication rates. Of
- 9 interest, the duodenal switch appears to avoid the
- 10 problem of stomal ulcers for the most part,
- 11 malnutrition complications do occur following
- 12 malabsorptive procedures.
- Both of these procedures, particularly
- the duodenal switch has been reported as having
- been accomplished by laparoscopy. There are five
- such cohorts, the data is very similar with regard
- 17 to the complications and outcomes. Length of stay
- is shortened by the laparoscopic approach.
- The impact of bariatric surgery on
- 20 comorbidity is of interest as we have also heard.
- 21 This and following slides are adopted from the
- 22 meta-analysis published by Dr. Buchwald very
- 23 recently in JAMA. This meta-analysis includes
- several thousand, approximately 7,000 cases, and
- is obviously very current. What we see is that

- 1 weight loss is basically quite good for all of the
- 2 procedures. The relatively short follow-up for
- 3 the banding procedures in the meta-analysis as a
- 4 result of the shorter time the band has been
- 5 available may disadvantage the band in these
- 6 results. Otherwise, the results are quite similar
- 7 with regard to the maintenance of weight loss.
- 8 Perhaps most impressive is the
- 9 resolution of diabetes by surgical weight loss.
- While the exact definition of diabetes is not
- indicated in those papers, most of us use the
- standard definition, which is blood sugar fasting
- less than 126. And resolution of the diabetes is
- reported in 80 to 90-plus percent of the patients
- in these series. Again, the shorter follow-up for
- band is perhaps a disadvantage with the
- 17 methodology used in this study.

18	Similar improvement with hyperlipidemia
19	in excess of 80 percent, hypertension 60 to 70
20	percent as we saw, not quite as impressive as the
21	data for diabetes and dyslipidemia. The
22	resolution of obstructive sleep apnea syndrome,
23	which is being found to prevail in this patient
24	population at a much greater frequency than has
25	been recognized in the past, some say greater than

- 1 50 percent if you study the people in detail,
- 2 resolution occurring in 80 or more percent for all
- 3 of the procedures.
- 4 Finally, fatty liver, steatohepatitis
- 5 is an increasingly recognized problem in patients
- 6 with morbid obesity, it's predicted by some to

- 7 replace hepatitis C as the most common indication
- 8 for liver transplant in the near future, and data
- 9 of Kral showing that it does respond to weight
- 10 loss induced by surgery.
- Other comorbidities, of which there are
- numerous, are similarly improved by weight loss.
- 13 The common theme is the response of the
- 14 comorbidities if they are indeed obesity-related
- or caused comorbidities would be expected to
- 16 resolve with weight loss and indeed that is the
- pattern we see. It doesn't matter which operation
- it is, if the weight is lost, then these
- 19 comorbidities if they are properly attributed to
- 20 the obesity, do indeed improve or resolve.
- 21 Thank you for your attention.
- DR. FLUM: Thanks to the committee for
- 23 giving me the opportunity to speak today. My name
- 24 is David Flum, I'm a gastrointestinal laparoscopic
- surgeon at the University of Washington. I'm also

1	an outcomes	research	ner with	formal	training in

- 2 surgical epidemiology and technology assessment.
- We've divided this presentation today
- 4 to give you separate perspectives. This
- 5 perspective is from a health services broader
- 6 perspective, if you will, one that deals with some
- 7 of the issues of survival, both short and long
- 8 term, and health utilization outcomes that are
- 9 relevant in trying to make decisions and
- 10 assessments about bariatric surgery.
- By way of housekeeping, my present
- 12 funding includes NIH funding from NIDDK. I'm the
- principal investigator in the consortium for
- bariatric surgical research and in the past I've
- received funding from INAMED for a small research
- 16 project. My travel expenses today were paid for

by the Society for Bariatric Surgery and as I
said, I'm pleased to be here.

Obviously when we're dealing with the
issue of survival and long-term survival with
bariatric surgery, the issue of what is the
alternative comes to bear. We know that this year
approximately 400,000 lives will be lost due to
obesity alone. Intuitively as clinicians, we know
that as you reduce comorbid conditions such as

- 1 diabetes, perhaps the banner comorbid condition,
- 2 we expect long-term survival to be achieved, since
- 3 we know that diabetes is linked to a worsened
- 4 survival.
- 5 But this also assumes a low rate of

- 6 perioperative death related to the procedure of
- 7 course, and you have heard today many case series
- 8 and voluntary databases, the preponderance of
- 9 which speak to very low risk of mortality with
- 10 bariatric surgery. To view this as a skeptic,
- someone who really critically evaluates outcomes
- in the surgical community, our group tried to look
- at the population at large to assess the variable
- mortality in the rear world, if you will. We
- looked at over 60,000 patients in the state of
- Washington who had been hospitalized with
- diagnostic codes related to obesity and morbid
- obesity, of which approximately 3,000 underwent a
- 19 gastric bypass operation.
- 20 As noted earlier, we identified a 1
- 21 percent risk of in-hospital mortality,
- 22 considerably higher than others have noted, and
- approximately a 2 percent risk of 30-day
- 24 mortality. Now, although those numbers are
- considered alarming by some, or certainly higher

1	41	1 4	,	1 1	before,	1		. 1	4
•	than	TIPOT T		naard	hatara	whan	\mathbf{v}	triad	ŧΛ
	шан	what v	Ou ve	ncara	DOLOTO.	WILCH	w	\mathbf{u}	

- 2 understand, if you will, what was underlying that
- 3 higher rate of morbidity and mortality, strike
- 4 that, mortality, we found that surgical
- 5 inexperience was really linked to it more than
- 6 anything else, and if the surgeon had done less
- 7 than 20 procedures, there was clearly almost a
- 8 five times higher risk of death. And when you
- 9 looked at those more experienced surgeons later on
- in their curve, if you will, the mortality rates
- that you've heard today from the case series will
- ring true even in the community at large. That's
- the caveat that I would offer.
- 14 And I tried to contextualize that
- mortality rate, you know, that 1 percent
- 16 in-hospital mortality rate. This is unpublished

17	data from a MedPAR analysis that's recently been
18	performed looking at a couple of thousand patients
19	who had bariatric surgery for obesity with gastric
20	bypass DRG, showing a 1 percent mortality rate in
21	hospital, almost identical to the state of
22	Washington's data. And by way of context, total
23	joint replacement was listed as 1 percent, with
24	bypass at three percent. That's important because

joint replacement, essentially an elective

57

- 1 operation often due to the ravages of obesity, but
- 2 it helps to put in context the mortality data I
- 3 have shown.

- 4 Now this is the short-term data.
- 5 Obviously when you're considering survival, it's

- 6 the balance of long and short term, and although
- 7 we feel most confident as a surgical community
- 8 talking about diabetes as an important comorbidity
- 9 that's reduced, and we point to lots of evidence
- 10 that shows the other comorbid conditions improve,
- survival has been the one that we have been, it
- has been the hardest to demonstrate for lots of
- obvious reasons. We need long periods of time for
- 14 follow-up and appropriate cohorts.
- There have been three studies that help
- to inform this, one by Ken McDonald which
- demonstrated that a 28 percent mortality rate in
- patients on the waiting list and a 9 percent
- mortality rate in patients who had the operation
- 20 for gastric bypass in a large cohort.
- There is this study in Washington state
- that shows, by our group, that shows a crossing of
- 23 the lines at about one year. Patients who had a
- 24 gastric bypass seemed to do better than those who
- 25 did not have a gastric bypass outwards of 10 and

- 1 15 years. And the data that supports that at 15
- 2 years, 16 percent of the people in the
- 3 nonoperative cohort had died, compared to 11.8
- 4 percent of the people in the operated cohort.
- 5 This was particularly emphasized in the
- 6 younger-aged group and after one year as
- 7 mentioned, there was a third lower risk of death
- 8 in the operated cohort than nonoperative.
- 9 The last bit of evidence that supports
- this comes out of Canada, which details the cohort
- of patients performed at McGill, and a control if
- you will of patients derived from administrative
- data in Quebec. They looked at a thousand
- patients in the operative group and five to one
- 15 matching, if you will, for the control. They

16	found a 6 percent rate of aggregate mortality in
17	the nonoperative group and a .68 percent rate of
18	mortality in the operative group, a relative risk
19	of .11 or a risk reduction of 89 percent in that
20	cohort. They also found significant drop-offs in
21	new-incident cases of cancer, infectious disease,
22	musculoskeletal disease, all of these conditions
23	an significant drop-offs in utilization.
24	We have some time restrictions today
25	that will probably limit our exploration of the

- 1 issue of cost and health care utilization, but
- 2 this study in particular was helpful in
- 3 demonstrating that the costs of bariatric surgery
- 4 are amortized over about three-and-a-half years,

- 5 and this is reinforced by lots of work that we
- 6 have performed and I would be glad to comment on
- 7 that later. Once again, thank you for the
- 8 opportunity to present today and I look forward to
- 9 your questions.
- DR. WADDEN: Good morning. I'm Tom
- Wadden, professor of psychology at the University
- of Pennsylvania School of Medicine. Thank you
- 13 for the opportunity to speak today on behalf of
- 14 the North American Association for the Study of
- Obesity, of which I'm vice president, and also on
- behalf of the American Society for Bariatric
- 17 Surgery. In terms of disclosure, I received a
- one-time honorarium from Ethicon Endosurgery for
- participating in a meeting, and I'm paying my own
- 20 travel expenses today.
- 21 I originally had ten slides in my
- presentation which Dr. Pories has reduced to five
- 23 in the interest of time, surgeons like to cut, so
- thank you, Walter.
- 25 (Laughter.)

DR. WADDEN: So I will be making some

2	remarks that are not supported by slides. I think
3	it's important that we talk about the adverse
4	physical effects of extreme obesity today and of
5	the benefits resulting from weight reduction. I
6	want to talk some about the adverse psychosocial
7	consequences of extreme obesity, which include
8	depression, eating disorders, impaired quality of
9	life. In addition, persons with extreme obesity
10	suffer marked prejudice and discrimination that
11	truly can scar their lives.
12	A recent population study by Anjac and
13	colleagues showed that persons with a BMI of 40 or
14	greater had nearly five times the rate of major
15	depression as persons of average weight. You can

see that persons with a BMI of 35 or greater had
nearly double the rate of major depression in the
past year. Major depression is a frightening
condition in which you feel like your life is
worthless and you have little hope of getting
better.

In addition, approximately 25 percent

In addition, approximately 25 percent of bariatric surgery patients suffer from binge eating disorder. This condition is characterized by the consumption of large amounts of food in a

23

24

25

- 1 brief period of time and patients feel out of
- 2 control of their eating and it feels compulsive,
- and again, people are very distressed by this
- 4 condition, this is not pleasurable eating.

5 Even if they do not experience fram

- 6 depression or eating disorders, a significant
- 7 majority of patients with extreme obesity report
- 8 impairments in health-related quality of life.
- 9 The SF-36 is a scale that measures quality of life
- in eight different domains with higher scores
- going up to 100 indicating better functioning. In
- this slide, scores of the normal population are
- shown in the yellow part of the bar, so the higher
- 14 the score the better the functioning, whereas the
- bariatric surgery candidates prior to surgery are
- shown in the green color. So you can see that
- 17 surgery candidates report marked impairment
- starting on the left, in physical functioning.
- 19 They report impairments in their role performance
- at work and in recreational activities. They
- 21 report reduced vitality, and an important thing to
- 22 note in the third column there is that they report
- bodily pain. This is a physically distressing
- 24 condition.
- I want to discuss improvements in

- 1 psychosocial function that occur with weight loss
- 2 following surgery. It's important to note that
- 3 research in this area is limited, there are no
- 4 randomized controlled trials as others have
- 5 discussed previously. Most studies have been
- 6 small and few of the patients have been 65 years
- 7 or older. However, there are some strengths,
- 8 including the Swedish Obese Subject Study that
- 9 you've heard about, and the use of well validated
- 10 measures. Depression clearly improves following
- weight reduction with bariatric surgery, as shown
- by the SOS study. It's also shown in a recent
- 13 study by Dr. John Dixon, who found that reductions
- in depression were maintained at four years. You

15	also see that there are marked improvements in
16	quality of life; now the yellow shows the
17	performance of patients after bariatric surgery,
18	and they now meet the values of normal samples.
19	Finally, it's hard to quantify the
20	amotional hardship that patients with avtrama

emotional hardship that patients with extreme

obesity experience from the prejudice and

21

22

23

24

discrimination directed at them. It is perhaps

best captured by this slide. This is a study

showing that patients who have lost 100 pounds,

25 kept it off for three years or more, reported that

- 1 they would prefer to be normal weight and to have
- 2 a major disability, including blindness or limb
- 3 amputation, than to return to morbid obesity

- 4 again.
- 5 So this brief review has shown that
- 6 extreme obesity is associated with significant
- 7 psychiatric comorbidity and suffering and it is
- 8 dramatically relieved by weight loss following
- 9 bariatric surgery. Thank you.
- DR. STILES: Good morning. My name is
- 11 Sasha Stiles, I am a medical physician and I also
- have an M.P.H. in administration and planning.
- 13 Can you hear me now? I would like to say as a
- disclosure that I am an employee of Kaiser
- 15 Permanente and as such I speak to all Kaiser
- 16 employees and also the state offices in California
- because of my role. I am the medical director for
- bariatric surgery for Kaiser South San Francisco
- and Northern California. I'm also the national
- 20 clinical lead for bariatric surgery for the Kaiser
- 21 Permanente Care Management Institute.
- I'm a primary care doctor and I've been
- one for over 25 years. I have seen many of my
- 24 patients die from their severe obesity over this
- 25 time. Now with bariatric surgery, they have a

- 1 chance to survive but also to thrive. I know of
- 2 no other intervention which can so profoundly
- 3 ameliorate or resolve the medical, social and
- 4 psychological comorbidities of severe obesity.
- 5 Patients seeking or referred for bariatric surgery
- 6 that I see routinely have undergone five to seven,
- 7 and often 15 to 20 prior attempts at other weight
- 8 loss and dietary treatments.
- 9 After surgery for colon cancer, my
- patients receive radiation, chemotherapy, and
- long-term follow-up. If they have a recurrence,
- we blame the disease. If a severe obese patient
- begins to gain back their weight, we blame the
- patient's lack of will power or we blame the

15	surgery, forgetting that it is really the disease
16	of severe obesity which we are trying to treat.

Research points to conditions which
must be evaluated preoperatively, high BMI,
pulmonary function tests, EKG abnormality, steep
apnea, hypertension. Preoperative weight loss has
now been even shown to be predictive of long-term
success. At Kaiser Permanente I personally
evaluate all patients and rigorously strive to
improve or stabilize all their chronic diseases
before surgery. We have weekly education,

- 1 nutrition and exercise programs. We have
- 2 psychological assessments and treatment options.
- 3 We have case management for special conditions and

- 4 weekly support groups by a trained facilitator.
- 5 The SOS study found a 32 percent
- 6 decrease, 32-fold decrease in diabetes in their
- 7 surgical group versus control. We all know
- 8 Pories' landmark work. I can tell you that my
- 9 diabetic patients are the absolutely most
- 10 grateful. No longer do they have to fear a life
- of amputations, dialysis, heart attacks and
- 12 blindness. Preoperatively, my sleep apnea
- patients are predictive of increased sick leave,
- 14 divorce, impaired work performance and ill health.
- Buchwald's meta-analysis shows 85 percent resolve
- after surgery, and I find this too. The more
- weight you lose, the less pain in your weight
- bearing joints. The most common wish, and this is
- really true, that my patients tell me and that
- 20 they wish for after surgery is to run around after
- 21 their grandchildren and you know what, they really
- 22 do.
- 23 Pseudotumor cerebri resolves within
- 24 four months, relief of massive headaches, and

13

66

disease, it really is quite remarkable what we can 1 2 do. GERD and asthma vastly improve, and inhalers 3 are frequently thrown away by six months. 4 Venostasis resolves; my teachers can now stand up 5 in front of their class instead of sitting behind 6 their desks with their Una boots, and they're free 7 of pain and swelling. Urinary incontinence vastly 8 improves. Do you know how great it is for a woman 9 not to concentrate on where all the bathrooms are 10 in her life? 11 Also, sick leave by two years after

surgery has been decreased by 10 to 18 percent. I

see my patients going back to work. These are

14	sometimes patients who have been off work for 10
15	15 and more years. At Kaiser Permanente we have
16	detailed follow-up by multiple providers in person
17	and over the phone over the first six months.
18	Thereafter I run regular long-term follow-up
19	groups where several patients, myself and the
20	staff develop treatment protocols for the rest of
21	their life. We do this every six months like
22	clock work for all our patients. All patients are
23	also evaluated for their long-term care issues by
24	the appropriate member of our team, dietitian,
25	nsychologist bariatric surgeon or myself. And we

- 1 also have postoperative support group classes
- 2 which are educational classes which are held

- 3 weekly, where we have actually 60 to 80 patients
- 4 at least a week, and more.
- 5 Finally, there is just no other model
- 6 out there which can hold a candle to the
- 7 possibility that this surgery gives my patients.
- 8 As a primary care doctor, I also feel strongly
- 9 that the best surgical outcomes are gained with a
- 10 pre-op and long-term postoperative
- 11 multidisciplinary chronic disease management
- approach which is what we do at Kaiser Permanente
- on many diseases, and I can tell you it works. It
- promises to maximize the benefits of this
- incredible surgery. This surgery offers hope when
- there really was none to my patients. Let's work
- 17 together and make this work. Thank you very much.
- 18 COL. STRADDIFF: Good morning. My name
- is Robert Straddiff and I'm a retired U.S. Army
- 20 colonel with 32 years service. I was both a fixed
- 21 wing and helicopter pilot for the majority of
- 22 those years and put in two combat aviation tours
- in Vietnam. My military career progressed and as
- 24 it progressed, my duty assignments gradually

- 1 flight status. I only mention these things to
- 2 highlight the fact that I was subject to annual
- 3 flight physicals and the strict weight standards
- 4 required to remain on flight status.
- 5 After my retirement I accepted a
- 6 civilian position at Fort Lee, Virginia. While
- 7 there, I continued my normal PT program of a
- 8 three-to-five mile run four or five days a week.
- 9 I retired from my civilian job in '92 and a few
- 10 months later my problems began. On one of my runs
- 11 I experienced severe pains in my left knee.
- 12 X-rays revealed I had bone on bone contact. I had
- two choice, a try at physical rehabilitation or a

knee replacement. I opted for rehab and all went well until the summer of '94 when I blew out my right knee and I went to the rehab group once again.

But the weight gain was dramatic and I didn't seem to be able to control it by diet alone. By this time both knees were too painful for any comprehensive exercise program, so the pounds kept mounting up. Along with the weight gain came the inability to get a good night's sleep. I was now experiencing sleep apnea and started to sleep sitting up in an easy chair in my

- 1 bedroom. I slept this way for months, when I
- 2 noticed that there was moisture around the calf

- 3 areas of both legs. I was diagnosed with and
- 4 treated for venostasis dermatitis.
- 5 It was during this time that I happened
- 6 to read an article in the Richmond Time-Dispatch
- 7 concerning the gastric bypass work that
- 8 Dr. Sugerman was doing at the Medical College of
- 9 Virginia. I expressed a desire to look into it
- and my doctor encouraged me to see Dr. Sugerman
- 11 for more details. After my initial consultation
- and evaluation, I decided to have the surgery. I
- 13 knew that if I didn't, I wouldn't survive. By
- this time my weight was 360 pounds and I had begun
- to have angina problems. I was desperate, I could
- barely walk, I was now using two canes, and I was
- in constant pain in my knee joints. I found
- 18 myself a near recluse.
- 19 I knew I had to do something and
- quickly, so at the age of 69 I had Roux-en-Y
- bypass surgery done in February 2002. The first
- 22 month was the worse, not much pain, and the pureed
- foods were not much to be recommended, but the

- change was dramatic. In six months I had lost 80
- pounds, the ulcers were gone, the apnea had

- 1 gradually disappeared and I was back in my bed
- 2 getting a good night's sleep. Almost as rewarding
- 3 as the weight loss was my ability to get back
- 4 exercising and this time it was a swimming
- 5 program.
- 6 In October of 2002 I had a complete
- 7 knee replacement on my left knee, continued
- 8 swimming, and by Christmas of 2002 I had lost
- 9 another 60 pounds. Since my bypass surgery I have
- gone from 360 to 160, or 65, for a total of a
- 11 195-pound weight loss. Another follow-up from the
- weight loss is that right knee replacement is now

13	unnecessary, I can get along just find, and even
14	take an occasional two-mile walk.
15	That concludes my remarks. Thank you
16	very much.
17	MS. TANNER: Good morning. My name is
18	Stella Tanner, I'm 68 years old, and I had
19	laparoscopic gastric bypass surgery two years ago
20	at age 66, and I have lost 75 pounds.
21	The last few years before my surgery
22	were absolutely horrible. I dreaded waking up
23	each morning to another day of excruciating pain
24	and total exhaustion. I had sleep apnea, I used a

Bi-PAP machine at night. I was taking increasing

25

- 2 plagued with incontinence that required constant
- 3 attention, infections and discomfort. I suffered
- 4 from migraine headaches and my doctor was
- 5 concerned with my increasing blood sugar level.
- 6 My surgery was not cosmetic, but it was
- 7 a desperate attempt to enable me to once again
- 8 take care of my family and my home, and I have
- 9 been rewarded with much more than that. This
- operation absolutely saved my life. In the past
- 11 18 months my husband and I have traveled to
- 12 Alaska, we have been to the beach several times,
- been to more football and baseball games than you
- can possibly imagine, golfed for two weeks all
- over California, and recently spent two weeks in
- 16 Italy. Instead of being an invalid in
- 17 excruciating pain, my life is absolutely
- wonderful, and it's all due to this surgery.
- 19 Please continue this surgery for
- 20 Medicare patients. I am extremely grateful that I
- 21 was provided with this coverage and I will spend
- the rest of my life trying to repay the world for
- 23 my good fortune. Other senior citizens deserve

- 24 this same opportunity. Thank you.
- DR. PORIES: Mr. Chairman, members of

- 1 the panel, my name is Walter Pories. I am a
- 2 bariatric surgeon, a professor of surgery and
- 3 biochemistry at East Carolina University, a
- 4 principal investigator and co-investigator for
- 5 several NIH grants, and the president of the
- 6 Surgical Review Corporation, which paid for my
- 7 expenses to come here. I've also lectured for
- 8 Johnson & Johnson and the Tyco Corporation.
- 9 Before I start, I want to thank Miss
- 10 Long for her mothering us through this process,
- we're very grateful.
- My assignment is to address the fifth

13	question, can we generalize these excellent
14	outcomes to community practice and the Medicare
15	population? Or to phrase it more precisely in
16	terms of the needs of our society, can we provide
17	excellent bariatric surgery to the Medicare
18	population? To achieve this aim, we would need to
19	meet a very difficult and rigorous challenge, to
20	follow the lead of industry in delivering a
21	consistent and high quality surgical product. A
22	very difficult goal, some might say unattainable.
23	To reach it, we would need to standardize our

operations, standardize patient care paths,

monitor the providers, rigorously collect short

24

25

- 2 site visits.
- Well, surgeons want good results at
- 4 least as much as you do, and with a remarkable
- 5 move, the membership of the ASBS voted to address
- 6 quality clinical outcomes by using evidence-based
- 7 medicine in establishing standards for bariatric
- 8 surgery. The ASBS addressed this issue by
- 9 supporting the founding of an independent
- organization, the Surgical Review Corporation to
- pursue this goal and to designate those who meet
- these tough standards, and they are tough, as the
- 13 ASBS centers of excellence.
- 14 The Surgical Review Corporation is a
- 15 totally independent nonprofit organization in
- which the board of governors include the
- stakeholders in bariatric surgery, including the
- 18 CEO of Blue Cross Blue Shield, of a Blue Cross
- 19 Blue Shield, a CEO of a malpractice carrier, two
- 20 former presidents of the American College of
- 21 Surgeons, and a consumer. Most telling, only
- three of the 12 members are bariatric surgeons.

- 23 Let me emphasize our independence. There are no
- relationships between the SRC and the NIH or the
- 25 American College of Surgeons, or industry.

1 As the president of the Surgical Review 2 Corporation, I report to you that we are well 3 underway. Over 250 centers have already applied for provisional status with contracts that they 4 5 will follow these stringent ground rules. We 6 expect to name our first centers by June of next year. This is a remarkable beginning. We are as 7 intent on answering the fifth question as you are. 8 9 The surgical community will consider as 10 favorable any initiative by CMS that would help 11 advance our knowledge of the impact of bariatric

12 surgery based on practical evidence gained in 13 practice in the Medicare population. The SRC 14 would be more than pleased to facilitate such an 15 activity. Thank you. 16 DR. FLUM: This is David Flum again. I 17 was asked to bat cleanup, if you will, to help 18 frame the discussion that we've had so far within 19 the context of your evaluative questions, the two 20 pages of evaluative questions you have regarding 21 obesity patients with comorbidities and obesity 22 patients without comorbidities. Once again, it's 23 been a privilege to speak with you today and also 24 let you know that the messages that Bruce Wolfe

and I have put forward today really represent more

- 1 than 30 to 50 surgeons that have had input into
- 2 this discussion today. It's hard to speak for all
- 3 the surgeons, but collectively we're trying to
- 4 demonstrate our sense of the literature.
- 5 You know, as a critic of the surgical
- 6 and scientific literature what you're seeing today
- 7 is an overwhelming number of observational
- 8 studies. Your second question deals with how
- 9 confident can you be in the validity of the
- scientific data to support all the questions
- listed there, and the validity brings up the
- 12 question of what type of validity. Obviously this
- is not type one data, it would be unethical in
- 14 fact to randomize patients to an intervention that
- 15 had a one to two percent mortality rate and a
- 16 diet, and it's simply not feasible either.
- But there is another type of validity
- which is face validity that we're all familiar
- 19 with. Face validity speaks to the preponderance
- of evidence and we now have 15 studies that
- 21 demonstrate long-term weight loss being sustained
- beyond five, upwards to ten years, and at least

- 23 five studies that demonstrated out beyond 15
- years. We have three studies, as I mentioned,
- 25 that looked at long-term survival, all of them

- 1 with agreeably flawed comparator groups but speak
- 2 to a general sense of face validity. We have lots
- 3 of evidence that details 30-day mortality with
- 4 really good, a preponderance of evidence speaking
- 5 to a general sense of at least reported outcomes
- 6 for 30-day mortality. And we know the JAMA
- 7 article related to comorbidities speaks to
- 8 preponderance of evidence, the 18,000 patients
- 9 pooled from multiple studies that speak to face
- 10 validity.
- So in the absence of that gold standard

12	data, what we can say is that the surgical
13	community as advocates for our patients, as people
14	who see the clinical outcomes, and as people who
15	balance research that's out there, limited as it
16	may be, we think the preponderance of evidence
17	supports the validity and a high level of
18	confidence in the validity of the scientific data
19	for all those points that I just mentioned.
20	It should also be mentioned that our
21	colleagues in internal medicine and preventative
22	health have nicely looked at the other side of
23	this, which is what are the nonsurgical
24	approaches, and have really demonstrated quite
25	nicely, Kathleen McTighe's article for the U.S.

- 1 Preventative Health Task Force really comes to
- 2 mind, demonstrating the futility of long-term
- 3 solutions that are nonsurgical and the lack of
- 4 data beyond one to two years for the vast majority
- 5 of nonsurgical alternatives. That's question
- 6 number one for you.
- 7 Question number four speaks to all the
- 8 different types of procedures that we are and how
- 9 confident are we as a surgical community that the
- procedures will have a significantly important
- clinically important net health benefit. Clearly
- we've demonstrated and reported multiple
- 13 observational studies. The preponderance of
- evidence once again speaks to support for these
- procedures.
- And all the studies have an issue of
- publication bias and that has to be dealt with
- when considering face validity. We're not seeing
- 19 the series of less than good outcomes, I suspect,
- 20 but face validity is based on what it is, and
- 21 there are clinical outcomes and then there's

22	observational	studies.	and we	believe	this i	is the
	OCCUPATION OF THE PROPERTY OF	Decreated by	CLIES II C			

- 23 best evidence there is to date.
- 24 The last question has to do with the
- issue of how generalizable is the data that you've

- 1 heard today to the Medicare population,
- 2 specifically those greater than 65, and to
- 3 providers in community practice. To the first
- 4 point about those greater than 65, one thing we
- 5 know about outcomes in bariatric surgery is that
- 6 age seems to have a direct, an independent effect;
- 7 the older you are, the less performance or the
- 8 worse outcomes you have.
- 9 Clearly, you've heard passionate
- 10 responses from patients who have had the surgery

11	who are older, and those are very important
12	messages to hear, but we know that there is an age
13	relationship to adverse outcome, and simply there
14	is a limitation in the data that's available on
15	this topic, although certain cases series even by
16	Dr. Sugerman have shown very low or no mortality
17	in certain case series in certain hands.
18	We can't speak to the more
19	generalizable issue of the population greater than
20	65, but I think it's important to recognize that
21	in the Medicare population that's covered right
22	now, more than 80 to 90 percent of the patients
23	who are covered are under the age of 65. This is
24	the Medicare disabled groups, so clearly the
25	critical emphasis is in that population.

- 1 To the last point about the
- 2 generalizability of the data we've presented today
- 3 to the community at large, in our work in
- 4 Washington state we've had a hint towards that,
- 5 how generalizable is this data, and we really
- 6 won't know until we have more population level
- 7 evaluations of this issues, and the centers of
- 8 excellence may be a wonderful way to get that sort
- 9 of population level assessment.
- The last issue is the whole second
- page, of how to assess obesity patients with no
- 12 comorbid conditions. Dr. Sugerman has helped to
- highlight this point. We don't have a lot of
- information on this topic for two reasons. One,
- because to date, surgeons can't operate on
- patients with BMI of 35 to 40 without comorbid
- 17 conditions, there will be no data available
- because we can't operate on this population, it's
- 19 not a covered benefit for the most part.
- Of patient greater than on 40, you
- 21 would be hard pressed to find surgeons or

physicians in general who take care of patients
with a BMI greater than 40 that would say that
they have, or that they don't have any comorbid
conditions. If you scratch under the surface just

- a little bit, you'll find comorbid conditions that
- 2 are not well reported or not obviously listed in
- 3 administrative databases, or people just not
- 4 asking about things like urinary incontinence and
- 5 sexual dysfunction and gastroesophageal reflux.
- 6 So I thank the committee and look
- 7 forward to any of your questions, but that's the
- 8 way we wanted to contextualize this information.
- 9 DR. DAVIS: Thank you very much. We're
- going to take a break in a moment, but I'm not

11	sure that all the presenters from the past hour or
12	so made a conflict of interest disclosure. If
13	anybody missed one and failed to indicate whether
14	or not they have a financial conflict of interest
15	and who funded their travel to this meeting,
16	perhaps you could do that now and we could get
17	that into the record, or the transcript, and then
18	we could take a break and then continue on with
19	the presenters.
20	COL. STRADDIFF: I am Colonel
21	Straddiff. I have no conflicts of interest.
22	DR. DAVIS: And your funding to this
23	meeting was provided by?
24	COL. STRADDIFF: Myself.

MS. TANNER: I'm Stella Tanner. I do

1 not have any conflicts of interest and my husband

- 2 is paying for my stay.
- 3 DR. STILES: I'm Sasha Stiles and I
- 4 forgot to say, I'm sorry, the ASBS paid for my
- 5 stay and coming here.
- 6 DR. DAVIS: And any financial conflicts
- 7 of interest?
- 8 DR. STILES: No, sir, I just work for
- 9 Kaiser Permanente.
- DR. DAVIS: Thank you very much. We'll
- take a 15-minute break and then continue on with
- the public comments.
- 13 (Recess.)
- DR. DAVIS: We have a series of
- presenters who will continue for the next, oh,
- 16 hour or so. And hopefully before the lunch break
- we will have an opportunity for questions from the
- 18 members of the committee. Mary Lee Watts.
- MS. WATTS: Good morning. My name is
- 20 Mary Lee Watts.

21	DR. DAVIS: While we're working on the
22	microphone, I just want to remind the presenters
23	for this next segment to, again, make their
24	conflict of interest disclosure and indicate how
25	you were funded to the meeting. Thank you.

- MS. WATTS: My name is Mary Lee Watts.

 I have a masters in public health and I am a

 registered dietitian. I am currently serving as

 manager for legislative and political affairs at

 the American Dietetic Association, ADA is paying

 my way to this meeting today, and I have no other

 conflicts.
- 8 The ADA commends the committee for its
- 9 leadership to undertake a rigorous analysis

10	process to determine if scientific and medical
11	evidence demonstrates effectiveness and
12	appropriateness of bariatric surgery for the
13	Medicare population. The rapid rise in the
14	prevalence of overweight and obesity among all
15	segments of the U.S. population is of grave
16	concern, as the health and quality of life of
17	those afflicted plummets, and health care costs
18	and societal burdens continue to soar.
19	ADA has considered the evidence under
20	review in today's meeting and wishes to make the
21	following comments:
22	First, data for more than eight to ten
23	years post surgery is needed to have stronger
24	confidence in the ability of bariatric surgery
25	procedures to result in sustained weight loss,

1	improvement	in como	rbidities	and long	z-term
					-

- 2 survival, particularly in the elderly population.
- 3 Existing data indicate that short-term benefits do
- 4 exist, including the potential to improve quality
- 5 of life for obese patients.
- 6 Second, the existing data are
- 7 potentially biased in that studies and case
- 8 reports were generated by premier investigators at
- 9 major academic institutions and medical centers.
- 10 It's not clear if the rapid increase in surgeries
- in the greater community is associated with the
- same incidence of complications and adverse
- 13 events.
- 14 Third, it is unclear whether weight
- loss is appropriate for obese elderly Medicare
- 16 beneficiaries without comorbidities. ADA
- 17 recommends a preventive approach that offers the
- possibility of restoration of a healthy weight
- 19 before the comorbidities associated with obesity
- become entrenched and organ damage occurs.

- However, the contribution of obesity to mortality
- in the elderly has yet to be conclusively
- 23 established. This suggests that a revision of
- 24 weight recommendations in older people,
- 25 particularly the upper normative range, seems

- 1 prudent.
- 2 The longitudinal study of aging found
- 3 that thinner older people were more likely to die
- 4 than those who were normal weight or overweight.
- 5 Mortality was actually lower in older people with
- 6 a BMI greater than 28.5. According to a 2001
- 7 analysis, 10 of 13 studies failed to show a
- 8 significant association between a BMI greater than
- 9 27 and mortality from all causes in 65-to-74-year

10	olds. In the few studies that did show an
11	association, the increased risk became apparent at
12	BMIs greater than 31, and the association
13	disappeared in those aged 75 years and older.
14	Therefore, ADA requests that the
15	Medicare Coverage Advisory Committee do the
16	following:
17	First, require coverage of pre and
18	post-surgical medical management of patients
19	undergoing bariatric surgery. Such management
20	should include a multidisciplinary team of
21	registered dietitians, psychologists, exercise
22	physiologists, and others who are qualified to
23	conduct nutritional and behavioral interventions
24	and physical activity counseling.
25	These interventions should also do the

- 1 following: Assess surgical candidates' ability to
- 2 comply with post-surgical protocols, minimize
- 3 short and long-term complications such as
- 4 gastrointestinal adverse effects, and prevent
- 5 weight regain.
- 6 We urge you to consider analysis of
- 7 insurance claims data to analyze both financial
- 8 and clinical outcomes associated with bariatric
- 9 surgery and require demonstrated clinician
- 10 adherence to evidence-based practice guidelines
- and best practices for quality patient care and
- 12 optimal outcomes.
- Thank you, members of the committee,
- 14 for giving ADA the opportunity to share our
- 15 comments about bariatric surgery for the Medicare
- 16 population.
- DR. DAVIS: Thank you. Next,
- 18 Dr. Fischer.
- DR. FISCHER: Good morning. I would

20	like to	thank	the	panel	for	the	ability	to	talk

- 21 My name is Dr. Josef Fischer and I am testifying
- on behalf of the American College of Surgeons,
- which has 66,000 fellows. I am a regent of the
- 24 College. I am also the Mallinckrodt professor of
- surgery at the Harvard Medical School and chair of

- 1 the Department of Surgery at the Beth Israel
- 2 Deaconess Medical Center. I am also the College's
- 3 representative to the Blue Cross Blue Shield
- 4 Association medical advisory panel, part of their
- 5 technology evaluation center. Bariatric
- 6 procedures are performed in my hospital although I
- 7 no longer do them. I have no conflict of
- 8 interests that I am aware of. My way is being

9	paid by the American College of Surgeons because I
10	am chairing the Health Policy Steering Committee
11	in Washington tomorrow.
12	The data from the Swedish Obese Subject
13	Study or SOS are cited in the Blue Cross Blue
14	Shield Association technical assessment and show a
15	16.3 percent decrease in total body weight six
16	years postoperatively for those undergoing
17	bariatric surgery. You have seen those slides
18	several times. This is compared with a .8 percent
19	increase in weight over the same period with
20	nonsurgical treatment.
21	You also have heard the technical
22	evaluation that CMS commissioned from the RAND
23	Corporation showed that the incidence of
24	comorbidities of hypertension, diabetes,
25	hyperlipidemia and sleep apnea following surgery

1	has improved.	althauah at	differing	am annta	010
	nas improved	- annonion ai	annermo	amonns	anc
	1145 1111510 104	uiuiousii ui	GIII CI III C	announts	unc

- 2 rates depending when measurements are made. There
- 3 is a profound reduction in diabetes over a
- 4 5.5-year mean follow-up and the data also suggests
- 5 that the incidence of hypertension initially
- 6 drops, but by six years postoperatively it rises
- 7 virtually to preoperative levels, suggesting that
- 8 other factors are important as well.
- 9 It is not yet possible to answer some
- 10 of the crucial questions concerning the aged
- population. According to the technical assessment
- of obesity, treatment of obesity among the
- 13 elderly, the all-cause risk of mortality
- 14 associated with obesity diminishes with age,
- raising questions about the benefit of reducing
- obesity in this population.
- 17 Because there are age-related
- differences in underlying physiology in general
- and fat distribution in particular, one should be

- 20 cautious about generalizing the findings in the
- younger population to those above 65 and certainly
- among those who are above 70 years of age.
- 23 Furthermore, there are few studies among surgical
- 24 mortality and morbidity in the aged.
- In September of 2000, the College

- 1 published a statement on recommendations of
- 2 facilities performing bariatric surgery which
- 3 addresses issues such as professional staff, the
- 4 operating room and hospital facilities. The
- 5 statement makes two points essential to the
- 6 success of bariatric surgery that are pertinent
- 7 here this morning. The first is that having a
- 8 full range of equipment and furniture appropriate

9	for tl	ne l	bari	atri	c pat	ient	in 1	the	opera	ating	roo	m

- and throughout the hospital facility is essential.
- 11 The second is having an interdisciplinary staff
- led by an experienced bariatric surgeon to provide
- care and counseling throughout the extended
- preoperative period and in the long postoperative
- period. Preoperative psychiatric screening and
- 16 pre and postoperative nutritional counseling,
- 17 preoperative screening by internal medicine and
- 18 endocrinologists are the most important but not
- 19 the only services that we believe must be made
- available. At the present time, coverage of
- 21 medically necessary pre and postoperative
- 22 counseling and screening varies from carrier to
- 23 carrier.
- In conclusion, the College supports
- programs for what has become an epidemic of great

- 1 public health concern to the nation, morbid
- 2 obesity. It urges CMS to continue to collect data
- 3 on outcomes for various bariatric procedures. In
- 4 addition, CMS must in our view take steps
- 5 necessary to assure coverage of preoperative and
- 6 postoperative care, especially preoperative
- 7 psychiatric screening and pre and postoperative
- 8 nutrition counseling. Thank you very much for
- 9 listening.
- DR. DAVIS: Thank you. Dr. Dixon.
- DR. DIXON: I'm John Dixon from
- Melbourne, Australia. I'm a clinical researcher
- in regard to obesity. I am a physician in a
- 14 full-time position heading up a research program.
- 15 I've received research grants and assistance from
- 16 INAMED Health, U.S. Surgical, Novartis Australia,
- and Tyco. My costs for coming here are being
- 18 covered by INAMED Health, but I have other

commitments in North America.

19

Severe obesity, as you are aware, is a

serious disease. There's overwhelming evidence

that all procedures under consideration provide

significant weight loss when compared to

nonsurgical measures. We looked at systematic

reviews, we looked at the Swedish Obesity study,

- 1 but we also have to see, as David Flum mentioned
- 2 earlier, the numerous excellent observational
- 3 studies demonstrating significant sustained weight
- 4 loss at five years when other therapies provide
- 5 minimal effect at this time.
- 6 I wanted to mention briefly some of the
- 7 systematic review that was done by the Australian

- 8 College of Surgeons and Australian government with
- 9 regard to the laparoscopic adjustable gastric
- 10 band. This looked at 64 publications and its aim
- was to compare this procedure with Roux-en-Y
- 12 gastric bypass and VBG, and to look particularly
- 13 at safety and efficacy.
- You've seen this slide earlier. This
- looks at the efficacy of the laparoscopic
- adjustable band showing sustained weight loss
- 17 after five years and as you know, there are many
- studies, this is Hadoff in 2001, there are many
- studies showing weight loss beyond that time. For
- 20 up to the first two years, however, there is less
- 21 weight loss with the LAGB compared with the
- 22 Roux-en-Y gastric bypass and this systematic
- 23 review found no difference thereafter.
- 24 There were, however, differences in
- short-term mortality and the mortality rate with

- 1 laparoscopic gastric bypass in the published
- 2 literature is one in 2,000, which compares with
- one in 200 for Roux-en-Y gastric bypass. I won't
- 4 cover vertical banded gastroplasty. With this
- 5 procedure there is also a very low short-term
- 6 morbidity, and overall morbidity. It's
- 7 interesting that very similar to the death rates
- 8 that David Flum has found with gastric bypass,
- 9 there's a strong association of morbidity with the
- 10 number of patients in the series. Experience
- 11 counts in these series.
- Now over the last seven years I have
- spend a lot of time, including my doctorate, in
- looking at and documenting the problems of obesity
- and the effect of weight loss on obesity. I have
- published numerous articles individually looking
- 17 at all these factors from Type 2 diabetes right
- through all of those to probably what's most

19	important in your elderly population, quality of
20	life. And there is a strong body of evidence
21	supporting the improvement or resolution in

- 22 comorbidity with treatment.
- Now, there is also a growing body of
- evidence, and these are just some of the studies
- 25 that show that it's cost effective to treat

- 1 obesity. And certainly the British study showing
- 2 that the cost per quality adjusted life here of
- 3 less than \$11,000 for all surgical cases is
- 4 important, and there are further data on this to
- 5 come.
- 6 Mortality is also crucial, yet I think
- 7 not as important when it comes to the elderly

- 8 where quality of life is going to be the main
- 9 reason for intervening, but there are a number of
- statements there. I must say that more recently,
- we have looked at mortality data and it's very
- 12 consistent with the Canadian data and that of
- David Flum, and it really does look like there is
- 14 now consistency that bariatric surgery in fact
- saves lives.
- Now, I want to talk a little bit about
- some of the features that I think are important
- with the LAGB system that allow low mortality,
- 19 allow broader applicability, particularly to the
- 20 elderly. There's low morbidity and mortality,
- 21 it's technically achievable, it's a short
- 22 procedure, short hospital stay. It's adjustable,
- so it's able to be gently moved along. There's a
- low risk of nutritional complications, and it can
- be readily reversed.

1	Weight loss is our most important, is
2	our best treatment for those severely obese.
3	Those with morbid obesity, those with some serious
4	morbidity, the only treatment that's working is
5	bariatric surgery, and we should have this
6	available for all of our patients. Thank you.
7	DR. DAVIS: Thank you very much.
8	Dr. Allen.
9	DR. ALLEN: My name is Jeff Allen, I'm
10	associate professor of surgery at the University
11	of Louisville. I receive financial support in the
12	form of research as well as a paid consultant, and
13	I'm a preceptor for U.S. Surgical, Karl Storz,
14	Ethicon, and INAMED. In this particular instance,
15	INAMED has reimbursed me for my travel expenses.
16	I would like to give you hopefully a

more personal view of bariatric surgery with

18 emphasis on the gastric band. I would say that 19 perhaps many of the committee members are immune 20 to some of the squabblings and arguments we have 21 as bariatric surgeons, arguing whether a gastric 22 band or a gastric bypass, or a BPV is best, but I 23 think it's very refreshing to see everyone come 24 together and really advocating bariatric surgery 25 in general, and I feel equally as comfortable

- 1 advocating a gastric bypass up here, but today I'm
- 2 wearing a gastric band hat.
- 3 At the University of Louisville prior
- 4 to FDA approval, we became a C site trial site for
- 5 BioEnterics, which is now the INAMED band, and I
- 6 began placing bands in 2001 after a fellowship in

_	A . 1.	1	1 1		1		•
1	Australia,	and v	we had	a gastric	hypass	program	1r
,	1 Iustiuiiu,	alla i	v C maa	a Sasare	o j pass	programm	

- 8 place at that point. In that time period since
- 9 then we have seen 1,448 patients in our clinic.
- 10 About half have had gastric bypass and half have
- 11 had gastric bands, and of the gastric bypass it's
- probably two to one laparoscopic to open. Our
- patients are heavy, with a pre-op weight of 306
- pounds with a BMI of 49.
- I did look at our database and there
- are some folks who we have operated upon and put
- bands in who had Medicare as either their
- secondary insurer or maybe even their primary
- insurer and somehow or another we operated on
- them. There are 16 of those, their pre-op weight
- 21 was 319 pounds, which are a little bit heavier,
- and this ranges up to a patient with a body mass
- index in excess of 86, so these are very heavy
- sick people.
- Comorbidities are what we see; all but

- 1 10 percent of our band patients have some
- 2 significant comorbidities. We use a technique
- 3 called pars flaccida, I mention it only to put a
- 4 picture on what we do. This is an empiric thing
- 5 that we've learned, perhaps even in the A trial,
- 6 the technique for placing and adjusting these
- 7 bands is different, it's simply improved.
- 8 It's important to know that there are
- 9 some unique and common complications with gastric
- 10 banding. Three of our patients, we've had to
- 11 convert to an open operation. That means 461 were
- done laparoscopically, and that's a tremendous
- benefit. There's a much higher rate of
- laparoscopic completion than a gastric bypass. We
- had one death, somebody we presumed to have a PE,
- but it was likely due to an arrhythmia after a
- 17 negative autopsy. Post-op obstruction was a

18	compl	ication	that	we saw	fairly	frequent	tly

- initially; we have not seen any in the past year
- with the advent of a larger band. We're getting
- 21 better in how we do these things.
- We've learned how to prevent
- obstruction by taking that fat pad that you can
- see down there, and you can see it
- 25 laparoscopically like this, and then sizing the

- 1 lesser curved fat pad. All these things are
- 2 simply improvement and it's a learning curve both
- 3 for me as an individual and as surgeons in general
- 4 doing these.
- 5 Gastric prolapse remains the most
- 6 common complication associated with this device.

- We have about 5 percent, and it's simply when the
- 8 stomach herniates up through the band as you can
- 9 see here, and here on the laparoscopic picture,
- 10 here on an x-ray, and here endoscopically. I
- think it's very helpful to see these pictures and
- see exactly what we're talking about.
- Sometimes the bands erode. This is
- unusual, it's happened three times we've had to
- take all those bands out. Apart from that, I've
- taken about 15 bands out of these 400, including
- some of the erosion, some of the obstructions I've
- mentioned earlier, so it's certainly not a perfect
- operation but I feel it's really the best one as
- 20 far as minimal complications, minimal mortality.
- Now, looking at the Medicare specific
- group, there were no deaths and there was one
- patient who had a gastric prolapse. These seemed
- 24 to mimic our total group.
- Weight loss data, 202 patients have

1	C 11	.1 •	1 1 1	•
ı	one-year follow-up;	their average	Weight loss	10
1	one year fortow up,	mon average	WCIZIIL IOBB	10

- 2 41 percent. In the Medicare specific group, seven
- 3 patients have one-year follow-up; their average
- 4 weight loss for some reason is better, 52 percent.
- 5 And if you look at pre-op SF-36 scores in this
- 6 group, 28 to begin, one-year post-op 47, dramatic
- 7 improvement, and we know the value of the SF-36.
- 8 In the big group, 91 patients have

- two-year follow-up with an average weight loss of
- 10 50 percent, and seven patients at 60 percent. So
- unlike the gastric bypass perhaps, the patients
- lose weight a little built longer, and slower.
- So in conclusion, we find that American
- weight loss with gastric band in my hands is very
- similar to what we see internationally. I think
- 16 the key is the low mortality and serious

17	complication rate makes it a particularly
18	attractive operation or surgical option for the
19	Medicare populations. There's a high rate of
20	laparoscopic completion and marked improvement in
21	physical function as seen in these SF-36 scores.
22	Thank you so much and we appreciate
23	your consideration.
24	DR. DAVIS: Thank you. Dr. Provost.

DR. PROVOST: David Provost. I'm

25

- 1 associate professor of surgery at the University
- 2 of Texas Southwestern Medical Center in Dallas.
- We've received research grants as an institution
- 4 from Karl Storz, U.S. Surgical, and I have
- 5 received consultant fees from U.S. Surgical and

- 6 INAMED, and travel for this meeting was paid for
- 7 by INAMED.
- 8 What would like to speak about briefly
- 9 is our experience with laparoscopic banding
- specifically in the Medicare population. We've
- heard about the band that's placed around the top
- of the stomach, it's adjustable, its perioperative
- morbidity is low, and with this we can see a rapid
- return to normal activity and gradual weight loss.
- We have been placing the gastric band
- since October 2001. Over that time period we've
- placed 94 bands in Medicare patients. I have
- broken these out into two groups. The first is a
- 19 group of patients who are over 65, we have 33 of
- these patients. Their mean age was 67.8 years
- 21 with a range of 65 to 75, and their mean body mass
- index was 48.1. The larger group, and this larger
- group has actually been my experience with bypass
- patients as well, the majority of Medicare
- 25 patients who we will operate on will be those who

- 1 are under age 65 on Social Security for long-term
- disability. 61 patients with a mean age of 48.4,
- 3 higher BMI in this group, mean of 54, with a range
- 4 of 35 to 104.1. In these patients, all bands with
- 5 the exception of one which was placed at the same
- 6 time as the repair of a giant abdominal wall
- 7 hernia, and they were placed laparoscopically.
- 8 There was a single conversion, there were no
- 9 perioperative mortalities.
- 10 If we look at weight loss in these two
- groups, again, the weight loss will be slower than
- what's seen with the bypass. We have short-term
- follow-up at this point, but what we do see is
- 14 good progressive weight loss in both groups.
- 15 Again, the weight loss begins to be a little bit
- slower initially with the younger age group, but

they were a bigger group so if you actually look
at pounds lost, they are quite equivalent. At 18
months we see weight loss approaching 50 percent
in the entire cohort.

I would like to talk a second about comorbidities. The first column, again, is the percent of the total patient population who had these comorbidities at the time of surgery; the second column is those who have seen improvement

- 1 and/or resolution. Again, this was assessed in
- 2 those patients who were beyond three months
- 3 post-surgical follow-up, and what we see is that
- 4 there is a high prevalence of diabetes mellitus,
- 5 asthma, heart failure, joint disease, reflux,

- 6 hyperlipidemia, hypertension, back pain and sleep
- 7 apnea in these patients. The incidence of most of
- 8 these comorbidities seems to be, is higher in the
- 9 younger Medicare population. Again, these are
- patients who are disabled primarily because of
- their obesity and what we do see is marked
- improvement in these comorbidities with weight
- loss surgery.
- 14 Again, the band has not been available,
- so I can't give you long-term results, we've seen
- the foreign results.
- 17 So we have had good results in the
- Medicare population with a low perioperative
- morbidity and mortality, with good improvements in
- their comorbidities. I would like to comment that
- all of these patients for the most part had
- 22 comorbidities because that's what Medicare covered
- at the time. There is a subgroup of patients who
- 24 we have operated on where we haven't been
- 25 reimbursed who had a comorbidity of joint disease

1	or impa	aired	functional	status.	which	was	not
-	O1 1111	111 C C	101101101101	status,	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	*** 665	

- 2 considered a significant comorbidity by Medicare.
- 3 But if you take a 30-year old who's on Social
- 4 Security because of the weight of 500 pounds and
- 5 impaired functional status, those are the patients
- 6 who you're looking at a lifetime of Social
- 7 Security and Medicare coverage, where we can get
- 8 them back into the work force at a young age, and
- 9 I think we've seen that several times in our
- 10 patient population.
- 11 Thank you very much.
- DR. DAVIS: Thank you. Dr. Fisher.
- DR. FISHER: My name is Barry Fisher.
- 14 I'm a bariatric surgeon in Las Vegas, Nevada, and
- 15 I'm here speaking on behalf of our patients who

16	need surgery but are deprived financially. My
17	pension fund has some stock in INAMED Health. I'm
18	a proctor and speaker for INAMED Health and
19	Ethicon Endosurgery, and I am in line to receive
20	an educational grant from Ethicon. My
21	transportation and lodging to this meeting were
22	paid for by INAMED Health. I have served on the
23	NIDDK advisory panel, a medical advisory panel for
24	INAMED Health, and chairman of a committee for
25	advances in bariatric surgery. Although I am

- 1 listed here as being associated with two medical
- 2 schools, I am a clinical teacher at those. We're
- 3 in a community practice.
- 4 I am here to address only three of the

- 5 questions found in the greater concerns, medical
- 6 versus surgical therapy, three different surgical
- 7 approaches, the resolution of associated medical
- 8 problems.
- 9 In 1995 there was a study published by
- 10 Louis Martin which unequivocally demonstrated the
- 11 failure of medical treatment for morbid obesity
- when compared to the long-term success of surgical
- intervention. You've seen the results of the SOS
- study and this confirms what Louis Martin
- reported. 89 percent at five years durability of
- surgical treatment, 83 percent at seven years,
- with complete return of lost weight in those
- patients who were treated by diet alone.
- We have been performing obesity surgery
- 20 for over 14 years, now limiting our practice
- 21 exclusively to bariatric surgery. We've had
- 22 experience with three different surgical
- 23 procedures and concurrently performed open,
- 24 laparoscopic gastric bypass and laparoscopic
- 25 banding, presently limiting our practice to

- 1 laparoscopic Roux-en-Y and laparoscopic banding,
- 2 as these seem to offer the best outcomes at the
- 3 lowest risks.
- 4 90 percent of our patients had one or
- 5 more comorbidity, 100 percent over the age of 65
- 6 had or exhibited one or more comorbidities in our
- 7 practice. In 2001 we adopted a detailed database
- 8 of our cases, now totalling 826 patients. We
- 9 analyzed this comparing the efficacy of gastric
- 10 bypass to lap banding. The first question we
- addressed was whether open and laparoscopic
- 12 gastric bypass were equally efficacious, and this
- slide demonstrates the analysis of our whole
- 14 practice.
- 15 It shows good long-term follow-up.

16	There was no significant difference in weight
17	comparing open and laparoscopic gastric bypass,
18	and the lap band patients' early weight loss was
19	clearly less than that of the Roux-en-Y patients,
20	with difference beginning to narrow with time.
21	All three produced excellent and durable weight
22	loss after three years in our programs.
23	We then further analyzed this group
24	using a matched pair case control model and in
25	this we matched patients by BMI and date of

- 1 surgery, and observed that there was no difference
- 2 subsequent when we analyzed it in terms of age and
- 3 preoperative weight in these matched pairs.
- 4 In this matched pair analysis we

- 5 confirmed that the gastric bypass is more
- 6 effective than the lap band during the first two
- 7 years with the difference in weight loss
- 8 diminishing with time. In addition, Pories
- 9 reported that gastric bypass patients regained
- substantial weight in later years and many authors
- 11 have confirmed that. This may be understood as
- these operations, the gastric bypass and the lap
- band affect the patient in different ways and
- their weight loss is therefore subsequently
- 15 different.
- With regard to mortality and
- 17 complications in our practice since 2001, they are
- shown in the following two slides. Mortality risk
- seems to be higher with the gastric bypass.
- 20 Specifically, the one-year mortality of 0.68
- 21 percent compared to the zero mortality in our
- 22 patients at one year. And the subsequent
- 23 conclusion here, however, is that the return to
- 24 the operating room is different with a higher
- 25 return to the operating room after gastric band

1	than	is	observed	in	our la	narosco	nic	gastric
1	uiaii	19	obsci vcu	111	Our ra	iparosco	pic	gasurc

- 2 bypass patients. In addition, there is a
- 3 significant difference in return to the operating
- 4 room between laparoscopic and open gastric bypass
- 5 due to wound complications.
- 6 With regard to associated medical
- 7 problems, it is clear that in the two large data
- 8 series that we analyzed when making our decision,
- 9 that there was no significant difference in
- 10 resolution of comorbidities. In our own practice,
- 42 percent diabetes reduced to 1 percent diabetes
- 12 at one year; we define it as less than 126 blood
- sugar and a hemoglobin A1C of less than 6.
- 14 In conclusion, surgery is more durable

15	than medical therapy, there is no significant
16	difference in the efficacy, and both reduce
17	operative laparoscopic approach significantly
18	reduces operative complications due to the high
19	incidence of wound problems. Both laparoscopic
20	Roux-en-Y and lap band effectively reduce weight
21	improve health and quality of life. Lap Roux-en-Y
22	may carry with it a higher mortality risk and the
23	Medicare population should be offered the choice.
24	Finally, Medicare pays for chronic
25	renal dialysis and other chronic conditions that

- 1 do not result in permanent cure and where the
- 2 outcome is dependent on patient compliance. You
- 3 have to apply the same yardstick in your decision

- 4 to cover treatment for this epidemic condition
- 5 because the country is watching. In addition, we
- 6 do not do Medicare patients because coverage
- 7 decisions are made by carriers. In our area,
- 8 every case has been challenged, and 50 percent of
- 9 our cases in our series were denied even though
- they had clear evidence of comorbidities. This
- 11 committee and CMS must come out with a clear and
- unencumbered statement of coverage. Thank you.
- DR. DAVIS: Thank you. Dr. Hess.
- DR. HESS: Good morning. I'm Dr. Hess,
- 15 I'm in private practice. I have no one supporting
- me as far as finances of any kind and my trip here
- was supported by my wife but she doesn't know it
- 18 yet. Thank you.
- We do the biliopancreatic bypass, we
- take out half the stomach and we bypass part of
- 21 the stomach so we can absorb fat here, we restrict
- it here, we save the pylorus, everyone sort of
- 23 knows what it is. My statistics are like everyone
- else's. We do have an average of 51 BMI in our
- 25 first 1,000 patients. My first ten-year weight

- loss study was in April of 2003, has 120 patients.
- We had 111 of them or 92 percent of those at ten
- 3 years, and that's a 76 percent excess weight loss
- 4 at that point. We also grade them by the
- 5 parameters because averages sort of give you funny
- 6 answers sometimes, 80/60 and so forth, but
- 7 everybody above 50 we call satisfactory. And we
- 8 took that same 111 patients and we have 76 percent
- 9 in the good to excellent range and we have 94
- 10 percent in the satisfactory range.
- We also have some advantages to this
- operation. It is a pyloric saving operation. It
- has rare marginal ulcers and we had no dumping
- syndrome, we don't particularly like the dumping

15	syndrome. We use no foreign materials, and it is
16	functionally reversible easily at the distal
17	Roux-en-Y, and if you operate the stomach the
18	right size you never have to touch it again,
19	because that's the tough spot.
20	Comorbidities, we cure them or we
21	prevent them or markedly improve them. Diabetes
22	type 2, we got a 98 percent cure rate. I think

they're 100 on sleep apnea, hypoventilation

improved, hypercholesteremia it absolutely

syndrome was markedly improved, hypertension

23

24

25

- 1 improves, and the others things do too.
- 2 This is our graph of 105 patients who
- 3 are all type 2 diabetics, their average blood

- 4 sugar was 200, this is a six-year graph. Everyone
- 5 here is normal after six months, none taking any
- 6 medicine, one half were taking insulin, one half
- 7 were taking a hypoglycemic agent. This guy had
- 8 severe sleep apnea, very heavy. He's 12 years
- 9 post-op and this is the way we think they should
- 10 look. We think we should be thinking about
- long-term results.
- We do have some major complications.
- 13 Gastric leak, 0.7 percent; revisions are between 3
- and 5 percent, you don't know because time adds up
- on these revisions; we had a half a percent we
- 16 reversed. A couple had cancer, a couple got into
- drugs. We have had eight deaths out of over 1,400
- patients, and 90 percent of those were the very
- 19 severely obese patient.
- This is our last slide, just done last
- 21 month. It's 182 patients and we have 92 percent
- follow-up at the 10-year point, some of these are
- 23 12 or 15 years, but at 10 years we got 75 percent
- 24 excess weight loss. We feel that we should be

109

not be reoperating these things, because that's 1 2 where all the risks are. Thank you very much. 3 DR. DAVIS: Thank you. Dr. Blackstone. DR. BLACKSTONE: I'm Robin Blackstone, 4 5 I'm a community surgeon from Scottsdale, Arizona. 6 I do work as an educator for Ethicon Endosurgery 7 and I'm on the board of directors for Viking, 8 which is a medical device company. Other than that, I guess you could say that my patients paid 9 my way here today through our practice. I have 10 11 not received any compensation for being here today. Thank you very much for allowing us to 12

speak, and thank you, Mrs. Long, for all your

e-mails in regards to this.

14

- I wanted to bring you the perspective
- of a community practice which has been developed
- since July of 2001. In that time we decided, both
- myself and my hospital, that we would jointly put
- 19 together a program using the American College of
- 20 Surgeons guideline SAGES, and the American Society
- of Bariatric Surgery guidelines, and the program
- was developed along those guidelines. The program
- 23 philosophy focuses on education of the patient.
- We established a prospective database in which
- each patient is entered prior to surgery so that

- 1 we can track longitudinally all of their safety
- 2 data as well as resolution of comorbidities. We

- 3 have long-term follow-up as you'll see, to the
- 4 extent that we can in a three-year-old program,
- 5 and our goal is to create a community of support,
- 6 both psychological and medical for these patients,
- 7 and to communicate with the primary care provider
- 8 network that was going to care for these patients
- 9 long term.
- The program I've just outlined here,
- and I won't go into it further. Let me just say
- that most of the patient have done an extensive
- randomized trial of their own weight loss
- therapies which has been self funded prior to
- coming to see us, and those who have not done any
- type of thing like this, will do it once they are
- in our program where we have a dietitian and
- 18 psychologist who works with them during this
- 19 period regarding behavior modification, and to
- show them that this can benefit them, so they use
- 21 surgery as a tool.
- Basically in our program, we use the
- National Institutes of Health criteria, which was
- established, as you know, in the consensus

13

111

1	18-to-60-year olds. We now have 47 patients
2	between 60 and 71 that we have operated on. They
3	need to have failed supervised medical weight loss
4	attempts, they have to have a good understanding
5	of the surgery and its risks, they have extensive
6	psychological evaluation including MMPI and other
7	tests, as well as interviews. And if they are
8	identified as needing some type of ongoing
9	support, they are referred into that prior to
10	surgery.
11	These are the two procedures that we

currently perform. The gastric bypass Roux-en-Y

was the first procedure that we began with after a

14	fairly extensive four-month period of training and
15	preparation for the hospital, which included of
16	course sensitivity training as well as equipment
17	issues. We also have enjoyed the dedication of
18	our hospital team in providing us with consistent
19	OR support, so we have pretty much the same team
20	operating, doing anesthesia on a daily basis,
21	which I think insures quality. We also have a
22	bariatric unit with nurses that are dedicated to
23	the bariatric patient group. In January of 2003
24	we began doing gastric band, we have now done
25	about 50 gastric bands, we have not done any in

- 1 the Medicare population.
- 2 These are, I wanted to give you an idea

- 3 of what our Medicare population look like as
- 4 compared to our total. 37 Medicare patients, 805
- 5 in SBC. This gives you an example of the
- 6 complications that we've enjoyed. We've had zero
- 7 30-day mortality in either group of patients, and
- 8 this data is through July of this year. It gives
- 9 you an idea of how that mortality is in context,
- and I just wanted to point out that we do know
- that even gall bladder surgery has some mortality
- and compared to the mortality risk of these
- patients long term, I think will be good.
- I wanted to say, too, that our age
- population is about the same as Medicare in
- 16 general. Most of our patients are younger, they
- have a significant number of comorbidities. We
- have zero patients in the Medicare population with
- 19 no comorbidities. Some of these comorbidities,
- though, would not be actually reimbursed by
- 21 Medicare. This is the distribution of
- 22 comorbidities in our patient population, similar
- 23 to the distribution that you see nationally.

25 805 patients followed up. Comorbidity resolution

- 1 rates in our Medicare population parallel what
- 2 you've seen demonstrated in the meta-analysis and
- 3 other studies. And our diabetes resolution, out
- 4 of 164 diabetics treated through the end of July,
- 5 you can see that 31 remain on oral therapy after
- 6 17 months, and most have resolved that and this
- 7 was the mechanism of their resolution.
- 8 In the arena of social outcomes, 26 of
- 9 37 patients were able to be contacted. Four
- 10 patients were working full time. Again, this is a
- disabled young population who is mostly not
- working.

13	In conclusion, most of the Medicare
14	population that are being treated are between the
15	ages of 25 and 64. They have a higher degree of
16	comorbid disease and in our group 44 percent were
17	diabetic versus about 21 percent in our study at
18	large. Surgical therapy was the most effective
19	treatment. It does consider risk, but the risk of
20	not treating is higher. Outcome and process
21	improvement will be hallmarks of a program that
22	Medicare treatments and other payers' patients
23	should be treated through.
24	We have just applied for the ASBS
25	center of excellence designation, and have been

- 2 employer and a couple of other insurers. And I
- 3 think a high volume comprehensive community with a
- 4 continuum of care and this kind of comprehensive
- 5 treatment, really is your best way to treat these
- 6 patients in a safe environment. Thank you.
- 7 DR. DAVIS: Thank you. Dr. Bessler.
- 8 DR. BESSLER: Good morning and thank
- 9 you to the panel for the opportunity to present to
- 10 you. My name is Marc Bessler, I am an assistant
- 11 professor of surgery at Columbia University. By
- way of conflicts, I have received research support
- 13 from Tyco, United States Surgical, TransNeuronics
- 14 Corporation, and my trip today was covered by
- 15 INAMED.
- I briefly just want to address the
- issue of comorbidity resolution and safety of
- these operations. We talked about the operations
- 19 already. We undertook a study to compare the
- outcomes of both gastric bypass to adjustable
- 21 gastric banding and not so much to point to one
- versus the other as better, but to show that they
- are both potential options for patients.

- 1 was part of the C trial in February of '01. A
- 2 quarter of those patients approximately elected to
- 3 have gastric banding and the other three quarters
- 4 had gastric bypass, mostly laparoscopically. We
- 5 also did a matched case control which I'll show
- 6 you.
- 7 This is the weight loss data. The
- 8 square is looking at the excess BMI loss and the
- 9 circle is looking at excess weight loss. You can
- see at two years 46 percent excess weight loss for
- banding and 65 percent excess weight loss for
- 12 gastric bypass, very similar to all the other data

13	I guess you've seen today, except again, this is
14	from the United States and compared in the same
15	center done by the same surgeons with the same
16	definitions, the same scale, et cetera and so
17	forth, so it's not compared across studies and I
18	think adds some value.
19	This is our matched trial because the
20	patients who had the lap band were slightly older
21	by two years and slightly heavier by two BMI
22	points, and we wanted to control for that, so when
23	we matched for that the results as you can see are
24	pretty much the same.
25	We looked at sweet eaters versus

- 2 weight loss in our gastric banding or our gastric
- 3 bypass patients whether they were sweet eaters or
- 4 non-sweet eaters, and I think that issue can
- 5 probably be put to rest at this point with a large
- 6 study that was also published from Australia.
- 7 The BMI does seem to affect weight
- 8 loss. This is statistically lower BMI -- I'm
- 9 sorry, lower percent excess weight loss if the
- patient has a BMI over 50 with gastric bypass, but
- it didn't reach significance it the patient had a
- 12 BMI over 50 with banding, and that may be due to
- the different mechanisms of these operations over
- 14 time.
- What's not up here, I guess this was
- added, was the comorbidity resolution. Up front,
- 17 40 percent of our patients had hypertension, 21
- percent diabetes, 30 percent approximately
- 19 hyperlipidemia, and in the mid to high 60s
- arthritis, and that was similar between the band
- and bypass groups. We had a slightly higher
- 22 incidence of urinary incontinence and obesity

- 23 hypoventilation syndrome in our gastric bypass
- 24 group, and again, that may be due to the female
- 25 predominance and the weight difference.

- 1 The resolution of those comorbidities
- 2 between the two groups were identical except for,
- 3 and I want to find the data for you here,
- 4 hypertension was 71 percent resolved in our
- 5 gastric bypass group and that was higher, and also
- 6 a higher resolution was 90 percent for
- 7 osteoarthritis, 90 percent for GERD, and 90
- 8 percent for urinary incontinence, versus in the
- 9 70s for our adjustable banding, but again,
- significant resolution of these diseases. I think
- 11 that it's clear that these operations benefit our

patients, 90 percent of whom have comorbiditiesand equivalently so.

The last important point, also perhaps previously made, is that risks of these operations, we had no mortality in either group, but the morbidity was 15 percent for our gastric bypass patients and 6.6 percent for our lap band patients. Although more patients returned to the operating room for relatively minor procedures with the lap band, the major complications were higher in the gastric bypass group, perhaps offsetting the difference in weight loss. I think both these and other operations need to be available to our patients because it really does

- 1 improve their quality of life, as you have already
- 2 seen, and I thank you for the opportunity to
- 3 present.
- 4 DR. DAVIS: Thank you. Dr. Still.
- 5 DR. STILL: Good morning. My name is
- 6 Christopher Still. I am the medical director at
- 7 the Center for Nutrition and Weight Management at
- 8 Geisinger Medical Center in Danville. I do serve
- 9 as a medical consultant for Ethicon in a scientist
- advisory board. I have no other conflicts of
- interest and I paid my own way this morning.
- What I would like to thank the panel
- 13 for allowing me to come to present is obesity
- treatment and outcomes of obesity treatment in the
- 15 rural community setting. Just to give you some
- 16 historical perspective, we started our
- 17 comprehensive management program in 1994 and in
- 18 2001 we added the modality of bariatric surgery.
- We do live in a rural community place and we offer
- services to 31 counties in central Pennsylvania.
- We have a comprehensive weight management program
- that includes diet, behavior modification,

- 23 exercise, pharmacotherapy if weight loss plateaus,
- and then if appropriate, bariatric surgery after a
- six-to-eight month process. We do have a

- 1 multidisciplinary team approach including myself
- 2 as the medical director; we have a bariatric
- 3 medicine fellow; we have two physician assistants,
- 4 three nurse specialists, five registered
- 5 dietitians, two behavioral psychologists, four
- 6 bariatric surgeons, and a myriad of research
- 7 coordinators and technicians, and also an
- 8 insurance coordinator.
- 9 Just to show you the overall statistics
- of our weight management center, the average BMI
- of all comers is 46 with a corresponding weight of

12	284 pounds. 38 percent of these individuals
13	suffer from diabetes, 27 percent from obstructive
14	sleep apnea, 18 percent from steatohepatitis or
15	fatty liver, and 22 percent from depression. Our
16	active number of patients in our database is about
17	4,300. We see about 45 to 50 new patients per
18	week and we operate on about 10 to 15 patients per
19	week. So clearly surgery is not our main focus of
20	our comprehensive weight management program, but
21	it is the most effective modality, as I will show
22	you. Approximately 9.2 percent of all of our
23	patients are Medicare patients.
24	Just to show you some medical
25	management one-year outcome data, as I said, 9.2

- 1 percent of these were Medicare patients. 38
- 2 percent of the medical management were diabetic.
- 3 The average weight loss was nine pounds or a
- 4 reduction in body mass index by 1.2. There was a
- 5 modest but important reduction in hemoglobin A1C
- 6 in the medical management from 8.8 initially to
- 7.4 after one year, which represented a 1.4
- 8 percent drop. It is significant but unfortunately
- 9 in medical management, it's just not long lasting,
- and I think from an internist, that's where the
- surgical outcomes are much more impressive for
- 12 that.
- Now if we look at the Medicare
- population specifically in the surgical outcomes,
- the average weight was 322 pounds, the average BMI
- is 53, the average age in our Medicare population
- was 51. The average weight loss was 86 pounds and
- losing minus 14 body mass indices. Out of the 713
- surgeries that we've completed since 2001, 10
- 20 percent or 71 were from Medicare patients. 84
- 21 percent of the Medicare patients had hypertension,

- 22 68 percent had diabetes; as you recall, 38 percent
- in our medical management of all comers had it.
- 52 percent had high cholesterol, 40 percent had
- 25 reflux and obstructive sleep apnea, and 14 percent

- 1 had depression.
- 2 As I showed you, the statistical
- 3 differences between medical and surgical weight
- 4 loss was about nine pounds versus 83 pounds.
- 5 Surgical management of the resolution of the
- 6 entire population, 84 percent had normal
- 7 hemoglobin A1C after two years. After one year it
- 8 went from 8.8 to 6.7, and then two years a 6.1
- 9 percent hemoglobin A1C. Hypertension was resolved
- 10 68 percent of the time. Obstructive sleep apnea,

11	these are pre-and-post sleep studies, resolved or
12	normalized in 84 percent of individuals. And
13	fatty liver, steatohepatitis normalized in 70
14	percent of individuals.
15	We've also published data on monthly
16	cost reduction in diabetic and hypertensive
17	medications pre and post-surgery. This is in the
18	Medicare population. The average cost for monthly
19	medications for diabetes and hypertension was \$192
20	per month preoperatively and post-surgical was
21	\$36.35, with a monthly savings of \$156.
22	So in conclusion, I believe that
23	bariatric surgery is a highly effective treatment
24	for morbid obesity, and most importantly in
25	resolving its comorbid medical problems, and it

- should be offered to patients who fail
- 2 conservative management. Compared to medical
- 3 management, surgery results are more profound and
- 4 long term than medical management.
- 5 However, to insure the optimal outcome,
- 6 I think surgery needs to be performed in a
- 7 multidisciplinary program for the best results.
- 8 At least in our experience, the community or rural
- 9 setting suggests that good outcomes can certainly
- 10 be achieved both in the general and the Medicare
- population, and I believe that bariatric surgery
- is a key in the spectrum of treatments and
- modalities for the treatment of obesity. Thank
- 14 you.
- DR. DAVIS: Thank you. Dr. Schoelles.
- DR. SCHOELLES: Hi. Karen Schoelles.
- 17 I'm an internist and geriatrician. I am an
- 18 employee of MetaWorks. We performed the
- meta-analysis, the systematic review of the
- 20 literature and the meta-analysis that formed the
- basis of Dr. Buchwald's manuscript in JAMA. Our

- work was sponsored by Ethicon Endosurgery and my
- 23 travel expenses for coming here today were
- supplied by Ethicon Endosurgery. I'm currently a
- student at the Harvard School of Public Health and

- living on a grad student's budget again, so I
- 2 accepted.
- The key question we were asked to
- 4 address in our, or in this particular part of a
- 5 larger effort of looking at the bariatric surgery
- 6 literature was on the question of how
- 7 comorbidities are affected after bariatric
- 8 surgery. We performed a search in the usual way
- 9 that has become recognized in the systematic
- 10 review process. Our company was founded by Dr.

11	Thomas Chalmers and Dr. Susan Ross, and we have
12	built upon the work of the Cochran Group as well
13	as other EPCs doing work for AHRQ.
14	We did the search for this literature
15	review beginning in 1990 and the cutoff date was
16	June 5th of 2003. We were building an entire

database catalog of all the bariatric surgery

exclusions, the primary exclusions being studies

that reported on fewer than ten patients or had

larger catalog we sought those studies that had

some outcome pertinent to one of the comorbidities

less than 30 days of follow-up. Within that

of interest. We initially were trying to also

look at health care economics data and some of

literature in that time period with the

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 those studies have some of the outcomes but not

- 2 all.
- There is a lot of difficulty with this
- 4 literature and I think the presentations today
- 5 made me think about how valuable registries are in
- 6 this field. There are many issues with the way
- 7 the number of patients at any given time point are
- 8 reported. We didn't always know whether there was
- 9 right sensoring, in other words, patients who only
- recently were operated and in which cases there
- 11 was attrition lost to follow-up.
- What we settled on as our best
- 13 compromise was to pick the latest time point at
- which at least 50 percent of the described cohort
- was being followed and for which there were
- outcomes. In this process we screened over 2,700
- 17 abstracts and this particular data set included
- 18 134 studies with 91 overlapping publications. We
- 19 make an effort not to double count people. And in
- 20 this set, again, we were choosing papers based on

- 21 the reporting of some outcome pertinent to
- 22 comorbidity.
- As you can see, there were a large
- 24 number of patients. The age range reported in the
- 25 papers, in other words the range of the ranges,

- 1 went from age 11 to age 73. There were seven
- 2 studies that included enough older patients to
- 3 have a mean age over 50, and four of those studies
- 4 had a minimum age of 50. 25 of the studies in the
- 5 entire set included patients over 65 but did not
- 6 report separable outcomes.
- 7 These are some so-called forest plots
- 8 of the meta-analytic results. As you know from
- 9 hearing most of this through the day, the change

10	in weight either measured by BMI or absolute
11	weight loss in kilograms is significant. We were
12	able to capture some results on populations
13	specifically described as either diabetic or
14	glucose intolerant in some way, not always by the
15	specific definition that internists might use, but
16	the data is limited and yet, there were
17	significant decreases in hemoglobin A1C in the
18	diabetic population. Glucose results both overall
19	and within the diabetic population were quite
20	impressive as well. The decrease in total
21	cholesterol was less so but the change in
22	triglycerides was fairly significant.
23	You've heard these numbers throughout
24	the day today and these are the other overall
25	numbers for resolution or improvement in the

1 comorbidities and I won't belabor those again, but

- 2 again, they are high numbers.
- 3 DR. DAVIS: Thank you very much.
- 4 Dr. Rabkin.
- 5 DR. RABKIN: My name is Robert Rabkin,
- 6 I am speaking as a bariatric surgeon in private
- 7 practice in San Francisco. In the past I've
- 8 received funding from Tyco and Johnson & Johnson,
- 9 but my appearance here today is not paid for by
- any other entity other than my patients.
- I want to skip forward to question five
- just to say that I'm highly confident that it's
- very likely that results of bariatric surgery can
- be generalized to the Medicare population as
- practiced in a community-based, or by
- 16 community-based providers.
- I want to address the construction of
- question four. The most important concept I want
- 19 to convey today is that the duodenal switch or DS
- 20 procedure is a vastly different entity than other

- 21 weight loss procedures. The weight loss is
- greater and more durable and due to the preserved
- 23 gastric anatomy, there's no dumping, gastritis or
- 24 ulceration. These elements distinguish the DS
- 25 from the Roux-en-Y gastric bypass, the lap band,

- 1 and notably from the biliopancreatic diversion or
- 2 BPD procedure. As important, there are
- 3 significant quality of life issues that are
- 4 improved with the DS compared to the other
- 5 procedures.
- 6 I have included in my slides some
- 7 forerunners of the DS because they're sometimes
- 8 confused with the modern DS procedure of Dr. Hess.
- 9 Of historical interest only, the JIB was developed

- in the 1950s and is no longer performed. We've already heard about the other weight loss options so we can move on, and again, the vertical banded gastroplasty, we can move on. The lap band, like the vertical banded gastroplasty, is a restrictive procedure.
- We all agree that the foundation of
 this is weight loss. The Roux-en-Y gastric bypass
 is the most popular procedure today, but it has
 limitations because it is primarily restrictive.
 Initial quality of life is compromised by
 extremely small meal volumes as well as a dumping
- syndrome, marginal ulceration and gastritis.
 Accommodation to the restrictive effect occurs in
- 24 many patients, gradually allowing for larger
- 25 meals, and can result in substantial weight

- 1 regain. There is a lifetime contraindication to
- 2 ansates, which are among the most commonly used
- 3 medications today, both prescribed and over the
- 4 counter, and this problem is caused by the
- 5 juxtaposition of acid producing mucosa to small
- 6 bowel at the proximal anastomosis of the Roux-en-Y
- 7 gastric bypass, which is avoided by the
- 8 construction of the duodenal switch for the many
- 9 formerly obese patients who continue to suffer
- joint problems of exclusion of ansates is a
- serious lifelong problem.
- 12 As I mentioned, the nomenclature can be
- 13 confusing. The biliopancreatic diversion or BPD
- developed by Dr. Scopinaro in the 1970s is not the
- modern duodenal switch procedure. This is
- 16 important and we will review the distinctions in a
- 17 moment. This is a distal Roux-en-Y gastric
- bypass, which adds a malabsorption component to
- 19 Roux-en-Y gastric bypass, but does not, has no

- 20 effect on the disadvantages that we mentioned as
- 21 far as the Roux-en-Y gastric bypass.
- The modern duodenal switch constructs a
- 23 two-shaped stomach for moderate restriction and
- limits the length of the common channel to reduce
- absorption. Reduced stomach capacity produces the

- 1 initial dramatic weight loss, and gradually the
- 2 stomach size increases to permit larger meals, at
- 3 which point malabsorption takes over to maintain
- 4 weigh loss. As I mentioned at the outset, DS
- 5 advantages include normal eating habits, no
- 6 dumping and normally functioning stomach with no
- 7 marginal ulcers. There's no blind pouch as seen
- 8 in the Roux-En-Y gastric bypass. And upper GI

9	x-rays and endoscopy and so forth can be done
10	noninvasively to evaluate the entire stomach.
11	The disadvantages, while the duodenal
12	switch is a more complex procedure, somewhat
13	increased operative type, and the resected lateral
14	portion of the stomach can't be reinserted.
15	Our practice primarily offers
16	laparoscopic duodenal switch using techniques
17	which I developed in 1999. To date we have
18	performed more than 840 laparoscopic DS
19	procedures, which is the largest such series, with
20	one operative mortality. Among our initially
21	published 345 patients, there were seven
22	conversions to open laparotomy and 14 reoperations
23	for infection, leak or stricture. The resolution
24	of comorbidities is similar to the other

presentations.

1	This demonstrates our two-year weight
2	loss reported in our first published series, and
3	within two years the average patient was within 10
4	percent of ideal body weight. Our heaviest
5	laparoscopic duodenal switch patient weighed 656
6	pounds, another laparoscopic duodenal switch
7	patient had a higher BMI of 118. Neither had
8	surgical complications and both are enjoying a
9	vastly improved quality of life.
10	Thank you very much for your time.
11	DR. DAVIS: Thank you. Sondra Albers.
12	MS. ALBERS: Hello. I am retired from
13	the University of California San Diego, went out
14	on disability, I'm no longer on disability because
15	I'm no longer disabled. My travel here was paid
16	for by INAMED. What they didn't know is I would
17	have paid them or you for the opportunity to speak
18	in the hopes of helping other seniors have the
19	quality of life which I now enjoy.

20	I tried and succeeded at every diet
21	that was made, I always got there, sometimes for a
22	day, sometimes for a month. My pre-band weight
23	which they left off there was 210 pounds. My
24	comorbidities were hypertension, which I took
25	three medications a day for, and still had high

- 1 cholesterol, asthma, one medication, to
- 2 inhalators, and lots of emergency room visits.
- 3 Heart disease on two medications, GERD, one
- 4 medication. They knew me at the ER, the doctors'
- 5 offices, the nurses, they all knew me, much beyond
- 6 my age.
- 7 I'm 70 years old now and I was 66 years
- 8 old when I had the band done. I had it done in

- 9 Mexico because this was pre-FDA approval and I was
- 10 not a candidate for gastric bypass due to risk
- 11 factors my doctors felt at the time. My initial
- weight loss within one year was 100 pounds. I did
- regain 17 pounds due to the band slippage, but I
- have lost nine of those now that they were able to
- put some fill in. I had other medical problems
- and had to postpone fill. And since that was done
- 17 I've lost two more pounds, so I am seven pounds
- 18 from where I was and they've maintained that
- 19 for the entire time without a lot of effort,
- 20 by the way.
- 21 And I'm off all medication except for
- 22 two. I take one Avapro a day and one
- 23 Isosorbidemonote. I have not had an attack of
- asthma since the day I woke up in the hospital. I
- 25 did not know what breathing was. I know now what

- 1 it is to breathe. My cholesterol levels are
- 2 normal without medication. The GERD is gone with
- 3 the exception of occasional bouts when I do eat
- 4 spicy foods, when I know it's going to happen.
- 5 The band slippage appeared in January
- 6 of '04. It was repaired laparoscopically the same
- 7 day, the band was not compromised so they did not
- 8 have to put another band in, and the treatment is
- 9 continuing. I have had no other complications
- with the lap band.
- There is always a risk to surgery and
- especially when you know that you're overweight,
- obese, morbidly obese, you know that you have a
- higher risk, but I'm still here and I want to tell
- 15 you something. I wouldn't be here. My internist
- believes that, I believe it. If this hadn't been
- done to me, I wouldn't be here today. I would
- 18 like to request of you that you do not forget that

seniors not only can have a better quality of
life, I dance, I skip through malls with 18 of my
grandchildren, some of them are embarrassed by it,
and I have a wonderful life. And there's a glint
in my husband's eye that I didn't see for a while,
and that's nice too by the way. So I'm asking,

please think about this and do it. We give back.

- 133
- 1 We give it back in volunteer work, we give it back
- 2 in quality of life, and not having our children or
- 3 our grandchildren having to take care of as soon
- 4 or as much. I thank you and I hope this helps.
- 5 DR. DAVIS: Thank you. Pamela Rogers.
- 6 MS. ROGERS: Good morning. My name is
- 7 Pamela Rogers and I am here today to speak on

- 8 behalf of myself as a person who has had bariatric
- 9 surgery. I don't have any financial or other
- 10 conflicts of interest and I've paid for this trip
- 11 myself.
- 12 In response to the MCAC question one,
- 13 the effectiveness of bariatric surgery as compared
- 14 to nonsurgical treatment, I would like to tell you
- my story. As an obese person most of my life, I
- spent countless numbers of years and time trying
- to lose weight through dieting and nonsurgical
- 18 treatments. While some efforts had been
- 19 successful, I would always gain more weight back
- 20 than I had lost, so in the short term they were
- 21 successful but in the long term they were not. I
- would quickly regain the pounds and as I aged,
- these failures clearly outweighed the successes.
- In early 2004 I was at my highest
- weight, around 275 pounds. My blood pressure had

- 1 become unstable and I was at risk for type 2
- 2 diabetes. Together with my primary physician, I
- decided something needed to be done. In an effort
- 4 to make an informed decision regarding bariatric
- 5 surgery, I reviewed most of the scientific
- 6 literature that was available at that time and on
- 7 April 6, 2004, I had the laparoscopic Roux-en-Y.
- 8 So to answer you question, if there's
- 9 evidence that supports the effectiveness of
- obesity, and again, this is only anecdotal, but I
- determined through my readings that it did support
- bariatric surgery. Right now I'm seven months out
- from surgery and I have lost approximately 90
- pounds and I'm confident that the weight loss will
- continue and eventually I'll get to what's
- 16 considered a normal weight. I started out with a
- 17 BMI of 43.3, considered morbidly obese, and today
- 18 I have a BMI of 29, just overweight. I

20 am no longer taking previously prescribed
21 hypertensive medications. I have a blood glucose
22 reading of 80 and a total cholesterol of 139, well
23 within normal readings. Not only have these
24 changes contributed to my physical well being, I
25 have developed a philosophy of liking myself.

- 1 These changes have also instilled a personal
- 2 commitment to take care of myself by exercising,
- 3 eating healthy foods, taking my vitamins, and
- 4 making these changes permanent.
- 5 I'm going to address question two and
- 6 question three together. Life is a calculated
- 7 risk, all of the areas mentioned in the question,

- 8 sustained weight loss, long-term survival,
- 9 short-term mortality and resolution of comorbid
- 10 conditions were all factors in my decision to have
- bariatric surgery. After reading the papers by
- 12 Clements, Smith, Rashid, Buchwald and others, I
- was convinced of improvement in comorbid
- 14 conditions, especially in hypertension and type 2
- 15 diabetes.
- 16 Investigating the mortality data showed
- 17 that the short-term mortality rate of .5 percent
- 18 for gastric bypass was an acceptable rate, a rate
- similar to gall bladder surgery and slightly
- 20 higher than having an unruptured appendix removed.
- While research on long-term survival
- was limited, visiting obesity chat rooms, I often
- 23 met people that had surgery in the 1970s and were
- doing marvelously, so that helped convince me that
- 25 these people, it was a long-term thing, that these

- 1 people led healthy productive lives, and I could
- 2 do so too. Many of these people that I met in the
- 3 chat rooms also had comorbid conditions, all of
- 4 which were resolved or improved after surgery.
- 5 For those reasons I chose to pursue surgery as my
- 6 last resort, as my last hope for normalcy.
- As we know, there are many different
- 8 bariatric surgeries and I have undergone a
- 9 laparoscopic Roux-en-Y, the only surgery that my
- insurer covers. I just wanted to caution you that
- 11 while there are many different surgeries, I would
- 12 hope that CMS would come up with a list of
- surgeries that they're going to cover. What
- 14 happened to me was that my insurer, after they
- preapproved me, decided not to cover it because my
- surgeon gave me a 150-centimeter y limb and the
- insurer decided not to cover it after I had the

surgery, so I'm paying for it myself.

18

- And I guess in summary, because my time
- is up, I just want to thank you for having me here
- 21 today and as an individual who has had Roux-En-Y
- surgery and researched all of the options, I
- 23 believe that laparoscopic Roux-en-Y surgery
- 24 affords morbidly obese individuals a sustained
- 25 weight loss, long-term survival and resolution of

- 1 comorbid conditions that they deserve. Thank you.
- 2 DR. DAVIS: Thank you very much.
- 3 Eldith Willis.
- 4 MR. WILLIS: My name is Willy Willis.
- 5 I am a retired heavy equipment operator and
- 6 grading superintendent for a grading contractor in

- 7 Ventura, California. I have no -- I've got to
- 8 read this because I'm talking to doctors. I'm
- 9 used to talking to dirt workers where I can cuss
- 10 at them and stuff, you know. Anyway, nobody owes
- me nothing, I don't owe anybody nothing, INAMED
- paid for my trip out here, okay? And they asked
- if I'd talk to you and I said yeah, I will give
- 14 you my experiences.
- My experience was, I'll give you a
- little bit of my medical background before I had
- this surgery. I woke up many mornings with my
- 18 feet hurting, burning, tingling. A couple months
- later I go to the doctor, it was a neuropathy, had
- diabetes. He says get the weight off, good
- 21 doctor, I talked to him like I would a
- 22 construction worker and he talks back to me the
- same. He says I told you everything you've got to
- do, but you're not doing it. I have had a
- 25 lifetime of not doing diets and I have been in a

1	1:4:	- C -1: - 4 -	and they	_ 11	1_	14 41	11
	litetime	or merc	ana they	яп	WARK	nur they	/ an
	mounic	or areas.	und the	un	W OII.	out the	un

- 2 get right back on. So after my diabetes I still
- 3 wasn't losing the weight, the neuropathy was just
- 4 as bad, and my doctor told me to go see Dr. Billy
- 5 in Ventura.
- 6 I went to one of his meetings there
- 7 where they talked about the lap band and the
- 8 gastric bypass. My wife says you're not getting
- 9 any of them. So I took her back, we decided, she
- decided on a lap band, because I wanted to lose
- 11 the weight fast, and the gastric bypass he says
- 12 you'll lose the weight faster. Well, I think I've
- done as good as most gastric bypasses. I had the
- lap band, and a year and a half later I have lost
- 15 180 pounds.
- I was talking to one of the doctors
- 17 that talked up here and he said how do you do

- that? I said, do what your doctor tells you, you
- 19 know, because I didn't know that Medicare was
- 20 going to this bill or not pay it. I know that I
- 21 had went as far as I could go and I didn't want to
- live anymore. Let's see.
- Before surgery I really didn't have a
- 24 life, I was over 425 pounds, now I'm 250. I got
- up in the morning since I was retired. I couldn't

- 1 walk, I had a back surgery, a knee operation, I
- 2 have had all kinds of medical problems. The back
- 3 surgery helped but the doctor told me the same
- 4 thing, lose weight, you'll do better. I ended up
- 5 having the lap band from Dr. Billy. I feel great.
- 6 It has changed my life in every way you can think

- of, and my wife can tell you some of those ways,
- 8 but I wish she wouldn't. It helped everything,
- 9 everything. And you know, that's without Viagra
- or anything like that either.
- All I did when I got up in the morning
- was eat, watch television, walk by the
- refrigerator, open the door and look at the same
- 14 food I had just seen ten minutes ago, so I'd get
- another bite of it. And I ballooned. I mean, my
- head looked like a giant bowling ball. After I
- started losing weight, you feel better so you
- start doing more. And now if you can find me in
- 19 front of a television for more than 20 minutes at
- a time to watch news, you're doing good, because
- 21 I'm always working doing something.
- Billy says do you exercise? I say no.
- I don't lift weights, I don't do anything, but I
- work all the time. Since then I have built a
- 25 little workshop in my garage and I'm making

- 1 furniture, I'm doing this, I'm doing that, I grow
- 2 a garden, I mow the grass, trim the grass, pull
- 3 the weeds. I do a little bit of everything. We
- 4 bought a Harley, which I always wanted but never
- 5 did. Now we go on Harley runs, me and the wife,
- 6 enjoy that thoroughly. I can't wait to get back
- 7 and hope it's sunny in California where I can ride
- 8 it this weekend, because I just got back from
- 9 Europe, Italy and Spain, two days before I come
- 10 here. And wish I hadn't told Medicare, or not
- 11 Medicare, but INAMED that I would come, because I
- was so stinking tired when I got home I didn't
- want to travel anymore.
- But I'm glad I come, I've learned a lot
- just from listening to these doctors talk. And
- let's see. I really didn't have a quality of life

17	until I had this surgery. You can't imagine,
18	well, I guess you doctors can because you see guys
19	over 400 pounds, but my dad and everybody involved
20	around me, my family was worried, my wife was
21	worried, and she thanks INAMED, she told Vern back
22	there, I want to thank you, you saved my husband's

24 And the only thing I really have to say

23

life.

25 to people that need this surgery, Medicare, they

- 1 paid for my room, but they should pay more. For
- 2 the simple reason they have saved more than they
- 3 was paying on medicines for me in this last year
- 4 and a half. I was taking 19 medicines a day,
- 5 that's how bad of shape I was in, 19 medicines a

- 6 day. I was so sick of taking pills and squirting
- 7 stuff up my nose so I could breathe because I was
- 8 too fat, everything. And I know in a year and a
- 9 half, they made more than enough to pay for that
- 10 hospital. So please add the wording so all these
- doctors, I've heard the same thing, if the wording
- was right, they would know who could get it, who
- 13 couldn't get, and whatever.
- Anyway, that's my story, I'm sticking
- 15 to it. Thank you very much.
- 16 (Applause.)
- DR. DAVIS: Thank you very much. When
- you're riding that Harley, are you wearing a
- 19 helmet?
- MR. WILLIS: I don't want to, but I've
- 21 got to.
- DR. DAVIS: Thank you very much to all
- those presenters, and here's the schedule. It's
- 24 20 after 11 on my watch, so we're a little bit
- behind schedule, but my plan would be to allow the

- 1 committee members to ask questions of those who
- 2 have presented so far for about 15 minutes. Then
- 3 we have six more people who have signed up for the
- 4 open public speaker session. We're going to ask
- 5 them to limit their remarks to three or four
- 6 minutes, like those who have preceded them. So if
- 7 we start with that at about 25 to noon, that
- 8 should take us to noon when we'll take a break for
- 9 lunch, and then we'll have plenty of time after
- 10 lunch for the committee to ask more questions of
- 11 the presenters and then to have open discussion
- and move toward voting on the questions that we
- have been asked to address. So, let me just open
- it up for questions. Yes please.
- DR. OWEN: This question is actually
- 16 posed to the professional presenters who declared

- 17 a conflict of interest. I'm curious if your
- slides were developed or reviewed by any of the
- 19 firms that supported your attendance.
- 20 (Negatives from all presenters from the
- 21 floor.)
- DR. DAVIS: Yes, Dr. Klein.
- DR. KLEIN: This is a question for
- 24 Dr. Pories and Dr. Flum. You had both mentioned,
- or one had mentioned that there is a learning

- 1 curve where you do fewer procedures at an
- 2 increased rate of mortality, and Dr. Pories, you
- 3 mentioned this idea of centers of excellence to
- 4 really make sure proficiency is performed. Are
- 5 you recommending that only centers of excellence

- 6 or experienced surgeons be allowed to perform
- 7 bariatric surgery.
- 8 DR. PORIES: I think that's a good
- 9 recommendation. I think that surgeons must be
- adequately trained before you do it, this is
- difficult stuff. And I think you ought to look at
- it the way we look at cardiac surgery, where
- people have to have adequate training and
- 14 proctoring before you get good results.
- DR. KLEIN: And just along with that,
- then, how would you diagnose a competent surgeon,
- would it be number of procedures, clinical
- outcomes, or they have to be within a center of
- 19 excellence?
- DR. PORIES: Well, the centers of
- 21 excellence have developed some tough standards,
- and one of the standards is that a surgeon must
- have a minimum number of 50 cases before he's
- 24 considered part of the center of excellence, and
- 25 the center of excellence must perform a minimum of

1 125 cases per ye	ar.
--------------------	-----

- 2 DR. DAVIS: If I could just pick up on
- 3 that, this gets to the generalizability question,
- 4 generalizability to community physicians, and
- 5 perhaps for someone like me who is not in the
- 6 field of bariatric medicine or surgery, perhaps
- 7 somebody could give some background on who is
- 8 doing bariatric surgery today in the United
- 9 States, what is the training now being used and
- what should the training be. I presume a lot of
- this training is in general surgery residencies,
- there may be some fellowships involved. If
- somebody could give some general background, I
- think that would be useful.
- DR. PORIES: We have a spectrum, I will

16 just make a brief comment, because actually 17 Dr. Buchwald has better information about that because he did a survey. But initially of course, 18 19 most of us were self trained. Then there was a 20 series of courses. But I think the standard today 21 is either a mini-residency of about three months, 22 but most of the new young people are now being 23 trained in fellowships, and the number of these 24 fellowships, the American College is very 25 interested in developing standards for these

- 145
- 1 fellowships just as we have for residencies. But
- 2 Dr. Buchwald has the actual data on who's doing
- 3 what.
- 4 DR. BUCHWALD: I could comment on that.

- 5 At the moment, over 73 percent of American
- 6 teaching programs, residency programs, and about
- 7 89 percent of fellowship programs are teaching
- 8 bariatric surgery.
- 9 DR. DAVIS: Yes.
- DR. ABECAASSIS: I guess we've had
- 11 representatives of three different groups talk
- about this issue and it does apply to this
- 13 question about how generalizable the procedure
- might be in the community, and I'm just wondering
- if the American Society of Bariatric Surgery and
- 16 the SRC and the American College of Surgeons are
- working together on this, because I'm not sure
- that I understand, and it may help my thought
- 19 process to understand how these groups are working
- 20 together to answer the question that you've just
- asked.
- DR. FISCHER: I think I can shed some
- 23 light on some of the things that have gone on and
- 24 they are very much in flux. Recently there was an
- attempt by the Society of Surgery of the

- 1 Alimentary Tract, of which I was chairman of the
- 2 board at that time, and SAGES, which is the
- 3 Society of American Gastroendoscopic Surgeons, and
- 4 then some other groups to develop a fellowship
- 5 which would follow residency.
- 6 For various reasons there was a group
- 7 that put together some fairly rigid standards and
- 8 then the splinter groups went off and did
- 9 something on their own which unfortunately
- sabotaged it. I think to a certain extent,
- although there is a match, my guess is the
- 12 American Board of Surgery, of which I was chairman
- about five or six years ago, will be taking over
- all of these areas very much as they've had
- sub-boards in pediatric surgery, vascular surgery,

16	and finally	that will	come under	the American
----	-------------	-----------	------------	--------------

- 17 Board of Medical Specialties and the ACGME, the
- 18 Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical
- 19 Education.
- The College will be doing verification
- 21 of bariatric programs as part of its verification
- process in which there is long experience. This
- 23 is now a quite large division of the College, the
- 24 Division of Research and Optimal Patient Care.
- We've done -- and in general when we do

- 1 verification processes we do this in collaboration
- 2 and cooperation with other groups.
- For example, the College now does the
- 4 Level I, Level II, Level III verification of

- 5 trauma centers throughout the country. These are
- 6 recognized by the state emergency systems. We do
- 7 that in conjunction with the American Association
- 8 of Studied Trauma. The cancer programs in various
- 9 hospitals are also verified by the College and we
- do that in cooperation with the American Cancer
- 11 Society.
- The Division of Research and Optimal
- 13 Patient Care has large programs in quality and
- safety, team training, evidence-based surgery, and
- 15 for your information, we do very much support
- improved performance and have programs and so in
- this area we would welcome working with a whole
- variety of different groups, bariatric surgeons,
- 19 whatever organizations they wish, SAGES, the
- 20 Society of American Gastroenterologic Surgeons,
- 21 the SSAT, and we've had informal discussions on
- this issue with the ACGME and with the American
- 23 Board of Surgery.
- So there are, it's a long-winded answer
- 25 to a very simple question. Yes, there are

- 1 fellowships. Are the fellowships standardized,
- 2 probably not. Will they be standardized in the
- future, probably. And there are a number of
- 4 organizations working on that.
- 5 DR. DAVIS: Dr. Phurrough?
- 6 DR. PHURROUGH: Dr. Fischer, let me ask
- 7 this as the CMS person here. If CMS were to
- 8 readdress its policy on bariatric surgery, then
- 9 would the College be supportive of our restricting
- 10 this surgery to facilities that went through some
- kind of accreditation process that certified that
- the physicians were competent and that the
- 13 hospital had some program that insured quality
- 14 outcomes?

DR. FISCHER: I can't answer on behalf
of the College because that would have to be
discussed starting with the committee and going
through the board of regents and all of that. But
my guess is that the College would like to work
with CMS in an effort, and all other interested
people to develop guidelines for quality and
safety, and we really would like to track patient
outcomes. I think I can say that. How it would
happen, how long it would take, I don't know.

DR. DAVIS: Dr. O'Connell.

DR. O'CONNELL: Perhaps Dr. Fischer would, maybe I can put this question to you. In the data that has been presented, the morbidity

- 4 rates seem to have been, they are fairly
- 5 acceptable on the low range, some have been high.
- 6 That is in dramatic distinction to what I'm
- 7 experiencing in clinical practice where I get a
- 8 chance to look at complications of morbid obesity
- 9 surgery. What I'm finding is patients who have
- 10 complications are, first, not admitted to the same
- 11 hospital where they had their morbid obesity
- surgery, and the complications are then classified
- in terms of the medical diagnosis, so you never
- 14 know the complications of morbid obesity surgery
- because you can't capture all the data. And my
- 16 question is, how reliable is that morbidity data
- that we're hearing today?
- DR. FISCHER: I don't know the answer
- 19 to that but I can tell you the experience at our
- 20 hospital. At Beth Israel Deaconess we now have a
- 21 database of every single patient that's ever been
- operated on, and we track that actively. And the
- purpose of the database is to say okay, who got
- readmitted, who had a complication, who had a
- death that wasn't reported at the mortality and

	1 1	morbidity	conference
--	-----	-----------	------------

- 2 I'm sad to say that there were a number
- 3 of such events that have happened, and this is in
- 4 all surgery, this is, you know, we do about 28,000
- 5 operations a year. So my, as chair of the
- 6 department what I have said is I want every single
- 7 complication which is increasing length of stay,
- 8 reported at mortality and morbidity conference,
- 9 every return to the operating room, you know,
- 10 every readmission within 30 days reported.
- 11 They're not.
- Now, it depends on how you set up the
- study. If you set up the study with an
- 14 independent nurse practitioner or somebody like

- that tracking the patients as the College is now
- doing in a hundred beta sites with NSQIP, you're
- 17 likely to get reasonable data. The other way, I
- don't know.
- 19 DR. O'CONNELL: Do you pick up data
- 20 from other institutions?
- DR. FISCHER: We try, yeah.
- DR. DAVIS: Dr. Weiner?
- DR. HESS: Could I speak?
- DR. DAVIS: Yeah, and introduce
- yourself.

- DR. HESS: I'm Dr. Hess, in private
- 2 practice. I wanted to speak to this bariatric
- 3 surgery situation. Any good general surgeon

- 4 should be able to do bariatric surgery, the
- 5 problem is not are you technically able to do the
- 6 surgery. The problem is, are you able to
- 7 recognize complications and do you see your
- 8 patients afterwards frequently? Many times
- 9 patients are sent home one or two, or even three
- days, four days after surgery, to come into the
- office in two or three weeks. I want to tell you
- that some of the worse complications occur after a
- week or so, and if they are out in the country and
- if they end up in another hospital, as was
- 15 mentioned here before, this poor person is in
- 16 trouble. And it happens over and over again and
- these are the things that we need to put our focus
- on. I don't think it's so important about the
- 19 technical part; every general surgeon should be
- 20 technically able to do this operation, but not
- 21 everybody knows or understands. Now after they
- have a few deaths in the early time, they get a
- 23 little smarter and worried, but unfortunately, you
- shouldn't have to have someone die to learn. You

- only thing that's new is the history you haven't
- 2 read, and we're not teaching these things, and
- 3 they don't occur in other things anymore like they
- 4 used to. Thank you.
- 5 DR. DAVIS: Dr. Weiner.
- 6 DR. WEINER: I have a slightly
- 7 different line of questioning for the two
- 8 nonsurgeons that spoke with us as part of a larger
- 9 organization, specifically Dr. Stiles from Kaiser
- and Dr. Still from Geisinger, both internists.
- 11 Although we're focusing on the surgery, part of
- maximizing the benefit is getting the right
- people, you know, avoiding type one or type two

14	error, that is, people that need a surgery get it,
15	and people who don't need the surgery don't get
16	it.
17	From your experience, again, as primary
18	care physicians working closely with surgeons,
19	what payers out there have gotten it right in
20	terms of criteria, particularly morbidities, BMI,
21	you know, based on the evidence and based on your
22	experience, would you like to recommend to us?
23	DR. STILL: Well, in central

Pennsylvania there is a whole host of different

insurers with differing criteria. I think that I

24

25

- 1 will just say the most common ones are a body mass
- 2 index of 40 alone without any comorbid medical

- 3 problems, or between 35 and 40 with comorbid
- 4 medical problems. Initially when we started our
- 5 program, our own health plan only reimbursed for a
- 6 BMI of 45 and higher with two uncontrolled medical
- 7 problems. I think that was too high for a new
- 8 program starting out, as we've talked about today,
- 9 so I think the NIH guidelines, I think from a risk
- 10 stratification from internal medicine is
- appropriate, for a BMI of 40.
- 12 I would just like to comment with
- regards to ACGME with regards to the care of these
- bariatric patients. We do have a bariatric
- medicine fellowship for internists for special
- training in bariatric medicine. It is nonfunded,
- 17 a non-ACGME-funded fellowship. And I think like
- the fellowships for minimally invasive surgery or
- 19 bariatric surgery, bariatric medicine fellowships
- 20 may be a great asset to come together to pull the
- 21 centers of excellence together.
- DR. WEINER: And more comments? I'm
- 23 fairly convinced if you get good surgeons out
- 24 there, they will find people to do the surgery on.

- 1 comment on trying to see that only the right
- 2 patients are directed toward the surgeons. Again,
- 3 do you believe the criteria that you've expressed
- 4 will do that?
- 5 DR. STILES: As I see it, I'm a family
- 6 practitioner, is what I look at, I look at all
- 7 people who come for a potential surgery, and we
- 8 look at that them to make sure that they are, my
- 9 wording is, are you safe for surgery. We give
- orientations to approximately a hundred patients
- every month in small groups and we spend a whole
- day doing that. At that time I give a pre-lecture
- on what I mean by safety, because especially in a

14	managed care organization, so many people come in
15	feeling like they deserve the surgery, and indeed
16	they do, but I really feel that a safe patient is
17	the one we need to operate on, and I can say that
18	makes you have far less problems during the
19	surgery and after surgery.
20	And then what we do after that is we
21	make sure that that happens. I do, as I said in
22	my study, we look at the evidence and we look at
23	what the comorbidities are that could get our
24	patients in trouble, and we do every single thing

possible with many studies throughout our 30-plus

155

- 1 facilities in northern California to make sure
- 2 that the patients are safe.

- 3 And furthermore, the safety really has
- 4 to do with what you do with them afterwards, as my
- 5 distinguished colleagues have said. I think one
- 6 of my jobs at Kaiser is to meet with primary care
- 7 doctors throughout northern California to make
- 8 sure we have standards of care, to make sure that
- 9 not only are they followed at our center, which
- they are able to be followed at all the time, but
- also that doctors in the emergency rooms in the
- 12 primary care facilities have constant
- 13 communication with us so that when something is a
- 14 complication, they understand whether it's a
- complication of the bariatric surgery, and I think
- that's something that we're allowed to do.
- DR. FISCHER: Could I just address your
- question, just telling you how we reorganized our
- bariatric surgery program, which is a big program.
- In the newest program, in which we invited about
- 21 four internists to join the obesity center, every
- 22 patient who comes in will come in on the medical
- side, and they will have the usual counseling and

- 24 interviews, so they will go for medical therapy
- 25 for six months and then if they don't make it in

- 1 six months they're referred for surgery.
- We also have a tie-in with Jeff Flyer,
- 3 who's probably the leading basic scientist in
- 4 obesity in bench research and clinical studies.
- 5 DR. WEINER: Is that part of the
- 6 criteria of the certification we heard about, or
- 7 is that just what you folks do on your own?
- 8 DR. FISCHER: I don't know that it is
- 9 yet, but I really think you have hit the nail on
- 10 the head. There probably is a subset of people
- that can -- don't forget, we're next to the Joslin
- 12 Diabetic Center and half of the patients we

13	operate on are diabetics, so there is a strong
14	tie-in between the two.
15	DR. ALLEN: Jeff Allen, University of

DR. ALLEN: Jeff Allen, University of
Louisville. I'd just like to comment briefly on
the question about the right people getting the
operation and the wrong people not getting the
operation. There are basic NIH criteria, BMI of
40 or above, BMI of 35 and above with
comorbidities. That's very arbitrary. There are
certainly people outside that realm that will
benefit. For instance, there are studies being
done in Australia, BMI 30 to 35 and diabetics,

where a small amount of weight loss compared to

- 2 difference.
- 3 I think it's a fundamental
- 4 philosophical question where if you believe that
- 5 obesity is a disease, and I do, and if you believe
- 6 that you have the treatment, which I think we do
- 7 in some form of surgery, then it seems unethical
- 8 to withhold it from patients who will benefit from
- 9 that. And like I said, the BMI 40 is an arbitrary
- thing. Consider a schizophrenic patient, and I've
- operated on schizophrenic patients. We send
- people to -- many people will send patients to a
- psychologist to screen them, but would you
- withhold treatment from a schizophrenic patient
- who has an abdominal aneurism or somebody who has
- 16 cancer, or would you withhold it from a patient
- who is 17 for a lap band because it's FDA off
- 18 label?
- So I think perhaps the answer is
- 20 perhaps more in outcomes, and as bariatric
- 21 surgeons we have to better define who will
- benefit, but I think it's important to bear in
- 23 mind that the BMI of 40 or above, or 35 to 40 with

- 24 comorbidities is very arbitrary and there are
- certainly people outside that population who will

- 1 benefit.
- DR. DAVIS: We're going to take one
- 3 more question -- well, go ahead and respond to
- 4 that.
- 5 DR. FISHER: Barry Fisher. I just want
- 6 to comment on trying to identify which patients
- 7 will benefit and which will not. There have been
- 8 several studies that have looked at preoperative
- 9 predictors of poor outcomes, and none have come up
- with any conclusions. As I look retrospectively
- at my own practice, the only thing I can find is
- that patients who do poorly are patients who don't

13	comply. And so the only criteria we've applied in
14	our practice is patients who have a history of
15	poor compliance with medication for treatment of
16	medical conditions, we don't operate on. Other
17	than that, I would second what has already been
18	said.
19	DR. DAVIS: We'll take one more
20	
20	question, Dr. Klein was going to ask a question,
21	question, Dr. Klein was going to ask a question, and then we'll get an answer to that and then
21	and then we'll get an answer to that and then
2122	and then we'll get an answer to that and then we'll proceed with the other public presenters.

be addressed to maybe Dr. Flum and possibly

25

- 2 increasing your risk of complications and
- 3 mortality after surgery, which is true of all
- 4 operations, and I know there's very little data,
- 5 but could you review for us specifically the data
- 6 that's available regarding the actual complication
- 7 rates, mortality rates in older people 65 or
- 8 older, versus younger people having this
- 9 operation, and how that compares to older and
- 10 younger people having other operations like colon
- 11 cancer surgery or whatever is a similar type of
- morbid complication?
- DR. FLUM: I'll start with some of the
- observational studies that are out there which we
- 15 know of. Dr. Sugerman reported zero mortality in
- a cohort of patients over the age of 65 having a
- 17 gastric bypass. We know in data from our own
- state, we clipped the cohort at 65 because of an a
- 19 priori definition of what older was. But as you
- get to 60, 61, 62, 63, we see the mortality rate
- creeping up and creeping up higher than that 2
- percent rate we talked about. Now that parallels

- very nicely to colorectal operations or the data
- on hip arthroplasties.
- 25 The real question here, and this now

- 1 broaches the last question, is the question of
- 2 risk and reward. As you get older, the rewards
- 3 shift from survival extension to quality of life
- 4 and comorbidity improvement, and so that data is
- 5 wholly unavailable.
- 6 DR. WOLFE: Bruce Wolfe. The data that
- 7 the risk, mortality risk of obesity diminishes
- 8 with advancing age is not new, so we have been
- 9 aware of that for many years. It's been intuitive
- 10 that operative risk would rise with advancing age,
- and now there is data to support that. Those two

12	facts taken together have led many of us who have
13	been doing bariatric surgery for many years to
14	discourage patients from undergoing surgery, so
15	the total number of patients over 65 who have
16	actually undergone bariatric operations is
17	obviously very small, and that is presumably the
18	reason that there aren't more data published on
19	the subject, there haven't been very many done.
20	In the public comment, the details of
21	the Medicare experience and just what, who are the
22	people covered by Medicare that have undergone
23	bariatric surgery, in essence shows that 80 to 90
24	percent over the last three years have not been 65
25	years of age, so the vast majority of Medicare

- 1 beneficiaries have been in the disabled group who
- 2 are not qualified for Medicare on the basis of
- 3 age, so the total number of subjects to analyze is
- 4 not very great.
- 5 It's a reasonable hypothesis to pursue
- 6 and hopefully the expanded database that the NIH
- 7 consortium will address will be large enough to
- 8 address the issue more specifically, but we as
- 9 individual surgeons have to be cautious when we
- see a patient that is over 65 in presenting the
- 11 outcomes data to them.
- DR. DAVIS: Thank you. I hope most of
- the presenters or all of them will be able to stay
- until our afternoon session, because I'm sure the
- 15 committee members would like to continue this
- 16 dialogue, but in order to squeeze in the other
- public presenters before the lunch break, let's
- move to them now.
- The first on the list is Morgan Downey.
- And again, we will try to limit these, we will
- 21 limit these to three or four minutes and again,
- ask the presenters to indicate any financial

- conflict of interest and how they had their way
- funded to come.
- MR. DOWNEY: I'm going to withdraw. I

- 1 don't think I have anything to add at this point.
- 2 DR. DAVIS: Thanks for being with us.
- 3 Monica Ganz.
- 4 MS. GANZ: Good morning. Thank you all
- 5 for allowing me to be here and addressing the
- 6 panel and committee and everyone else. My name is
- 7 Monica Ganz and I am from Woodland Hills,
- 8 California and I represent Obesity Help, Inc.,
- 9 which is a supportive and resource group for
- 10 morbidly obese people. We are on line face to
- 11 face and we provide a place for morbidly obese

- 12 people to come and get answers, information,
- resources, friendship, support and help.
- I'm here to represent over a quarter of
- a million of our members who are all morbidly
- obese or who have gone through some form of weight
- loss surgery, myself included. This is me just
- three years ago, less than three years ago. It's
- 19 a visual. I'm a visual teacher. I weighed over
- 20 450 pounds at the time and I'm only five-two. I
- 21 could not walk or function as a normal human
- being, and as Dr. Wadden spoke of the psychosocial
- 23 issues, I was a victim of all of those, ridicule,
- 24 discrimination, I just wanted to be normal again.
- 25 I had no other options. I suffered from sleep

- 1 apnea. Diabetes, my triglycerides were at 788.
- 2 My cholesterol was at 358. And to say I was a
- 3 walking time bomb was just, I couldn't walk, so
- 4 that couldn't even be.
- 5 On January 14th, 2002, Dr. Edward
- 6 Livingston saved my life by performing a Roux-en-Y
- 7 gastric bypass at UCLA. Within one year, all my
- 8 blood levels were back to normal, I'm no longer on
- 9 the sleep apnea machine or CPAP. I went from
- being a nonproductive member of society to being a
- participating member of it again. If I had not
- been married, I would have been unemployed and on
- disability and a Medicare recipient myself. I am
- 14 now down 266 pounds, paying taxes and supporting
- the system instead of living off of the system.
- Obesity Help has approximately 4,800
- members on Medicare, and that's what's in that
- box, a message to you from each of them. They are
- all concerned about what is being decided here and
- 20 they wanted their voices to be heard. Of that,
- 21 255 of them are 65 years or older, which is about

5.3 percent, but let me say by being morbidly obese and being 65 and over is an oxymoron, as anyone who is morbidly obese doesn't have much chance of hitting 65.

1	And as many of the doctors and surgeons
2	can confirm, as they did in some of the talks
3	earlier, not only does the surgery need to be
4	covered, but the support system pre and afterwards
5	needs to be covered. In my travels because I set
6	up support groups for Obesity Help, I talk to the
7	patients all the time, pre and post, and the most
8	successful ones are the ones that are in a
9	supportive environment continually for years
10	afterwards. We are no different than the

11	alcoholic or the drug addiction, we just have a
12	food addiction, and we continually need to be
13	surrounded by people that understand what we are
14	going through and battle those issues day to day.
15	We ask that Medicare makes an educated
16	and timely decision, and listen to the many silent
17	morbidly obese people that I brought their voices
18	with me in a box. I again thank you all for
19	listening and making an educated decision.
20	DR. DAVIS: Thank you. Dr. William
21	Denman, if I'm reading this correctly.
22	DR. DENMAN: Good morning, and thank
23	you very much for having us be able to testify to
24	you. I actually have two hats. I am the medical
25	director for Tyco Health Care, but I'm also a

- 1 practicing anesthesiologist with a subspecialty in
- 2 pediatrics. So to all my surgical colleagues, I
- 3 want you to know that we are on the same side and
- 4 there is an alignment here.
- 5 I would like to just address something
- 6 to the panel not completely about morbid obesity
- 7 surgery but about the things that we deal with in
- 8 those patients who are morbidly obese who haven't
- 9 had the weight loss procedure. Those of us who
- 10 teach anesthesia certainly inform and try to
- impose upon our residents the issues that they're
- going to face when they're dealing with patients
- who are obese and particularly morbidly obese.
- 14 Things that we deal with are positioning; it's
- very very hard to position patients who are
- 16 morbidly obese or very heavy. The number of times
- that we put to sleep and have to deal with
- patients who are not coming for bypass surgery but
- are morbidly obese and having other types of
- surgery is obviously exploding at the moment.
- Tremendous trouble with pulmonary

- function. Postoperatively I run a pain service in my hospital to try to deal with patients who are
- 24 morbidly obese who can't breathe who have
- 25 pulmonary dysfunction, and trying to manage their

- 1 pain acutely and chronically is incredibly
- 2 difficult.
- 3 Cardiovascular problems obviously,
- 4 hypertension and all the issues that we see here
- 5 that we deal with in the morbidly obese are
- 6 present in the patients that are obese coming for
- 7 other types of surgery. Aspiration, difficult
- 8 airways, et cetera.
- 9 So, I guess the point I'm trying to get
- 10 across here is for those of us who are dealing

11	with morbidly obese patients who are not coming
12	for morbidly obese surgery, and the wonderful
13	thing about those patients is if we're dealing
14	with all those issues, is that there's light at
15	the end of the tunnel for them. What I would
16	suggest and what I would hope that we would
17	continue to do and obviously I wear two hats, so I
18	want everyone to understand that, is that as I see
19	an explosion in the comorbidities that we're
20	facing in the rest of my surgical patients that I
21	deal with, the thought of being able to decrease
22	that and to decrease the number of operations we
23	may be dealing with because of morbid obesity is
24	obviously something that this side of the

blood-brain barrier is very keen on. Thank you

1	very much.
2	DR. DAVIS: Any conflicts of interest?
3	DR. DENHAM: I'm hoping Tyco is going
4	to pay my way, but obviously yes, my travel and my
5	appearance here is based on the fact that I am the
6	medical director for Tyco Health Care.
7	DR. DAVIS: Thank you. Henry Alder.
8	MR. ALDER: Good morning. My name is
9	Henry Alder, I am with Ethicon Endosurgery, a
10	Johnson & Johnson Company. In terms of conflict
11	of interest, Ethicon Endosurgery, J&J will be
12	paying my way.
13	I wanted to just add some perspective
14	to question number five on the questions, the
15	Medicare population aged 65-plus. We took the
16	liberty of looking at the MedPAR data, which is
17	CMS's own Medicare database, and looked at the
18	prevalence of bariatric gastric bypass surgery
19	under ICD 944.31 to look at the number of

procedures. In 2001, there were a total of 2,250

- 21 procedures done on the Medicare population. That
- 22 increased to 3,603 in 2002, and then up to 5,438
- 23 in 2003. But within the that population of
- 24 Medicare patients, going back to 2001, only 8
- 25 percent were over the age of 65, greater or equal

- 1 to age 64, that is 177 patients. In 2002, 329
- 2 patients were greater or equal to 65, which made
- 3 up 9 percent of the Medicare population. And in
- 4 2003, the total Medicare population over 65 was
- 5 672, or 12 percent. So that would probably
- 6 suggest that the Medicare population that is
- 7 benefitting, again, just from this one procedure,
- 8 gastric bypass, is probably going to be the
- 9 disabled or potentially those who are suffering

10 from kidney disease. I thank you very much for 11 your time and appreciate it. 12 DR. SUGERMAN: Henry, do you have the 13 mortality data, I think it was already alluded to, 14 in that MedPAR? 15 MR. ALDER: I had the mortality data 16 but I didn't make it available for public comments 17 today. 18 DR. DAVIS: Is it Mike Christeau? 19 Nick, sorry about that. 20 DR. CHRISTIAN: I'm Nick Christeau, 21 professor of surgery at McGill University in 22 Montreal, Canada, I am director of the bariatric 23 surgery program up there. You've heard people

mention my name here today and I rise to clarify,

because we were limited somewhat this morning, to

24

1	clarify	a	little	bit	the	issue	of	comorbidity	and
1	Clair y	а	IIII	o_{1t}	uic	ibbuc	$\mathbf{o}_{\mathbf{I}}$	comordianty	and

- 2 whether, because you have two of these sheets that
- 3 you have to vote on and you heard a lot of my
- 4 colleagues say this morning that the data on
- 5 patients without comorbidity really doesn't exist
- 6 because there are not that many people who don't
- 7 have comorbidities.
- 8 In our particular study, which you
- 9 heard parts about, on over a thousand patients
- 10 that underwent bariatric surgery at our center
- 11 compared to about 5,000 controls drawn from the
- 12 administrative database of the province of Quebec,
- what I would like to point out is that this
- 14 particular database is a single payer system.
- 15 Therefore, anybody that dies in the province of
- 16 Quebec, some physician has to fill out a death
- 17 certificate and charge them the \$12.50 fee that
- he's entitled. Therefore, we capture all
- 19 treatments, mortalities, hospitalizations,
- 20 physician visits, prescription medications, and it

- 21 gives us an indirect access to the comorbidities.
- So when we show that surgery reduces
- 23 the cancer visits for example, what we did with
- 24 the study is we excluded for the six months prior
- 25 to the entry in the study those who had already

- seen a physician or had gone to a hospital for
- 2 either a cancer-related diagnosis, infectious
- disease, or musculoskeletal. And even though it's
- 4 not perfect and clean and pure prospective
- 5 randomized trial, it does indicate that at least
- 6 for the six months prior to entering the study,
- 7 those people were not going to the hospital using
- 8 up health care for these conditions, but
- 9 afterwards there were marked differences between

the two groups.

11	Therefore, one can infer from this
12	data, and I know the statisticians in the group
13	may not like this, but one can infer that even if
14	you do not have comorbidities, over a five-year
15	follow-up with this particular study, the people
16	that did not have the operation in the red have
17	much higher incidence of these comorbidities as
18	reflected by them going to see a physician or
19	being hospitalized for their treatment. The same
20	thing goes for respiratory and mental disorders.
21	And the digestive disorders being higher serves as
22	an internal consistency control of our data.
23	Now prior to coming down here, I
24	reviewed our own database which has been kept
25	since 1964, our registry in paper form, and then

- 1 switched to electronic in 1995, and now we can
- 2 search it effectively. And unfortunately for us,
- 3 even though Medicare covers this procedure, we do
- 4 not get paid by the patient, there is a global
- 5 budget and within the global budget I have to
- 6 fight with the cardiac surgeons and with the
- 7 orthopedic surgeons for resources to perform these
- 8 surgeries. Therefore, the waiting list is up to
- 9 five years. My own personal waiting list right
- 10 now from the time somebody calls the office until
- going in for surgery is about 5.2 years, and up in
- 12 Quebec City it is the same thing.
- And I queried our database and we have
- 14 had three deaths within people waiting for that
- period. One was a lung cancer that obviously
- 16 caused the patient's death, one was a patient from
- 17 a cardiovascular event, and one was a suicide from
- a mental disorder, and I think we're
- 19 underestimating the mental disorders that you see

- 20 here.
- The other good thing that came from
- 22 this study is that since the number of
- 23 hospitalizations and hospital days and physician
- visits were markedly decreased in the bariatric
- 25 cohort, this translates to a very nice argument

- 1 that I could certainly make with our single payer
- 2 system, and I think would apply to Medicare here.
- 3 And that is, if the Minister of Health tomorrow in
- 4 the province of Quebec, or in Ottawa more
- 5 centrally, were to invest \$8,500 Canadian for a
- 6 patient to undergo this bariatric surgical
- 7 procedure at times zero, and that gives us the
- 8 first year that you see here on the left. And

- 9 then we accrue the cost savings.
- Mr. Willis indicated how much he who
- 11 was paying for his medications. This is hard
- 12 proof that indeed, there are cost savings. After
- about 3.2 years the color changes because now the
- ministry actually saves money, or the single payer
- system saves money by investing that \$8,500 at
- times zero to have the patient operated, to have
- 17 them look at some of the patients that we saw
- 18 today. Thank you very much for your attention.
- 19 I'd be happy to answer additional questions in the
- afternoon on this subject.
- DR. DAVIS: Thank you. John Kral.
- DR. KRAL: I'm John Kral, I'm a
- 23 professor of surgery at SUNY Downstate in New
- 24 York. I am employed by New York State and by New
- 25 York City. Throughout my career since 1970 when I

- 1 started operating on people with obesity, I have
- 2 been an employee of university hospitals. I've
- 3 never derived any financial benefit, nor has my
- 4 employment even been dependent upon my operating
- 5 on obese people. You will not hear a commercial.
- 6 I paid for my own trip.
- 7 The reason I am here is not to extend,
- 8 and certainly not to stand between you and lunch,
- 9 it's not to extend the tutorial that you have been
- subjected to this morning, I think everybody has
- done their best. I'm here to express my concern
- over some of the issues that you will of course,
- that are possibly clouding your interpretation of
- data and how you look at what has been presented
- 15 today.
- 16 I think you have been perfectly
- apprised of the fact that you can tear up the
- sheet that says, that provides the questions about
- 19 with no comorbidities, I think that has been made

- abundantly clear, that that's an unnecessary
- 21 exercise to even consider that in people 65 or
- older, or those younger in Medicare who are
- already disabled.
- As far as quality of data, though, I
- want to address that a little bit too. I do hope

- 1 that you have understood also that the standard,
- 2 the human cry to see, as with drug studies,
- 3 prospective randomized controlled trials is
- 4 neither ethical, scientific, nor even feasible to
- 5 perform. Not to cloud the issue of approach, I'm
- 6 going to make a rather strong statement. If you
- 7 were to have a show of hands here amongst the
- 8 surgeons, let's do the following little

9 experiment. Let's assume that the surgeons, that 10 some of the surgeons here are severely obese and 11 need an operation. I'd like for you to ask for a 12 show of hands whether they would like the surgeon 13 who's doing the approach laparoscopic who's done a 14 thousand laparoscopic approaches, or to be randomized between that and having a surgeon who 15 has done a thousand open approaches do their 16 17 surgery. I would imagine that nobody would be 18 asking in the year 2004, 2005, to have their 19 surgery done openly, everything else equal. 20 Now, as far as publication bias is 21 concerned, and that's something that you have to be concerned about, having heard so many 22 23 advertisements here this morning, I think

Dr. Christeau has the type of evidence and the

type of material that is rather free from that. I

24

- 1 think the efforts being made by the Surgical
- 2 Review Company, you heard Dr. Pories present the
- 3 efforts that are being made, and Dr. Fischer from
- 4 the American College of Surgeons. Registries and
- 5 capturing is a good thing.
- 6 The experience from Canada, and I have
- 7 personal experience from Sweden, where there is
- 8 virtually no non-government paid surgery performed
- 9 for obesity, all patients are there being
- 10 captured, there is virtually no private sector
- 11 there at all. You can trust that kind of data
- when it comes to outcome evaluation, and not fear
- the publication bias that you're always going to
- 14 have when people are advertising their wares.
- 15 Thank you for the opportunity to be heard.
- DR. DAVIS: Thank you. Dr. George
- 17 Cowan. He's the one who stands between us and
- 18 lunch.

19	DR. COWAN: Yes, I'm standing indeed.
20	I thank you very much for the opportunity to
21	stand. I am past president of the American
22	Society for Bariatric Surgery, founder and past
23	president of the International Federation of
24	Surgery for Obesity, co-founder of the Journal of

Obesity Surgery, and a few other things. More

25

- 1 importantly, I became professor emeritus, got my
- 2 honrable discharge at the University of Tennessee
- 3 at age 66 this year, so I am free at last.
- 4 However, I am currently very minimally part-time
- 5 employed by Specialty Health Services, and I hope
- 6 they will pay a little bit of my trip here.
- 7 I have three main points. One is as we

- 8 heard, the vast majority of individuals receiving
- 9 Medicare coverage are disabled, they are not over
- 10 65, so the age thing is relatively irrelevant
- right now, although we may be in the future
- looking at that because we hope more individuals
- if they survive that long, may indeed have the
- privilege of the surgery. My father died at 60,
- 15 never got that far, morbidly obese.
- The average age is about 50 years.
- 17 After the surgery, about a year later, the
- majority return to the work force, they return to
- 19 the work force and two major things happen. One,
- 20 they are no longer receiving money from the
- 21 government on the dole to support them because
- they're disabled, and they in turn are making a
- salary and paying taxes to the government to
- support others who are disabled. It's a double
- 25 benefit.

1	The study from Sweden in our first
2	issue of Obesity Surgery 14 years ago showed
3	clearly that in three years those who had the
4	bariatric surgery paid sufficient taxes to recover
5	the cost of the bariatric surgery, isn't that
6	amazing, and you have 12 more years to go until
7	you reach Medicare age in that sense if you're
8	looking at the numbers. So there is a dramatic
9	turnaround of not paying money for support for the
10	disability, and people paying taxes in addition
11	who are supporting others, it's a double benefit
12	of the surgery.
13	Look at also at the competitive
14	surgeries for the limited dollars of Medicare or
15	limited support. It is competing with CABGs, it
16	is competing with hip surgery, average age 70.
17	These people do not return to the work force.
18	They are in better shape to the love of their

- family and their grandchildren and such like, but
 they don't have that additional 15 years of
 actually paying taxes, the opportunity to pay
 taxes, the opportunity to make a living and come
 off the dole. And this is very important if
 you're looking at the limited slice. I'm not
- 25 saying to withdraw CABGs or to limit them for

- 1 Medicare, but I'm just saying if you're looking at
- 2 the benefits of bariatric surgery, it's way up
- 3 there, it's the best bargain that Medicare has and
- 4 offers, that it gets back to the American
- 5 taxpayer.
- 6 Secondly, the comorbidities, I agree
- 7 with Dr. Kral, that's basically in the tank from

- 8 the point of view that most Medicare individuals
- 9 that are disabled and are disabled by the
- 10 comorbidities, therefore why are we worried about
- 11 comorbidities versus none when somebody is
- morbidly obese. However, those few percent that
- 13 I've maintained in my experience of 4,000
- bariatric surgeries, that the people who have no
- true comorbidities are those in the ages of about
- 16 16 to about 25. They have psychosocial
- 17 comorbidities of sorts, but physically they are
- pretty limited, I will agree. However, that's not
- what we're dealing with or talking about today,
- but those same 16-to-25-year olds, give them five
- or ten years and they're all going to have
- comorbidities, they're there. And therefore this
- is a non-issue, because I ask the panel to look at
- 24 the potential for development of comorbidities,
- 25 what is the percentage of development of

- 1 comorbidities? It's 95 to 98 percent. Why?
- 2 Because these individuals will not succeed with
- 3 voluntarily weight loss, they are failures. And
- 4 when they fail, they get bigger and bigger and
- 5 bigger after perhaps having lost vast amounts of
- 6 weight, but they get bigger, develop the
- 7 comorbidities, and then the potential for
- 8 comorbidities is important to consider the same as
- 9 comorbidities, it's virtually 100 percent of those
- who come to surgery.
- Third, we should indeed with Medicare
- insist on standards. Should we insist on a
- particular purveyor of standards who says you are
- okay, you are not? I don't know that Medicare
- really wants to go that far, but I would suggest
- that there's an excellent set of standards that
- 17 have come through the American College of Surgeons

18	that Dr. Buchwald has helped to write from the
19	American Society for Bariatric Surgery, and these
20	are also in part some of the basis for the SRC
21	standards, which I would certainly ask the
22	panelists to look at from the point of view of
23	valuable standards themselves, not necessarily the
24	organizations, but the standards, although the
25	organization is pretty good too, but the standards

- 1 are something to look at and certainly could be
- 2 made available.
- 3 Last, I would like to give you
- 4 something some of you might see as humorous.
- 5 People have called obesity a political disease,
- 6 morbid obesity a political disease. You can argue

- 7 about that. You can't argue with the people who
- 8 have testified today that they were diseased and
- 9 that they were fat and they are smaller and they
- are no longer diseased.
- Now, nine out of ten of all the cases
- of diabetes mellitus would not exist if we had no
- obesity or overweight. Think about that. Which
- is true? Is diabetes the disease or is obesity
- the disease? I would maintain that in nine out of
- ten of those individuals who are large, that
- indeed diabetes mellitus type 2 is a secondary
- 18 condition to the disease of obesity. So maybe we
- ought to downplay diabetes mellitus to a condition
- and admit that obesity is the disease. Just a fun
- 21 think point, but thank you very much for the
- 22 opportunity. I am also the historian for the
- 23 American Society for Bariatric Surgery and I'm
- 24 looking forward to seeing the results of history
- being made today and having the privilege to

- 1 report that for the future in some of our work.
- 2 Thank you.
- 3 DR. DAVIS: Thank you very much. A lot
- 4 of food for thought for the lunch hour. We'll
- 5 break for 60 minutes. It's ten after 12 on my
- 6 watch, so 1:10, we will reconvene.
- 7 (Luncheon recess.)
- 8 DR. DAVIS: I think we'll get started.
- 9 Some people might have gotten stuck in the
- 10 restaurant because they obviously weren't ready
- 11 for the large crowd given the bad weather outside,
- but we're scheduled to go from now until 4:15 or
- so, including discussion and voting. That's not
- 14 to say that we have to use up all of the time if
- we don't need it, but we did have a lot of useful
- dialogue and Q&A in the morning, and I think we
- might as well just pick up where we left off

- before lunch and open it up for questions from
 committee members after Dr. Phurrough makes a
 point.
- 20 point.

 21 DR. PHURROUGH: I would just like to
 22 clarify CMS's current issues around bariatric
 23 surgery. First of all, we are well aware that
 24 there are very few Medicare beneficiaries over the

age of 65 who are currently getting this surgery,

25

- 1 we understand that. However, 90 percent of our
- 2 population falls into this over 65 age group, and
- 3 as the numbers Dr. Alder presented right before
- 4 lunch, even though those are small numbers, they
- 5 represent a 2 or 300 percent increase in the
- 6 number of patients who are getting this procedure

- 7 who are over the age of 65, and that number is
- 8 obviously going to grow as our population grows.
- 9 We add just about a million new beneficiaries
- 10 every year over the age of 65, that's the
- population of our country that's reaching the age
- of 65 annually, and there's going to be a spike in
- that in the next couple of years.
- So we're aware that it's not a big
- issue currently in the 65-plus population, but if
- we are in fact going to change our policy at all,
- we obviously have to address whether we are going
- to change that policy and how it affects the vast
- 19 majority of our population, those who are over age
- 20 65. And in fact, we may need to have different
- 21 policies based upon age or different indications
- or different criteria based upon age. So that's
- 23 the reason why we want to know and we've asked the
- 24 question such that, what's the benefit of this
- 25 particular surgical procedure in the literature,

- 1 and then can you apply those results to the
- 2 65-plus population.
- 3 Secondly, there has been the question
- 4 of why are we asking about patients who don't have
- 5 comorbidities. Everybody who's morbidly obese has
- 6 comorbidities. Everybody who's over age 65 and
- 7 who's obese has comorbidities. Well, the reason
- 8 we're asking that question is we've been asked to
- 9 ask that question. The public is interested in
- why we have a procedure limited to patients with
- 11 comorbidities when in fact patents who don't have
- 12 comorbidities might benefit. So because we
- already have a decision around patients who have
- 14 comorbidities, we need to ask the question around
- patients who don't have comorbidities, and if the
- answer is those patients don't exist, that's fine.

Though, in spite of some of the comments we've 17 18 heard today, we have been concerned in reviewing 19 some of the literature that if you add up all the 20 comorbidities that are listed in the patient 21 populations in the trials, it doesn't end up being 22 the entire population that was treated in the 23 trial, so they are obviously either not asking the 24 question, not asking the question extensively 25 enough in identifying all the comorbidities, or

- 1 there are patients who don't have the
- 2 comorbidities. So, we think it's a legitimate
- 3 question even though the population may again, may
- 4 not exist.
- 5 Also, I just want to clarify, we

- 6 understand that our questions sometimes appear
- 7 outside current practice or outside current
- 8 populations in which we are providing
- 9 reimbursement, but they are in fact we think
- appropriate questions based upon our current
- policies and the potential to change that policy
- in the future. So, I hope I have not confused
- that more, I just wanted to clarify. We do
- 14 recognize some of the difficulties in the
- 15 questions.
- DR. DAVIS: Dr. Weissberg had his hand
- 17 up.
- DR. ABECAASSIS: I just wanted to
- 19 clarify the clarification.
- DR. PHURROUGH: I must be a good
- bureaucrat, I've confused you more.
- DR. ABECAASSIS: I just have a very
- 23 specific question about the whole sheet on
- patients without comorbidities, and one of the
- 25 questions asks how we expect these procedures to

- 1 affect comorbidities. In the patients that have
- 2 no comorbidities, am I to assume that that means
- 3 prevent comorbidities?
- 4 DR. PHURROUGH: Yes.
- 5 DR. DAVIS: Yeah, Bill.
- 6 DR. OWEN: I have a question and I
- 7 don't know if this is the appropriate time to pose
- 8 it.
- 9 DR. DAVIS: Yeah, go for it. That's
- 10 fine.
- DR. OWEN: This has to do with the
- issue of comorbidities. Unencumbered by any
- knowledge, I was likewise impressed that there was
- some sort of, I will presume ascertainment bias in
- the papers that were submitted to us, because it's
- 16 exactly as you say, it doesn't add up to 100

17	percent of the patients that have comorbid
18	conditions. So my query is, does anyone here know
19	if there are any longitudinal cohort studies that
20	are ongoing of patients who have BMIs of 35 or
21	above, a natural history study, so that we know if
22	this is true, I have to take the data as it is,
23	and there are patients who are listed who don't
24	have any, so do we know if that group behaves like
25	an equivalent group that does not, or should I say

- 1 who does have it.
- DR. DAVIS: Please. And if you could
- 3 mention your name again, that would help.
- 4 DR. KRAL: I'm John Kral, from
- 5 Downstate. The Swedish study is a longitudinal

- 6 study looking at the natural history of obesity,
- 7 and their inclusion criteria actually noted a body
- 8 mass index of 34.7, and that is ongoing for many
- 9 years and should be able to answer that query as
- 10 far as prognosis is concerned. That's probably
- 11 the best available data.
- DR. SUGERMAN: Did it show that there
- are progressive increases in the comorbidities,
- all of them that are listed?
- DR. KRAL: Yeah, there's a progressive
- weight increase and there's a progressive
- 17 deterioration in all of the -- they develop
- 18 comorbidities that weren't there to begin with,
- and the ones they have are aggravated, even though
- they're trying to control them.
- DR. OWEN: I'm aware of the ones who
- already have coexisting disease worsening, but
- 23 they have a subgroup that they follow that we will
- 24 ultimately have access to that will have no
- comorbidities that we can do a natural history on?

1	DR. KRAL: Yes, because the whole
2	population is captured and it's a national data
3	bank.
4	DR. MARGOLIS: There are also studies
5	ongoing in this country, NIH sponsored studies
6	usually looking at educational interventions or
7	medical therapies or individuals over time to see
8	how effective or ineffective they are. Although
9	they're not directly answering your question, they
10	are looking for those sorts of things, so that
11	either exists now or could exist as a secondary
12	analysis from those studies, and I'm aware of two
13	of them and I'm sure there's more.
14	There's studies usually sponsored by
15	NIDDK looking at long-term effects of educational

16	interventions in obese populations, some within
17	minority groups, some within the general
18	population, and they are usually looking at
19	interventions and weight loss, but certainly
20	they're looking for other illnesses as well and
21	those answers could be there. Now whether or not
22	there is morbidly obese, as some of the patients
23	we're talking about here, I don't the answer to
24	that, but I know they exist.
25	DR. DAVIS: I was interested in looking

- 1 a little more carefully at the Swedish study and
- 2 that's why I asked CMS staff if they could
- 3 distribute copies of the paper to you, which I
- 4 think they did earlier in the day, although you

- 5 don't have the complete article unfortunately, at
- 6 least my version only goes through page 6 of 16.
- 7 And of course the study was referenced in a lot of
- 8 the technology assessments that we have been
- 9 given, but one question I had about this ties into
- 10 the question that was just raised, and that is,
- what happened with the control group in the
- 12 Swedish study? Were they subjected to any sort of
- 13 nonsurgical intervention? And the pages that we
- 14 have do reference at the beginning of the results
- section that the control group was conventionally
- treated, but I don't have any more information
- than that. I don't know if anybody else has any
- more information on what happened to the control
- 19 group in the Swedish study.
- DR. PORIES: Dr. Kral can answer it
- better than I can, but just very quickly, the most
- disturbing evidence of what you want, Dr. Owen, is
- what's happened with children. As we now see this
- 24 epidemic of adolescent obesity, we're now seeing
- adult onset of type 2 diabetes and every one of

- 1 the complications coming on earlier, and I think
- 2 that shows that along with the obesity, this comes
- 3 with it.
- 4 DR. KRAL: John Kral. Medicine in
- 5 Sweden is subsidized, so it is not out-of-pocket
- 6 expenses. All the subjects who are entered into
- 7 this are receiving what is called conventional
- 8 treatment, meaning whatever is offered by their
- 9 general practitioners, and it includes anything
- 10 from diet, diet and exercise, behavioral, and
- 11 cohorts with drugs, so anything but surgery is
- what they consider to be part of the control
- group, and it is simply a community practice as it
- is provided, and it is provided.
- DR. DAVIS: Dr. Weissberg.

16	DR. WEISSBERG: Let's shake it up a
17	little bit after lunch here. The panel has to
18	make decisions about the different types of
19	surgery and we've heard a lot about the fact that
20	all of these variety of surgery can be done safely
21	and well. The question, though, is for this
22	increasing segment of the American population,
23	neither commercial or eventually Medicare, only a
24	tiny fraction of whom are currently accessing any
25	of these approaches, where should the locus of

- 1 control be for determining which patient gets
- 2 which operation? Should there be a web site that
- 3 helps the patient work through if there are
- 4 trade-offs between more gradual but continued

- 5 weight loss with lower risk of immediate
- 6 complications for a lap band, or more profound
- 7 weight loss for a duodenal switch at the expense
- 8 of a longer operating time and a higher number of
- 9 reoperations? Where are those decisions supposed
- 10 to get made, and are the centers of excellence
- 11 that we vaguely referred to going to be set up so
- that different operations are all available in
- each one of these centers?
- DR. PORIES: My name is Pories and I'm
- rising again, sorry. That's probably one of the
- 16 most fundamental reasons for setting up the
- centers of excellence, is to develop a database on
- standardized operations in well-described
- patients, and then at the same time make sure that
- these databases are coordinated with the ones from
- 21 the NIDDK lab study. And as you probably know,
- we've just finished developing the databases for
- 23 the lap study, it took us about a year. So I
- 24 think you almost have to sort of look at these
- operations in the same way we look at antibiotics.

1	CC11	•	• 1 1	. • 1 • . •	C	11
	Thora	10 10 0	nna idaal	antihiotic	tor	വി
1	THUL	15 110 (nic iucai	antibiotic	101	an

- 2 infections, there is no one ideal antidepressant,
- and I don't think there is one ideal operation for
- 4 all patients.
- 5 DR. WEISSBERG: But an internist has
- 6 the option and the facility to prescribe one of 20
- 7 different antibiotics equally well. I get the
- 8 impression that surgeons specialize in their
- 9 procedure of choice.
- DR. PORIES: I think in most centers
- 11 now, taking some time with some, certainly the
- bands and the gastric bypasses aren't being
- offered, the duodenal switch is coming in a little
- bit more slowly but is also being considered. We

15	didn't feel in the centers that we could prescribe			
16	what operations a surgeon should do, they have to			
17	choose that themselves and then tell us exactly			
18	what we're doing, and then promise to do it in a			
19	standardized fashion long enough that we can			
20	gather the data.			
21	DR. DAVIS: Dr. Sugerman, you had your			
22	hand up a few moments ago.			
23	DR. SUGERMAN: I did, and I just want			
24	to go over one more time some of the concerns that			

have been mentioned here, not as a question but as

25

- 1 a statement. And again, I'm here on the panel but
- 2 I'm also the president of the American Society for
- 3 Bariatric Surgery. I think you have heard over

- 4 and over again that there is a really major
- 5 problem with the unequal distribution of coverage
- 6 for Medicare patients from region to region in the
- 7 country regarding both the types of eligible
- 8 comorbidities and the types of bariatric surgical
- 9 procedures, and whereas some places will support
- this operation or that operation, it's variable.
- And that surgeons in hospitals are performing the
- 12 procedure without a guarantee of reimbursement, so
- there is a risk to operating on one of these
- patients if you're not going to get paid for it.
- 15 And then each of cases requires additional
- bureaucratic review of every case as to whether
- 17 there is an adequate comorbidity or not.
- In terms of comorbidities, I like to
- say that this disease starts at the top of the
- 20 head and ends up in the toes, and it hits every
- single organ in between. And the problem with the
- 22 manuscripts is they don't look at every single
- comorbidity. For example, Dr. Buchwald's paper in
- 24 JAMA looked at four. And you know, that's why you
- don't see 100 percent comorbidities perhaps in

2	So from my point of view, it seems to			
3	me that the Medicare system, and it would require			
4	a new decision and coverage decision, should use			
5	the 1991 NIH criteria. It would then increase			
6	uniformity of access and assure surgeons and			
7	hospitals of appropriate reimbursement and improve			
8	bureaucratic efficiency. So, I'm just making it			
9	as a statement and throwing it for discussion,			
10	even though I know that's not the questions we're			
11	being asked.			
12	DR. DAVIS: I have Doctors McNeil, Raab			
13	and Buchwald on my list. Barbara?			

DR. MCNEIL: I'd like to ask Dr. Alder

1

14

these manuscripts.

- 15 a question. Is he still here? You mentioned
- before lunch that you had done an analysis of the
- 17 MedPAR file and gave us the figures of the
- increase in percentage of Medicare individuals
- over 65 who were having bariatric surgery between
- 20 '01 and '03. And then somebody asked you a
- 21 question about the mortality results and you said
- you didn't have them, you had them but you didn't
- have them. Could you say a little more about
- 24 that?
- MR. ALDER: Sure. First of all, it's

- 1 not Dr. Alder, it's Henry Alder.
- 2 DR. MCNEIL: Okay.
- 3 MR. ALDER: And we did have mortality

- 4 rates, but they were in the computer, so over
- 5 lunch I was able to download it, and I have
- 6 mortality rates for the Medicare population.
- 7 DR. MCNEIL: Oh, good. What are they?
- 8 MR. ALDER: This is for all Medicare,
- 9 and for 2001 was 1.2 percent; 2002, 0.9 percent;
- and 2003, 0.8 percent. And this is for all
- 11 Medicare beneficiaries.
- DR. WEINER: Over what period, one-year
- 13 mortality?
- MR. ALDER: 30 days. Now we also have
- 15 average length of stay.
- DR. MCNEIL: How about, though, for the
- over 65, that was my real question.
- MR. ALDER: We don't have it segregated
- 19 by age.
- DR. MCNEIL: But you could, right?
- MR. ALDER: It's evidently an
- opportunity to do that, and going back to our
- vendor to get that segregated, right.
- DR. MCNEIL: Oh, a vendor did this for

1	MR. ALDER: A vendor did this for us,			
2	that's correct.			
3	DR. MCNEIL: It would probably be very			
4	useful to have that information; as a matter of			
5	fact, it might be essential.			
6	MR. ALDER: Yeah. Just some added			
7	information. This compares very favorably with			
8	some other procedures that are performed.			
9	DR. MCNEIL: I actually understand			
10	that. I guess what I'm I was very impressed			
11	actually by the similarity of these mortality			
12	rates, however the procedure is done, with CABGs			
13	and hips and whatever. But I'm a little concerned			

14	that I don't fully understand the mortality rates			
15	and the complication rates for the over 65, and I			
16	understand that getting complications from the			
17	MedPAR file is probably impossible because we			
18	don't know what preceded the patients admission			
19	versus what occurred at the time of the admission			
20	so I'm willing to write that off as an			
21	impossibility. But getting mortality data would			
22	really be pretty important.			
23	MR. ALDER: And I would agree.			
24	DR DAVIS: Greg			

DR. RAAB: A few moments ago, Dr.

25

- 1 Sugerman, I think you referenced a differential
- 2 access of Medicare beneficiaries according to

- 3 where they lived, the different types of
- 4 procedures. Is that due to the local coverage
- 5 policies, is that it? And I'm curious, maybe
- 6 Steve knows, what's the range of access? Is it
- 7 that a certain procedure is prohibited or
- 8 permitted in certain areas and not others, is that
- 9 it? I'd be curious, we're talking about the
- 10 Medicare population having access to bariatric
- surgery, and I'm curious how much access there is
- under the current system, what local review
- policies are.
- DR. PHURROUGH: I don't know what all
- the 51 policies are for 51 different contractors,
- but in general, my impression is none of them
- 17 non-cover, they don't have that option. Their
- options are we have coverage, we don't define
- 19 except for diabetes and cardiovascular disease, I
- believe. We don't define comorbidities other than
- 21 those two.
- DR. RAAB: Are they restricting it to
- banding versus bypass, or are they that specific?
- DR. PHURROUGH: They do have the option

13

197

than bypass where we have a specific decision that 1 2 bypass is covered using the same comorbidity 3 issues. The other procedures, contractors do have 4 discretion to determine we're not going to pay for 5 that, and I don't know what the range of that is. DR. DAVIS: Just to follow up on this 6 line of questioning, Dr. Barry Fisher mentioned 7 that some of the cases that he was involved in 8 9 were denied for coverage by the local carrier even when comorbidity was present. I wonder if you 10 11 could explain what the situation was there.

DR. FISHER: Over the course of time,

as I said, we decided not to take on the Medicare

14 patient population. We were told to code the patients with a 250.00, which is diabetes if they 15 had diabetes, as their primary diagnosis and we 16 would get paid. We were denied. We were told to 17 18 code them as 278.01, which is morbid obesity, and 19 put the 250.00, and every single case was denied 20 and had to be appealed. And frankly, for the 21 amount of time involved and the amount of 22 compensation, it isn't worth it. So we made a 23 business decision not to do Medicare patients under the Medicare program until that situation 24 25 changes. That's why I argued for removing the

- 1 capability of a medical director for making a
- 2 decision based on medical necessity. The criteria

- 3 should be clear, should be unencumbered by any
- 4 conditionality, and that way if you want the
- 5 people to be covered, you want them to get the
- 6 surgery, that way you remove any judgment
- 7 decision.
- 8 DR. FLUM: David Flum. If I could just
- 9 echo one point there, it gets down to the issue of
- they'll cover the procedure but it's the type of
- 11 procedure that they will allow. In Noridian,
- which is the northwest regional coverage for 11
- states, does not cover for the adjustable gastric
- band. So you're asking where the data is for
- patients over 65, and we simply can't provide it
- to you because we can't do the procedure.
- DR. RAAB: In those situations where
- 18 carriers have, they have medical directors for
- each state but they're making all the decisions
- 20 for the entire geography, not state by state?
- DR. FLUM: Well the Noridian medical
- director, the director of the regional carriers
- can make those calls. And just so you know, we're

- waiting for this panel to help them make decisions
- about coverage, because it really is a decision

- 1 that's made on that medical director level.
- 2 DR. RAAB: But doesn't that require
- 3 local carrier advisory committee input state by
- 4 state, geography by geography?
- 5 DR. FLUM: Yeah, but the message that
- 6 I've gotten is that they take the regional
- 7 carrier's lead. So for example, if the medical
- 8 director of Noridian said that adjustable gastric
- 9 bands seems like something we should cover, then
- 10 the states would follow.
- DR. DAVIS: Is this an answer on this
- same question.

13	MR. GARVER: Yes.
14	DR. DAVIS: Please.
15	MR. GARVER: Jim Garver, INAMED Health
16	To answer your question specifically, because we
17	have looked at this on a carrier-by-carrier basis,
18	Noridian, Blue Cross of Kansas, and I just drew a
19	blank on the carrier for Florida, it is
20	principally a Part B issue where the physician
21	services are not being covered where many of the
22	Part A's are covering the hospital stay. So there
23	is a discrepancy, particularly when you have two
24	different intermediaries in a given state coming

1

up with separate policies.

- 2 under the MMA provisions where the carriers have
- 3 to coordinate? No?
- 4 DR. PHURROUGH: We're probably getting
- 5 a bit far out of the evidence issue, but there are
- 6 MMA provisions that say we're going to have a new
- 7 contracting system in which the contractor for a
- 8 region will have the same Part A Part B, they will
- 9 not be separate Part A Part B contractors for the
- same region anymore, but that's some time in the
- 11 future.
- DR. RAAB: But for a given geography, I
- 13 thought it had a provision where fiscal
- intermediaries and carriers have to coordinate.
- DR. PHURROUGH: That is for new
- policies, it's not retroactive to old policies.
- DR. RAAB: Thank you.
- DR. DAVIS: Dr. Buchwald was next on my
- 19 list.
- DR. BUCHWALD: Thank you. I would like
- 21 to make a few remarks. I have been trying to
- figure out what my role is as a guest panelist. I
- have a vote, but my vote doesn't count, so I guess

- that defines my role, and maybe it affords me the
- 25 privilege of making a few comments.

1	First of all, I wasn't sure when we				
2	were saying our conflicts of interest if our				
3	affiliations should be mentioned and I left a few				
4	things out, such as I chair the Obesity Coalition,				
5	which is a group of essentially every major group				
6	in this country, like American Heart, American				
7	Medical Association, American Dietetic, over ten				
8	groups in this country that are all interested in				
9	the treatment of obesity, and on this Obesity				
10	Coalition I represent the American College of				
11	Surgeons, and I have represented the American				
12	College of Surgeons in an annual course on				

13	bariatric surgery, putting out a CD-ROM, and as
14	the chair of the National Bariatric Surgery
15	faculty, so I wanted to put that into the record.
16	Now, a few remarks, if I may. Really,
17	what should a guest panelist do in terms of
18	helping fellow panelists? We should listen to
19	what we have heard, we should take a lifelong
20	experience, and the three guest panelists up here
21	have had a lifelong experience in this field, and
22	try to make it into some sort of a focus on where
23	we would think the situation should go, and I made
24	a few points for myself.
25	Number one is, we've heard that we need

- 2 scientist in this world that would deny that we
- 3 need more data probably in every field, and
- 4 certainly in this field. And having made that
- 5 statement, the question is where do we go from
- 6 there. It would be wrong to say we don't have the
- data, therefore, we cannot make any decisions, or
- 8 we should deny coverage so that we will never get
- 9 the data. On the contrary, we should say we
- should focus on demonstrations, on maybe a
- 11 Medicare demonstration program that will bring out
- the data that is currently missing.
- So the fact that we have no data on
- many of these aspects, people over 65 and so on,
- is not a negative comment, it's a progressive
- 16 comment looking to the future, and we must obtain
- 17 these data, and to obtain these data we have to
- 18 extend coverage and record what happens to these
- 19 groups that we don't know that much about.
- Another point that has been made before
- but it probably should be reemphasized, that there
- are probably no patients, especially over the age

- of 65 who do not have comorbidities, so I know why
- 24 the question has to be asked, but it's being asked
- about a population that generally does not exist.

- 1 Because if you talk to any person who is morbidly
- 2 obese, they will have a comorbidity.
- The other thing I want to make a
- 4 comment on is, it is the standard of care in our
- 5 society to treat disease and to treat it as soon
- 6 as possible. We don't wait for colonic polyps to
- 7 become colonic cancers. We don't wait for little
- 8 breast masses to become big breast masses. We
- 9 treat a chemical abnormality of the blood,
- 10 hypercholesterolemia, to prevent the inevitable
- death that will ensue if it is not treated. We

12	treat the physiologic abnormality of high blood			
13	pressure to treat the inevitable death if it is			
14	not treated. And therefore, asking the question			
15	of patients, even if they existed, who have			
16	minimal or no comorbidities, should one treat			
17	them, goes against the entire spectrum of our			
18	standard of care. We know that the morbid obesity			
19	is going to kill them so why shouldn't we treat it			
20	as soon as possible, rather than say let's wait			
21	until they get a comorbidity, let's wait until			
22	this happens.			
23	I'm honored that our meta-analysis			
24	paper has been mentioned so many times. What does			
25	that show in one sense in terms of the			

- 1 comorbidities? If you take a patient who comes to
- 2 you as a physician who has diabetes, type 2
- 3 diabetes, hypertension, hyperlipidemia and
- 4 obstructive sleep apnea, and you treat all of
- 5 these conditions, you're going to end up giving
- 6 that patient a whole lot of pills, putting him on
- 7 an instrument to sleep at night, and you're not
- 8 going to treat any of those diseases really.
- 9 You're going to mitigate them, you're going to
- 10 make the patient feel better for a period of time,
- but the diseases continue insidiously to work
- 12 towards a fatal conclusion.
- 13 If you treat the morbid obesity, which
- is the primary disease that causes all these other
- diseases, you're going to get rid of not only the
- 16 morbid obesity but you're going to get rid of
- these fatal diseases.
- I listened to a comment talking about
- 19 prevention and how important it is to prevent.
- Nobody in the world would deny that it is
- 21 essential to prevent morbid obesity if we possibly
- can, but that begs the question, what are we going

- 23 to do with the people who are morbidly obese?
- Nobody today would stay we shouldn't treat AIDS,
- 25 we should only concentrate on preventing it. We

- shouldn't treat diabetes, hypertension, we should
- 2 only concentrate on preventing it. We have to
- 3 treat the people who have the disease in addition
- 4 to preventing.
- 5 The question of which is the best
- 6 operation. There is no answer to that question,
- 7 because it is a non-question. Which is the best
- 8 operation for what? Which is the best operation
- 9 in terms of engendering weight loss? Well, you've
- seen those things, they're up there. Which is the
- best operation for risk? Those data have been

presented and it's a different answer. Which is 12 13 the best operation for comorbidities? Probably all of them. And then which is the best operation 14 15 for patient satisfaction, which we haven't really 16 even talked about today, quality of life, patient satisfaction. So how does one make this decision? 17 I think this is a decision that's best left to the 18 19 patient and to the surgeon and it should not be 20 left to a panel, it should not be left to any sort 21 of set of instructions. Nowhere when any 22 insurance body, Medicare governs fixing a hernia, 23 do they say we want you only to do a Kugel repair, 24 or we want you only to do a Lichtenstein repair.

I think this belongs in another spectrum of

- 1 discussion.
- 2 And I guess that's more or less what I
- 3 have to say. I think we're only here for a
- 4 certain reason today, that the morbidly obese are
- 5 a segment of our population that is still
- 6 subjected to a great deal of bias and prejudice,
- 7 and therefore the treatment of the morbidly obese
- 8 is subjected to a certain amount of bias and
- 9 prejudice. If we were talking about other
- diseases, there wouldn't be this conflict, or
- should we extend to it to people with early
- disease and so on. I think we have to get beyond
- the bias. Obesity is the last permitted prejudice
- in our society, and we must not permit it anymore.
- We have to say that obesity and morbid obesity in
- particular is a disease and that today the
- 17 treatment of choice is bariatric surgery. And I'm
- 18 sorry I was long winded.
- DR. DAVIS: I appreciate your comments.
- 20 (Applause.)
- DR. DAVIS: Dr. Buchwald, I just want

- 22 to interject here that I don't think it's only a
- 23 matter of maybe a double standard between obesity
- and other issues. There is a double standard
- between treatment and prevention, and we struggle

- 1 with getting Medicare to pay for a lot of
- 2 preventive services. Medicare doesn't pay for
- 3 smoking cessation treatment today although it is
- 4 now looking at that issue, although of course it
- 5 pays for treatment of lung cancer and heart
- 6 disease and stroke, and everything else caused by
- 7 cigarette smoking. So you have to figure out a
- 8 way to allow Medicare to pay for something that's
- 9 preventive by figuring out how to consider it to
- be a treatment. Well, it's a treatment of tobacco

11	dependence, which is then ICD-9 and DSM-IV. And				
12	similarly, it's the comorbid condition that allows				
13	CMS to pay for this under existing law. So, I				
14	think that certainly there are issues relating to				
15	obesity, but there are also vagaries of the law				
16	that authorizes Medicare that I think also come				
17	into play.				
18	DR. BUCHWALD: And fortunately the law				
19	has been amended, so that obesity is a disease, or				
20	at least in terms of the strict law, obesity can				
21	no longer not be considered a disease.				
22	DR. DAVIS: That's it, yeah, the rule				
23	was changed so it doesn't say that it's not a				
24	disease.				
25	DR. BUCHWALD: They spoke in a double				

- 1 negative, but if I can eliminate the double
- 2 negative, obesity is a disease.
- 3 DR. DAVIS: I think Dr. Sugerman was
- 4 next. No, Dr. McNeil.
- 5 DR. MCNEIL: I would like to go back to
- 6 one of the earlier questions. I must say that on
- 7 average as I look at all of the data, it's very
- 8 impressive, and I am quite taken by the results.
- 9 But I am really still disturbed by one thing, and
- 10 it's the following: It goes back to Jed's
- 11 question. I had the feeling from listening to
- some of the individuals in the audience that many
- of the speakers did a particular procedure and
- 14 that was their procedure, though they might do
- others, but they really really liked whichever one
- 16 it was.
- 17 So then I was trying to decide if a
- patient came to, even if it's a center of
- 19 excellence in a hospital with, say, 3 or 400 beds,
- what is the chance that a patient would have
- 21 access to a surgeon who did all, say six, open and

- 22 laparoscopic procedures at a number greater than
- 23 50 a year, and how would the internist know which
- 24 physician to send that patient to?
- That's the thing I'm totally confused

- 1 about, because I understand the data in the
- 2 aggregate, I'm totally convinced, I understand the
- 3 reduction in comorbidities post-treatment, I have
- 4 all that, so I don't want to talk about that
- 5 aspect of it at all. I'm much more interested in
- 6 understanding from a real quantitative view point,
- 7 what are the risk selection criteria that are
- 8 involved in sending a patient to procedure one
- 9 versus procedure two, and I can't find it in any
- of these articles and it's got to be there, with

all of this writing, someone's got to have done that. So I don't want a qualitative answer, just to be clear.

DR. BLACKSTONE: Let me first say that when you're beginning to develop a program in bariatric surgery, which we've had experience with, you I think need to start with a procedure around which you can build a comprehensive approach where your understanding of the data, if you're going to do evidence-based and data-driven medicine to the extent that that's possible allows you. And so in our view at the time that we started our program in 2001, that pretty much meant gastric bypass Roux-en-Y, we had the most data, we had the best system of care, and we knew

- 1 what the benchmarks would be for outcomes from
- 2 what had been published.
- 3 Patients seeking surgery do extensive
- 4 research on the Internet, to your point. Most
- 5 patients will come in with a year or more of
- 6 research, they have been to many different
- 7 presentations by different centers, they spend a
- 8 lot of time and energy themselves selecting and
- 9 trying to discern from the information that they
- 10 have what the best procedure they think is for
- 11 themselves.

- When laparoscopic banding became
 - available through the FDA, we actually waited
- about six months to adapt it, because we had to
- adapt our entire system to it. It's a little
- 16 different training, a little different education
- about nutrition because you don't interrupt the GI
- tract, and these issues have significant clinical
- 19 implications for how you care for patients.
- So when a patient comes now and they

- say Dr. Blackstone, I have been reading about this
 for a year and I really want a lap band or a
 gastric bypass, we then look at the food journal
- they've submitted, we get the data from the
- 25 nutritional consult they've just had, we look at

- 1 their psychological profile, and together with the
- 2 patient we make a decision, and to my knowledge
- 3 that is not actually quantified anywhere, that
- 4 process.
- 5 We do not do the duodenal switch right
- 6 now, it's a malabsorptive procedure primarily and
- 7 because of that it requires, again, an entirely
- 8 different strategy among your supporting allied
- 9 health team. And in your clinical pathway of

10	care, it's a whole different clinical pathway, and				
11	so to adapt that, which we may do, we really need				
12	to be convinced that we have a population of				
13	patients to whom it will be most applicable, and				
14	in my judgment the evidence was that it was less				
15	applicable in my population. I could get very				
16	good resolution of comorbidities with the bypass				
17	and the band, and so we haven't offered it.				
18	However, if we were to see a patient who we				
19	thought would be best served by it based on what				
20	we know, we would refer them to a surgeon who does				
21	a significant number of switches or BPDs, so that				
22	they could be evaluated for that procedure there.				
23	DR. MCNEIL: Could I just ask a				
24	follow-up question, Ron? Could you just give me a				
25	little scenario of how that patient that you just				

1	referred	somewhere else,	what would	be the
-	10101100	Bollie Willer Cibe,	111100 110010	~ ~ ~ ~

- 2 characteristics of that patient that would be
- 3 different from the patient that you kept in house?
- 4 DR. BLACKSTONE: Primarily it would be
- 5 someone who, A, had a strong bias themselves to
- 6 the procedure. Again, you know, as you know, in
- 7 real life when you're working with a patient and
- 8 they come in with a year and a half of duodenal
- 9 research data and say this is what I want, then
- 10 even if you think they may be able to achieve
- those results with bypass, I think you owe it to
- them to allow them to be evaluated by someone who
- is very good and has a system that supports the
- switch.
- DR. MCNEIL: Would you be saying, then,
- 16 I just want to be absolutely clear I get this
- because I still don't have it, would you be
- saying, then, that in the vast majority of cases,
- 19 the patient should be the decision maker as to the
- 20 type of surgery, and the results will be

- 21 essentially the same in terms of short and
- 22 long-term mortality, major complications, and
- 23 approximate weight loss?
- 24 DR. BLACKSTONE: I think that their
- 25 preference for the type of procedure has a role to

- 1 play. I think that we see patients that we don't
- 2 think are appropriate for one or the other, and
- 3 then we work with them and talk with them about
- 4 why we think that is.
- 5 DR. MCNEIL: Could you just give me an
- 6 example of that, because I really still, I'm not a
- 7 surgeon and I don't see how this works.
- 8 DR. BLACKSTONE: I can tell you in my
- 9 particular bias right now, based on our results

- and what I've observed, if we have a patient who
- is diabetic, we will often suggest to them that we
- think that the bypass gives better long-term
- results for diabetes right now, based on what we
- 14 know. And that might be a more concrete example.
- 15 Some patients' behavior patterns may not be as
- 16 good for the band. Again, you're weighing that
- against their profile. And this is one of the
- things that I wanted to mention about our
- 19 population. Obviously if you have a procedure
- 20 that is less risky that you can offer to an older
- 21 group of patients who you wouldn't maybe think
- were good patients for the bypass, for instance,
- 23 then there is a way to differentiate. You know,
- you want to be able to offer some less risky
- 25 procedure to those patients if they can get a

- 1 reasonable amount of resolution of their
- 2 comorbidities and improved quality of life for
- 3 less risk. So you know, I don't know if I'm being
- 4 -- you know, it's not as straightforward perhaps
- 5 as that.
- 6 DR. MCNEIL: Well, just if I could push
- 7 this just one little second more.
- 8 DR. BLACKSTONE: I'm not sure I'm glad
- 9 I got up here.
- DR. MCNEIL: It sounds as if you run a
- fabulous service and are extremely generous and
- 12 altruistic in sending patients out, so that if a
- patient came in and your particular service didn't
- offer the switch procedure, for example, you would
- send them out. Do you think that happens
- 16 everywhere, and if it doesn't, then is it possible
- that a patient is really going to get a suboptimal
- 18 procedure? And now I'll stop.
- DR. BLACKSTONE: It would be arguable

among my colleagues whether a bypass could ever be
considered suboptimal to a switch. The
differences in who would be good for one or the
other might be a little bit, you know, that might
be hard, so I'm not sure if you couldn't send
someone out would be. But the truth is, there are

- 1 very good people doing switches in the U.S. that
- 2 we could send the patient out to, and we would
- 3 argue and lobby for that if it we felt that was
- 4 the right procedure for them. You know, I think
- 5 that it's important when you're a responsible
- 6 surgeon to grow up to the point that you realize
- 7 that perhaps you're not the person who should do a
- 8 Whipple if there's someone down the street who

9 does 50 of them a year who might be really really 10 good at them. And I think that it's important 11 when you're thinking about patient outcomes and 12 quality to always go there so that that's your 13 primary driver. 14 DR. BUCHWALD: Could I take a crack at 15 that? 16 DR. MCNEIL: Well, I wish you would 17 because I'm still very confused. 18 DR. BUCHWALD: You still look skeptical. 19 20 DR. MCNEIL: Well, I'm not skeptical, 21 but I guess I don't have enough trust, to be 22 perfectly honest, in the system as a whole to know 23 that a physician, that a primary care -- not

enough knowledge, trust and knowledge about this

as a whole, to know that an internist would know

24

- 1 what kind of bariatric surgeon to send a patient
- 2 to and to be sure that that bariatric surgeon
- 3 would say no, I'm not the right one for you, you
- 4 need another procedure, please go across town to
- 5 the Mass General and leave the Brigham, just for
- 6 instance.
- 7 DR. BUCHWALD: I don't know if I can
- 8 remove your mistrust, but let me try a few things.
- 9 First of all, in my own community, Minnesota, in
- my own center, we do them all, so that answers one
- 11 question. We do the whole range. We have
- seminars with the patients and we let the patients
- decide, and that maybe answers another question,
- who should be the ultimate decision maker, the
- patient. We might guide them but the ultimate
- decision maker should be the patient, just as the
- patient is the ultimate decision maker on what to
- do for prostate cancer, what to do for atrial
- 19 fibrillation, should they be put on Coumadin or

- should they try to be defibrillated and their rate
- 21 controlled. These are decisions that the
- 22 physician has to make together with the patient.
- All right.
- 24 The second thing is, so what happens in
- our own community when somebody comes in to

- 1 another surgeon or to another group of surgeons
- who do not do the duodenal switch? They send them
- 3 to us. And then to give you a little trust in the
- 4 system, there are today at least two million
- 5 people that would qualify under the NIH standards
- 6 for bariatric surgery. We're doing about 140,000
- 7 cases annually, so we are serving 1 to 2 percent
- 8 of the population. Where would this be accepted

- 9 if we would be saying we're only going to serve 1
- 10 to 2 percent of the diabetic population or the
- 11 hypertensive population, but we're really only
- serving 1 or 2 percent. So if there is anything
- but an altruistic feeling like the patient is
- mine, the patient is mine, I don't think it
- exists. All of us who are in the field have
- waiting lists for a year, or two years, and
- 17 therefore, if a patient wants a particular
- operation and it's not something that's being done
- in that center, it's no hardship to say you go
- 20 over there, because they do it well.
- DR. DAVIS: Dr. Klein.
- DR. KLEIN: This is changing track
- completely. I wanted to get to the issue of
- 24 comorbidities and what that means in the elderly
- 25 population, because the term has been bandied

	1	around	but I'm	not clear	we u	nderstand	wha
--	---	--------	---------	-----------	------	-----------	-----

- 2 exactly is being meant by the term comorbidities
- and how that will be defined in the population
- 4 over the age of 65. Because in that group, BMIs
- 5 even of 30 to 35 range can potentially impair
- 6 quality of life and be a comorbid condition. In
- 7 fact in our own experience, a BMI of 35 to 40
- 8 results in practically 100 percent of elderly
- 9 people being frail according to the standard
- 10 criteria of frailty in older people.
- So if we could get to some kind of
- decision about what comorbidity means in the older
- population, it may be different in the younger
- population, and if that's the case, then there is
- no reason to have this meeting, because all we're
- doing is talking about considering this procedure
- in people who don't have comorbid conditions.
- DR. COWAN: George Cowan. I have

19 talked and I have not had anybody state otherw	19	talked	and I	have	not ha	ad an	vbody	state	other	wise
---	----	--------	-------	------	--------	-------	-------	-------	-------	------

- 20 that there are five main classes of comorbidities.
- 21 One is medical, and that's what most people focus
- on, the diabetes, the sleep apnea, the swollen
- ankles, the shortness of breath with exertion. It
- 24 goes on over a hundred items.
- 25 The second one is social. Yes, social,

- 1 the prejudice that people have alluded to and such
- 2 like, which can be an extremely strong comorbidity
- 3 in and of itself that people live under. They are
- 4 down to their last two friends. Dr. Wadden
- 5 covered that.
- 6 Thirdly is psychological or psychiatric
- 7 and again, that has been addressed.

8 Fourth is physical. Where do you find 9 a size 60 panties? How do you get a 500-pound 10 person into a small Volkswagen? Those are the 11 various things where people just don't fit into 12 the movie theaters, the planes, the trains, 13 et cetera. 14 And fifth is economic. Some people 15 argue, is this a comorbidity? Well, if you can't 16 get a job, I maintain it is, just because you're 17 fat, but you're better than anybody else at doing 18 19 you don't keep the family together, you have

what you do. And when you don't have the bread, psychosocial dynamics that are extremely dysfunctional, and again, it becomes a significant comorbidity.

So when you talk about comorbidities,

yes, for big people you can find a comorbidity for

everybody if you want to go along those five major

20

21

22

23

24

- 1 groups. What you maybe saying is, what is a
- 2 significant comorbidity and how do you define that
- 3 particular comorbidity? But it's out there. When
- 4 you weigh a hundred pounds or more overweight, you
- 5 are subject to all of the nastiness that the
- 6 American population can provide, and I'm sure you
- 7 will agree, there is a lot of it out there in
- 8 addition to the medical, social, psychological,
- 9 and physical comorbidities.
- DR. KLEIN: I'd like to know, what does
- 11 Medicare consider a comorbidity for this
- 12 procedure? I couldn't find it in the documents.
- 13 I may have missed it.
- DR. PHURROUGH: We don't define it, we
- give two examples, and I don't suspect we will
- 16 ever define it. We may give more examples based
- on some of the information here, but it would be
- 18 very unlike Medicare to try and define it. One

19	reason	we took	out the	comment,	obesity is	s not an

- 20 illness, is that we in general are not in the
- 21 habit of telling the medical world what is and
- isn't a disease.
- DR. KLEIN: Would it be under the
- 24 purview of this panel to say for the elderly
- 25 population, a reasonable comorbidity is poor

- 1 functioning, poor physical function of life, and
- 2 that's it?
- 3 DR. PHURROUGH: The panel has a lot of
- 4 freedom to do what it wants to outside of
- 5 answering the questions, but if you would like to
- 6 advise us what you think comorbidities are, then
- 7 we'll take that into account as we look at your

- 8 recommendations.
- 9 DR. DAVIS: Do the NIH guidelines
- 10 define comorbidity.
- DR. KLEIN: Very vaguely, and physical
- 12 function is one of the comorbidities that they
- define, but not one that's accepted by any
- insurer.
- DR. SUGERMAN: They don't define it for
- 16 a BMI of 40 or greater, but there are no examples
- of comorbidities, but I think that's the issue,
- that there are so many of them. I mean, there are
- so many of these comorbidities, BMI of 40 or
- 20 greater, and then they do define it for the 35 to
- 21 39 in terms of sleep apnea, degenerative joint
- disease, obesity, hyperventilation, and so forth.
- DR. DAVIS: Does anybody want to
- 24 continue on this point? I have a list of people
- 25 who want to get off into other areas, I presume,

- 1 but if people want to speak to this issue, we can
- 2 take them out of order.
- 3 DR. PHURROUGH: Let me make one more
- 4 comment about comorbidities. Anytime we define
- 5 something with a list, then there's always a
- 6 problem, because something is left off the list or
- 7 something that's on the list suddenly shouldn't be
- 8 on the list. Obesity isn't a disease. Well, it
- 9 shouldn't be on the list of what isn't a disease,
- and it takes an act of CMS to change that. So
- 11 creating lists are always problematic, so our
- preference is not to do that, though we have
- 13 chosen in some cases to do that.
- DR. DAVIS: Dr. O'Connell.
- DR. O'CONNELL: Well, my observation
- here is that we started off with NIH guidelines of
- 17 35 plus comorbidities and 40 and no comorbidities.

18	And we've learned from at least one of the
19	speakers earlier today that even below 35 there
20	may be comorbidities that would make some kind of
21	intervention necessary. And to me this is like a
22	fuzzy moving cloud; when is in fact bariatric
23	surgery necessary, and we as a panel are sitting
24	here and the thoughts are going through our minds,
25	but I see a big void in the bariatric community

- 1 not coming forward and being actually proactive
- 2 and saying wait a minute, you should do it here,
- 3 whether that be 32, 38 or 48, but I don't see
- 4 that. What I see is you arguing back and forth
- 5 whether you should do a lap band, or a duodenal
- 6 switch, or representing one company or another,

- 7 and it makes it very difficult for panel members
- 8 to try and decide something like this.
- 9 DR. DAVIS: Dr. Margolis, did you want
- 10 to chime in on this issue?
- DR. MARGOLIS: I guess my question is
- somewhat along that line, although from a
- 13 different point of view. I can either wait or ask
- 14 it now.
- DR. DAVIS: Is it on the issue of how
- 16 to define comorbidity?
- DR. MARGOLIS: No, no, not comorbidity,
- who the procedure should be done on.
- DR. DAVIS: Then if you could hold off.
- DR. MARGOLIS: Which is what I think
- 21 he's addressing. He's not really addressing
- 22 comorbidity anymore, he's addressing who should
- 23 get it.
- DR. DAVIS: I want to be fair to Steve
- 25 Phurrough, who got on my list, and Mike as well.

1	DR. PHURROUGH: That was earlier and I
2	don't remember what my question was.
3	DR. DAVIS: Mike, do you remember what
4	your question was?
5	DR. ABECAASSIS: Well, I wanted to
6	follow up on Dr. McNeil's comments, because I'm a
7	surgeon and when I was training I did more
8	vertical banded gastroplasties than I care to
9	remember. And you know, that was the panacea at
10	the time, and now there are other procedures, and
11	we're hearing about the benefits and the merits of
12	each procedure. And I just read a paper that came
13	out last month where, I think it came out of
14	Minnesota, but it was actually the other
15	institution in Minnesota, that said that they had
16	a large series of patients that they were now
17	doing a second procedure on where they had failed

18 years after the first procedure, and the first procedure in this series was the VBG and now they 19 were doing Roux-en-Y. So I'm kind of wondering 20 along the same lines. Are there patients that the 21 22 surgeons in the audience think are going to get a banding procedure, for example now, and then if 23 24 they plateau or regain weight on that, are then 25 going to be candidates for either a Roux-en-Y

- 1 procedure or duodenal switch procedure? Are these
- 2 procedures mutually exclusive or is this a
- 3 spectrum of procedures, because I'm also confused
- 4 as to who would get what procedure right now.
- 5 DR. KRAL: John Kral again. I would
- 6 like to take this opportunity to address really

- 7 rather related questions. Patient selection is
- 8 really what you're talking about, Dr. McNeil, and
- 9 you alluded to that again. And you,
- 10 Dr. O'Connell, asked for criteria, do we have a
- moving target here or what's going on.
- 12 It all begins with the extraordinary
- biases out of which this whole field sprang, and
- our current understanding of obesity is rather
- different than it used to be. It's not many years
- ago we learned that it has to be treated like a
- 17 chronic disease. It is no longer suggested that
- one provide treatment for 10 weeks, 15 weeks, and
- 19 that is the end of it. Nobody would ever have
- suggested that about hypertension or diabetes, but
- 21 that's actually what was suggested just until a
- few years ago. So it is a moving target and the
- standard has evolved and it has evolved from a
- rather, I would say hazardous type of surgery
- before we had learned how to operate on very heavy

1 j	people.	So	that's	why	the	border	has	been
-----	---------	----	--------	-----	-----	--------	-----	------

- 2 shifting, it has been moving downwards.
- 3 I predict that we're going to see those
- 4 hard and fast body mass index levels, and I can
- 5 tell you that I was the initiator of the consensus
- 6 conference in '91 and one of the people who led
- 7 putting together that program at that time. It
- 8 was a panel, an independent panel that reached the
- 9 conclusions. And that was based on the
- practicality of what was feasible and possible to
- get any kind of acceptance for.
- Since then we've had the advent of
- laparoscopic approaches, which is a real change in
- our ability to provide safer care, at the same
- time as the field has been evolving. And with
- that has our understanding of, number one, our

17	ability to provide a more effective treatment at
18	lower levels of body mass index.
19	And abstracts have been presented on
20	the study done in Australia using a laparoscopic
21	banded approach in a prospective randomized, a

banded approach in a prospective randomized, and

I'm the enemy of randomized trials, but here it

was done for ethical reasons in patients with a

24 body mass index 30 to 35, because it was

22

23

25 considered unethical to randomize above that level

- because you didn't have an alternative equipoising 1
- 2 in the nonsurgical arm. And it of course wasn't
- 3 ethical to go below 30 where you couldn't be
- 4 certain that the disease mandated that level of
- 5 intervention.

6 And that's a significant change and 7 it's not addressing the issue, but it's just 8 explaining why you're not getting hard and fast 9 criteria because no one has even dared to 10 enunciate them. So it's very nice to have this 11 study of 30 to 35 body mass index where it 12 actually provided carefully and safely, and doing 13 a better job than anything else has been able to 14 do. 15 Back to the crucial issue, who are you 16 going to refer to whom, why and how, and what 17 criteria can you use? Dr. Buchwald and I are 18 probably those who go furthest back in time in 19 performing surgery for obesity, the early '70s. I 20 have, as Dr. Buchwald implied that they had done 21 in their center, have performed and provided all 22 of the modalities available up until the 23 laparoscopic approaches became available, and I 24 have not learned and not provided myself complex

laparoscopic procedures because I was not willing

- 1 at my stage in life to overcome that level of the
- 2 learning curve.
- 3 In providing all of those modalities,
- 4 let me first say, there is not one shred of
- 5 evidence that there are selection criteria for
- 6 predicting, and I've wanted to do this my whole
- 7 career, I've spent my whole life trying to figure
- 8 out what is an optimal method of treatment, of
- 9 surgical treatment among the various wrinkles and
- 10 facets of technical variance of surgery. There is
- 11 no hard data on this.
- However, let me address with you, Dr.
- 13 Abecaassis, since you just brought it up, already
- at the NIH consensus conference, I proposed and
- 15 had proposed earlier that one probably needs to
- take the same approach to this disease of severe

17 obesity as one does in some other diseases, and 18 that's to have a staged approach, and that staged approach includes surgical modalities. Where I 19 20 think it is fair to say that a laparoscopically 21 placed band might, if nothing else, succeed in selecting patients who with failure of that 22 23 procedure would be eligible and reasonable to 24 provide a more aggressive, possibly more 25 dangerous, but over the long term more effective

- 1 procedure. So a staged approach is not
- 2 unreasonable. It's not a failure of the whole
- 3 field that people are seriously considering that
- 4 revisional surgery might be necessary. Because we
- 5 do not have those criteria doesn't mean it's all

- 6 bad. But we do not have hard data and I have a
- 7 perspective, a little more than the one you heard
- 8 about from before from 2001.
- 9 DR. WOLFE: Bruce Wolfe. I would just
- 10 like to offer a couple of quick comments that
- might perhaps help understanding of a couple of
- the issues.
- Number one, the potential confusion
- over the issue of the patients who do or do not
- 15 have comorbidities is presumably impacted by the
- 16 fact that a given population of patients with a
- 17 BMI of 45 and a certain age who seek surgery has
- declared in their own mind that the risk of the
- surgery is worth it if they don't have the
- 20 metabolic comorbidities, but they have self
- 21 selected their psychosocial impact, whereas a
- patient who doesn't seek surgery has a lesser
- 23 psychological or psychosocial impact. That isn't
- 24 really studied because at surgical centers we
- don't see that whole population of people who

- 1 doesn't come seeking the treatment.
- 2 I mean, personally, if the patient
- 3 doesn't have diabetes or the more common
- 4 comorbidities, I say to the patient well, what is
- 5 it about being overweight that leads you to seek a
- 6 life threatening treatment? I mean, there's got
- 7 to be something bad about being 300 pounds that
- 8 you would be willing to take on this risk. And if
- 9 they don't understand the risk, then you've got to
- start over and make sure that they do.
- And if you go through that process then
- there probably is some self selection of who these
- patients are, and if you go that route, then you
- can say yes, they all have comorbidities because
- we don't operate on people that don't have

- 16 comorbidity.
- Now, this question of how do you decide
- which operation is best for which patient is a
- 19 very good question. Dr. Buchwald and Dr. Pories
- 20 have both already alluded to the fact that we
- 21 don't actually have clear data with criteria on
- 22 how to make such a selection. You go back just a
- step and say well, what are the mechanisms by
- 24 which these operations actually work? I mean,
- coming up with a treatment, it's nice to know the

- 1 details of the pathophysiology and what the
- 2 mechanism of action is of the intervention that
- 3 one proposes.
- 4 As it turns out, we don't actually know

- 5 how these operations work in detail, we don't
- 6 really know exactly why are there successes and
- 7 there are failures. For example, there are so far
- 8 ten gut peptides that have been identified, from
- 9 Agrelin in the stomach to PPY-3 in the colon, that
- are regulators of appetite and satiety and when
- they go up to the brain and affect the CNS
- 12 centers. How those interactions related to the
- receptors in the brain and affect appetite is not
- 14 known, but certainly is involved in the
- determination of how a given operation works.
- The idea that the stomach is made small
- and that that's how they lose weight or the idea
- that they malabsorb is hopefully oversimplified
- and in fact not correct, and it is beyond the
- scope of today's meeting to get onto those issues.
- 21 But until those matters are better defined, it's
- 22 going to be difficult for us to come up with
- better predictors of exactly which procedure will
- be best for which patient. Now we're going with
- some pretty crude associations, for example, a

1	more severely	obese	patient is	less	likely	tc

- 2 achieve a satisfactory or healthy BMI as we call
- 3 it, but that, there is no data at this time that
- 4 says one procedure or another should be done
- 5 because of that circumstance.
- 6 If we knew that they had some different
- 7 secretion of Agrelin and PYY, then perhaps there
- 8 would be a basis. So it's premature for us to
- 9 really be able to develop clear guidelines on
- which procedure is best. Hopefully, more research
- will help us to identify those factors.
- DR. DAVIS: Thank you.
- DR. SUGERMAN: If I could just add one
- last comment, I think the best procedure is the
- one that the surgeon really knows how to do well

16 and safely, and the worst would be that there be 17 some demand that every surgeon perform every 18 procedure. I think the clear-cut issue is that 19 the surgeon does a quality operation with quality 20 care of the patient, and any of the procedures 21 seem to be effective in the right hands. DR. DAVIS: Dr. Fisher. 22 23 DR. FISHER: I will try to be brief. I 24 think what you should take away from this is that

there is no one operation that is better or

- 233
- 1 perhaps no one operation that is better for a
- 2 specific identifiable group of patient subgroup,
- 3 because I don't think any of the data that has
- 4 come forth has demonstrated that. So you

- 5 shouldn't concern yourselves with that, you should
- 6 concern yourselves with whether patients should be
- 7 covered or not.
- 8 And just as you don't concern
- 9 yourselves with whether an internist prescribes a
- 10 calcium channel blocker or a beta blocker as the
- first line of defense against hypertension, that
- 12 you leave to the doctor. And here again, I think
- 13 you have to leave to the surgeon the choice of
- surgery that works well in their hands, just as
- 15 internists use specific medications.
- Don't look back. We no longer use
- 17 Rauwolfia alkaloids because things have changed
- and gotten better. So don't look back and compare
- 19 to years ago. Today we have laparoscopic surgery
- 20 that is being provided and all of the operations
- 21 can be performed in appropriate settings that way.
- We designed, and the reason I have the
- data that I presented to you today is that in 2001
- 24 when the band became available, I asked the
- 25 question and we're testing it, that if we use the

1	same preoperative training and same postoperative
2	program, is the program more important than which
3	operation is performed. And I don't have data
4	that goes out five years yet, but in another three
5	I will have valid data that I will be able to
6	present and answer that question. Right now I
7	don't have that question, but that's the
8	long-range study that we've been doing in our
9	community program.
10	So I ask you, don't concern yourselves
11	with things that we don't have answers to, like
12	the best procedure. We have accepted standards by
13	which we've been performing bariatric surgery

which have not been overtly challenged. The BMI

15	of 40 was accepted since 1991, it's been accepted
16	not only and recommended by the NIH, but also by
17	the American Obesity Association, and even appears
18	in the guidelines of InterQual and Mill &
19	Associates, and if it's widely accepted, that is a
20	good baseline place to start. If that population
21	becomes well served we will be doing a tremendous
22	boon to our society.
23	DR. PORIES: I think Dr. O'Connell
24	deserves a straight answer, and I think the

straight answer is there are some clearly defined,

25

- 1 it's not a moving target. The NIH guidelines of
- 2 35 plus comorbidities and 40, the age from 18 to
- 3 65, the business that if you have someone with

- 4 alcohol abuse or substance abuse or uncontrolled
- 5 depression is contraindications, those things are
- 6 excellent standard good guidelines that virtually
- 7 everyone follows. They are hard, they are fast.
- 8 Now obviously we're testing the edge of
- 9 the bubble because there are problems. We have
- morbidly obese adolescents, we have people over 65
- who are morbidly obese, and so on, and in many
- cases those are being tested under IRBs under an
- appropriate setting in a controlled setting.
- One of the main reasons for setting up
- 15 the centers of excellence is to collect large
- 16 controlled databases, perhaps on a practical
- basis, but at least that will allow us in a year
- or two or three to see how effective these things
- 19 are. But I don't want you to walk away and say
- there aren't any guidelines because there really
- 21 are.
- DR. DAVIS: Let me go back to Dr.
- 23 Phurrough and then Dr. Margolis, and we'll pick up
- where we were.
- DR. PHURROUGH: I think Dr. Wolfe

- 1 finally answered the question that we've been
- 2 looking for, there are no criteria by which you
- 3 can define which procedure is a better procedure.
- 4 MS. ALBERS: May I as a patient say
- 5 something quickly about that?
- 6 DR. DAVIS: Sure.
- 7 MS. ALBERS: Every senior I know, and
- 8 I'm talking about in different socioeconomics,
- 9 we're pretty computer savvy today. We either own
- 10 computers, we go to libraries, we research. We
- don't just walk into a doctor and say I'm fat, I
- want surgery. I'm overweight, I want surgery.
- 13 And we don't, if a doctor says you need surgery,
- just walk away and have surgery. We research, we

15 go on line. There are groups that you can go to where you belong because you're thinking of it, 16 for all the types of surgery. We are entitled to 17 18 have a good input in the final decision because 19 we're the ones that are going to live with this. 20 DR. DAVIS: Thank you. Dr. Margolis. 21 DR. MARGOLIS: My question is a little 22 bit different although somewhat associated with 23 what's been going on. The data that's been 24 presented is very nice, and it has certainly been 25 well presented in both qualitative and

- 237
- 1 quantitative ways in the packets that we received,
- 2 and well reviewed over the last several years.
- I guess my concern gets to some of the

- 4 points that have recently been made that there's
- 5 both a lot of patient self selection in terms of
- 6 having surgery, but the amount of people who could
- 7 have bariatric surgery is basically underserved,
- 8 and that the patients who have been studied so far
- 9 or have been reported on so far are really a very
- small select group that have both had, it sounds
- like very good pre-care and very good post-care as
- has been described here, but it's a very small
- percentage of everybody who could have surgery,
- that they have to be very self motivated to find
- 15 the people and find the insurance companies to
- actually pay for it. So I guess my question is
- how do we know that what's been presented and
- what's been so well summarized is really going to
- be what we find in four or five years when more
- and more people are having the surgery?
- DR. DAVIS: Dr. Goodman, did you want
- 22 to answer that?
- DR. GOODMAN: I wanted to support the
- 24 question before it's answered, I have a corollary

238

that these procedures are regarded widely as safe 1 2 and effective and they are accorded more public 3 attention as they are today, demand is bound to 4 increase. And this demand is bound to stretch the 5 definition of comorbidities, it's bound to lower 6 the BMI, the threshold BMI. And with an aging 7 more obese population and the financial and 8 professional incentives which always prevail, we're going to experience some kind of indication 9 10 creep, sometimes called the woodwork effect. And 11 so therefore, isn't it likely that some significant new population distribution is going 12

to emerge as indicated for these procedures,

14	regardless of which one you happen to prefer, for
15	whom we know that we have precious few data, let
16	alone rigorously generated data. I'm talking not
17	much data, let alone the good stuff.
18	And so, this is potentially some shaky

And so, this is potentially some shaky ground. And this isn't confined to this type of surgery, this condition, it's seen throughout various procedures, interventions with which Medicare has to deal. So therefore, we have to give Medicare, as well as the patients and their doctors an evidence base and clear criteria that will enable them to respond to this anticipated

- 1 emerging patient population that's going to come
- 2 forth with these procedures. So I'm consistent, I

- 3 hope with Dr. Margolis, what's the basis upon
- 4 which we can be ready for this change in
- 5 distribution if it's going to be some people with
- 6 whom we're not quite familiar?
- 7 DR. DAVIS: With the whole flu vaccine
- 8 debacle, we've been dealing with this question of
- 9 prioritization and poor access to diminishing
- supplies, so your question kind of rings loudly in
- my ear, having dealt with the flu vaccine
- situation over the last couple of weeks. Yes, Dr.
- 13 Sugerman.
- DR. SUGERMAN: Well, I want to answer
- that from at least our society's point of view
- because we wholeheartedly agree with both of you
- and with Mr. Phurrough that we really do need
- data, much more data than selected series. We
- 19 need broad population-based data, and I think
- we're going at it in a step-wise manner, starting
- 21 with the NIH-funded labs project where they are
- 22 now collecting and developing plans on how to rank
- 23 severity of illness so that the results can be
- 24 risk adjusted just like it is for coronary artery

240

1	excellence in which as part of being a center of
2	excellence, you plus submit your outcomes data,
3	and furthermore it's submit but verify, so there
4	will be site visits of all of these centers of
5	excellence for which they are paying to be a
6	center of excellence. And from that step, we're
7	hoping to go forward with mandatory data
8	submission and this is a hope, for membership in
9	the society, that if you want to be a clinical
10	member of the society you must submit your data,
11	which is the next step where we would like to go.
12	And in having been with you at the AHRQ

meeting, we would want to work with Carolyn Clancy

14	and want to develop this, and with Medicare to
15	push forward and to get these data, because we
16	need these data desperately for all of the reasons
17	that everybody here has mentioned, whether it be
18	adolescents, whether it be 65 and over, or whether
19	it be the entire population, whether it be this
20	procedure, that procedure, or what procedure, we
21	need more data. We have a lot of data, we never
22	can get enough. And so that's where I think we
23	would be supportive of where you would like to go
24	DR. DAVIS: Yes, please.
25	DR. KRAL: I want to draw the attention

- 1 to two studies that are directly pertinent to what
- 2 you're asking. First of all, in the SOS study

- 3 where access is not an issue, access is equal for
- 4 everybody more or less, the patients electing, and
- 5 they're electing to have surgery, have worse
- 6 disease than the controls, and everything is being
- 7 done to try to match them and it has been matched,
- 8 but the patients electing to have surgery are
- 9 worse off than those, at the same body mass index,
- who elect not to have the surgery.
- In other words, it is a step for
- somebody to say I'm going to have surgery, it
- sounds like the easy way out, as if there's going
- 14 to be a mission creep downward, the woodwork
- 15 effect, so there is data of that kind showing that
- 16 it's the more ill people who are going to elect to
- 17 have and request the surgery.
- The other piece of data that I want to
- 19 give you is a paper by Fitzgibbons and coworkers
- from the early '80s. I started to interest myself
- 21 in the selection process very early, as I
- 22 mentioned before. That demonstrates that people
- wanting treatment for their obesity have different

- 24 personality characteristics among other things,
- and different characteristics than the population,

- 1 everything else equal, not seeking treatment. So
- 2 sure, is there a selection bias in that? Yes,
- 3 there is a self selection bias in that, but I'm
- 4 not so sure that it's a hazardous one.
- 5 DR. DAVIS: Dr. Weissberg.
- 6 DR. WEISSBERG: I just wanted to
- 7 mention in terms of the social networks that are
- 8 promoting perhaps new populations coming into this
- 9 consideration for surgery, in our technology
- 10 committee we were looking at one of the edges of
- 11 the bubble that was referred to, doing these
- procedures in adolescents. Not that many people

13	reported on it, but one of the most amazing facts
14	was that of the adolescents in one survey, fully
15	25 percent of them had a family member who had had
16	a similar procedure. And I think that in addition
17	to going to anonymous sources on the Internet,
18	people are going to be developing more and more
19	actual contact and experience with how it has been
20	life transforming and/or hazardous in people
21	around them.
22	DR. DAVIS: Dr. Buchwald.
23	DR. BUCHWALD: If I could just get back
24	to that point that Dr. Goodman made, you're
25	absolutely correct. This is the way of life.

- 2 have some sense of history, when people started
- doing angioplasties, they said the same thing,
- 4 where is this going to go. And before then when
- 5 they did CABGs, where is this going to end up.
- 6 And pacemakers, and defibrillators, and
- 7 nontechnical things such as drugs for
- 8 hypercholesterolemia, there was tremendous debate.
- 9 Where is this going to go? Half of the population
- 10 is going to be taking drugs, and they do at this
- 11 moment.
- 12 And the standards have been lowered,
- again as you correctly stated, the standards for
- what is hypercholesterolemia keep being lowered
- and the data support that the lowering is
- justified. So this is a way of life in anything
- in medicine. But I think what is unique is that
- the group representing the bariatric surgical
- 19 community, the American Society of Bariatric
- 20 Surgeons that has now formed the centers of
- 21 excellence project and the testing organization to
- do this says we're going to police this, and we're
- 23 going to provide more and more data. I think that

- is different about the bariatric community than
- some of the other communities that have come forth

- 1 with new procedures, or several procedures and so
- 2 on.
- 3 DR. DAVIS: Dr. Goodman.
- 4 DR. GOODMAN: And in all cases, Dr.
- 5 Buchwald, that you mentioned about the wide use of
- 6 technologies, and you picked some very good ones,
- 7 to my knowledge they were all supported by
- 8 rigorous studies, randomized controlled trials.
- 9 I'm thinking of coronary artery stents,
- defibrillators, pacemakers, the treatments for
- 11 hypercholesterolemia, the legion of RCTs
- supporting expansion indications for those is well

13	known. And my concern is in trying to help
14	support Medicare be a prudent decision maker, and
15	doctors and patients to make those decisions, will
16	they have that type of data to support their
17	decisions when they are faced with an opportunity
18	to do a procedure with some indications that are a
19	little bit different. And we know that.
20	It sounds like we've stopped doing RCTs
21	in this area for quite some time now, and we know
22	precious little about the elderly Medicare
23	population and that's who we're going to see in
24	this what I referred to as indication creep. So I
25	agree with the phenomenon. I'm suggesting that we

- 2 get the data to make these decisions.
- 3 DR. BUCHWALD: Unfortunately, we're not
- 4 going to have too many opportunities to do
- 5 randomized clinical trials using a real control
- 6 group, namely obese patients who are not going to
- 7 be treated. We're just not going to be able to do
- 8 that. We've tried it. We've tried it for 30
- 9 years. The patients don't stay in the control
- group, they cross over. We're going to have to
- 11 come to our conclusions in another manner.
- We all agree randomized controlled
- trials is a wonderful thing and has been put forth
- 14 as a gold standard, which in a sense it is. And
- because of that, if you look in our meta-analysis
- paper, there's table nine, I believe, that takes
- 17 the five randomized trials in this field and says
- are they any different than the 22,000 patients
- 19 that we looked at, and the 134 studies that we
- 20 looked at, and the answer is no. In other words,
- 21 the few randomized trials, the small handful we
- have, gave the same data as the overall

- 23 meta-analytical assessment.
- DR. GOODMAN: Let's get some data.
- 25 Let's set up some systematic fashion to get some

- 1 data for this emerging population.
- 2 DR. DAVIS: Dr. Weiner.
- 3 DR. WEINER: I have a question and
- 4 comment, one that wouldn't have been possible
- 5 until Barbara's question. You know, in reading
- 6 the literature very carefully as I did as a
- 7 non-physician, but trying to take the evidence
- 8 base as well as the public health perspective, I
- 9 too wondered about, you know, which of the now six
- 10 different classes, and it's actually more than
- six, as you know, there are even more subvariants

12 out there, and the literature didn't suggest one 13 was better. You experts have also suggested that 14 it's not clear cut that one is better. All right, 15 that's the output side, the outcomes in terms of 16 mortality and morbidity, and granted, there's some 17 preference. But what about the inputs, the costs, 18 the training? Dr. Buchwald has convinced me that 19 we do have a public health problem and I would 20 like to back up and look at the whole issue of 21 obesity and prevention, et cetera, but that's out 22 of our sphere, so I won't do that. I'll just 23 focus on the issue, what did you say, two million 24 people potentially needed the surgery and we're

25

doing 140,000 a year?

- DR. BUCHWALD: Conservative, two
- 2 million are eligible.

- 3 DR. WEINER: Well, are there not great
- 4 implications of which of the six or 12 different
- 5 procedures we pick in terms of ramping up and cost
- 6 benefit? Now we're not allowed to look at cost in
- 7 terms of does the evidence suggest this procedure
- 8 has a positive impact, and I'm prepared to vote as
- 9 many will on that question in a moment. But here
- we have several that may lead to very similar if
- 11 not identical outcomes. So therefore, you know,
- we're not going to be in a position to ask for
- what is the cost of this procedure versus that
- procedure, not just for the surgeon, but the
- entire market basket of services as well as
- 16 output.
- But obviously the field needs to
- address that if we're ever going to hope to answer
- the types of questions, the woodwork effect
- 20 questions as well as Medicare as a prudent buyer.
- 21 And I'm sure that Kaiser and some of the
- international settings that do ask these questions

- probably have begun to address it, but I encourage
- all of you, particularly as we ramp up these
- 25 databases, to ask these hard questions. The

- 1 trainers, you know, which is easier to train if
- 2 we're going to double, triple output of training.
- 3 Some are harder than others. The outputs may be
- 4 the same, but difficult questions, and perhaps we
- 5 can address a few of them today, but I think we're
- 6 really talking more into the future.
- 7 There are differences in costs,
- 8 correct, and training implications of these six
- 9 different classes? And if the outputs -- was that
- 10 not really? All about the same?
- DR. FISCHER: I think the key to many

12	of the questions that have been raised here lies
13	in programs. If you're going to train people for
14	a certain period of time, let's say for a year,
15	and most of the time in a well set-up situation
16	they are going to have the ability to do four,
17	five, sometimes six. I think the exception may be
18	that in certain parts of the country, in certain
19	institutions, that the duodenal switch is not
20	often performed, and that may be the procedure
21	which is not as often performed, but for the
22	others it should be possible to train people well
23	and then have follow-on with proctoring and I
24	think the training will be taken care of.

DR. WEINER: How about the issue of

- 1 similar outputs but different input costs? I
- 2 don't know if you're the right one to answer that
- 3 question.
- 4 DR. FISCHER: Do you want to translate
- 5 that ?
- 6 DR. WEINER: In other words, the number
- 7 of days in the hospital, the types of level of
- 8 staff that you need for the different procedures,
- 9 inpatient days.
- DR. FISCHER: Well, I think there are a
- 11 number of different criteria. I think with
- 12 clinical pathways, I think if the clinical
- pathways are well worked out and people meet the
- criteria of what a program should look like,
- they're probably not terribly different between
- 16 for example the lap Roux-En-Y gastric bypass, a
- 17 little shorter length of stay than the open. The
- lap band is one day, all's well the next morning
- and home you go.
- 20 So there really is a difference in
- 21 length of stay, there may be a trade-off as far as

- the rapidity of weight loss. I think one thing
- 23 that nobody has mentioned today, and I thought the
- anesthesiologists that got up was going to mention
- 25 it, is that my own feeling is that just as we have

- 1 for example an anesthesia team for cardiac
- 2 surgery, we have an anesthesia team for
- 3 transplants, we have an anesthesia team for
- 4 neurosurgical oncology.
- 5 I think one of the things people ought
- 6 to start looking at, I think we need an anesthesia
- 7 team, and I'm trying to get this in our
- 8 institution for the very obese patients who are
- 9 sick. I mean, you wouldn't take somebody who does
- 10 pilonidal sinuses all week and send them into a

11 room for anesthesia to put to sleep somebody who 12 weighs 450 pounds. I mean, they have terrible 13 airway problems, and the problems we've had have 14 not been with the surgery, it's been with the 15 airway. So whatever you decide to do, I think 16 whatever standards there have to be for programs 17 really ought to take that into account, which in 18 my experience is part of a source of a problem or 19 potential problem. 20 DR. KRAL: May I make a quick one? 21 Many of put together anesthesia teams who are 22 highly specialized on taking care of the severely 23 obese patients. That is the hallmark of any good 24 program. Dr. Weiner, one issue that has to be 25 mentioned, I don't think we should belabor the

- 1 approach question, laparoscopic versus non, as I
- 2 indicated earlier when I spoke, forget that.
- 3 DR. WEINER: Even with my issue of one
- 4 being more cost effective or a better value for
- 5 patient or society, with that issue you believe
- 6 that --
- 7 DR. KRAL: That's not an issue, the
- 8 surgery should be provided laparoscopically in the
- 9 year 2005, primary procedures. But the thing that
- 10 you didn't consider that I want to make a plea for
- is to understand that the outcome for the patient
- is dramatically different for having a purely
- 13 restrictive procedure like the laparoscopic band
- and any of the bypass type operations. They are
- 15 significantly different. And I have provided
- 16 these types of operations throughout my career and
- 17 I have done my utmost to try to educate and get
- the patients to be educated about the differences
- between those procedures. And when the patient
- 20 has a choice, they must have a choice, and they
- 21 will choose, and they will choose differently, and

- one size does not fit all. We cannot with a
- 23 mechanistic technocrat attitude say we're going to
- choose an air-cooled four-cylinder car. We can't
- do that.

- DR. DAVIS: I have a question about
- waiting lists. Dr. Flum this morning, I don't
- 3 know if he's still here, maybe somebody else can
- 4 help me with this. Dr. Flum presented data
- 5 showing, I believe, that people who had bariatric
- 6 surgery had a lower mortality rate than people who
- 7 were on the waiting list for bariatric surgery.
- 8 And I think Dr. Buchwald also referred to people
- 9 being put on waiting lists and the access problem
- that that represents. So for comparing people who

11	have the surgery versus those who are on the
12	waiting lists for the surgery, one wonders how
13	comparable those two groups are, and then that
14	raises the question, well, how are people chosen
15	to get the surgery versus being put on the waiting
16	list? Is this first come first served, or is
17	there some sort of priority scheme that would move
18	people over others and they would jump over others
19	because either they're more sick or less sick?
20	How is that done?
21	DR. PORIES: Well, there are two
22	studies. Our own study is one where we followed
23	the patients who were scheduled for surgery, in
24	other words, they not only met the standards for

surgery but were actually scheduled and then were

1	, 1	1	•	• 1	C	•	
l	furned	down	prima	irilv	tor	insurance	reasons
-			PIIII	· · · · ·			I CUB OILD.

- 2 There were a few that were turned down because
- 3 they changed their mind. The mortality difference
- 4 was in the group that was operated on, the
- 5 mortality was 1 percent per year over nine years.
- 6 In the group that was not operated on, the
- 7 mortality was 4.5 percent per year, so people do
- 8 die on the waiting list and the mortality is
- 9 higher.
- DR. DAVIS: And you're saying these two
- groups were probably similar?
- DR. PORIES: We thought so. Now
- 13 admittedly, those who got the insurance paid
- probably may be more adept, may be more nimble,
- may be more quick in getting insurance coverage,
- whatever, but that's what we got, it's as good as
- 17 proof as we could get.
- DR. DAVIS: Dr. Buchwald, you referred
- 19 to people on waiting lists. Has this been an
- 20 issue in your practice, or across the field, how

- is this prioritization done? If the demand or the
 number of people who are candidates for the
 surgery overwhelm the resources to deliver the
 procedure, how is that dealt with?
- DR. BUCHWALD: I think the speaker you

- 1 referred to is Dr. Christeau from Canada. They
- 2 have about a four-year waiting list. In the
- 3 United States most of us have one-and-a-half year
- 4 waiting lists. As a result it's done first come
- 5 first served with certain exceptions. In our own
- 6 institution we've done about four or five people
- 7 who were on the cardiac transplant list and they
- 8 had bariatric surgery instead of a cardiac
- 9 transplant, and they survived and they're off the

10	cardiac transplant list. We've had some people
11	with pseudotumor cerebri who were going blind, and
12	we pushed them up on the list.
13	DR. DAVIS: Thank you. Dr. Phurrough.
14	DR. PHURROUGH: If there is this access
15	problem that causes waiting lists around the
16	country and there is an increasing demand that's
17	going to happen, and if the bariatric surgery
18	society is recommending only centers of excellence
19	do the surgery, how do we get rid of the waiting
20	lists? Who is going to do the surgery in
21	Hagerstown, Maryland?
22	DR. PORIES: I'm glad you brought that
23	up, because you know, it's quite different from
24	transplant centers where we have a limited number
25	of organs, and certainly at East Carolina we could

1	do more	kidnevs	if	there	were	more	kidnes	JS	In
1	do more	KIUHE y S	11	uicic	WCIC	HIOLE	Vidile	<i>y</i> 5.	Ш

- 2 this situation I think we have to be aware that we
- 3 need to be able to provide the service but provide
- 4 it with great care. So it's our job to define the
- 5 centers of excellence with great rigor, but then
- 6 also help centers achieve that level of rigor by
- 7 education, better training, putting people there.
- 8 So we now already have 250 applications that are
- 9 being processed across the United States and I
- 10 hope that we can probably double that number to
- get that work done. But we're not going to
- identify centers of mediocrity, we're going to be
- pretty tough about it.
- DR. SUGERMAN: Walter, how many
- surgeons are in those 250 centers, do you think?
- DR. PORIES: Just off the top of my
- head, about 700.
- DR. DAVIS: Again, just to interject,
- another example from my sphere of work in
- 20 immunization, I remember this situation came up

- 21 when the CDC was considering recommending
- varicella vaccination universally for children and
- they made the recommendation well before Congress
- 24 had appropriated funds to support the vaccination
- 25 across the country for public sector immunization

- 1 programs. But you needed the CDC to make the
- 2 recommendation in order for Congress to provide
- 3 the appropriations. So in this case you might
- 4 need a coverage decision and the increase in
- 5 demand in order to motivate the training and the
- 6 multiplicity of centers of excellence in order to
- 7 accommodate that demand.
- 8 DR. SUGERMAN: I might add, and maybe
- 9 Walter, you might address this issue in terms of

10	the private	insurance	carriers	out tl	nere	are
	•					

- demanding that this be developed, some of them are
- doing it on their own. I know that you can
- address Blue Cross Blue Shield of North Carolina,
- but another issue with regards to access that
- clearly is out of the purview of what we're
- talking about today is the growing tendency of
- 17 private insurance carriers to block access to this
- surgery. But go ahead, Walter.
- DR. PORIES: We have had very good
- 20 support from the Blues in North Carolina, partly
- because their CEO sits on the board of governors.
- But he has been very helpful and has said they
- will delegate their centers of excellence program
- to us as soon as we're really functioning. We're
- 25 having similar discussions with four other Blues,

- 1 we're meeting with the medical director of one of
- 2 the Big Five in two weeks, so we're getting quite
- a bit of support, and of course we have to do it
- 4 right and do it well, but I think this is the way
- 5 to go.
- 6 DR. PHURROUGH: How many bariatric
- 7 surgeons belong to your society now and how many
- 8 hospitals does that represent?
- 9 DR. SUGERMAN: I can't answer the
- second question, but the first question is that
- 11 there are 1,200 members of the society who are
- surgeons who are full members of the society.
- 13 There are an additional group of what are called
- 14 associate members who haven't achieved the
- criteria to become full members, and there are an
- additional 700 allied health members, who are
- 17 nurses, dietitians, nutritionists, so forth.
- DR. DAVIS: Yes, please.
- DR. KNAPP: Just from the standpoint of

20	the question of doing clinical medicine, my
21	question with these waiting lists, and this may be
22	becoming a two-part question, the first part is
23	how much of this waiting list is economic?
24	DR. DAVIS: Versus not big enough
25	supply sort of

1	DR. KNAPP: Versus shortage of access
2	problem.
3	DR. ALLEN: I can maybe answer that.
1	People on our waiting are people who have come in
5	and established themselves as good surgical
5	candidates and we are waiting to get them on the
7	OR schedule. At my hospital, we have taken a
3	number of measures to streamline, so once the

9 people come in, we weigh them, we make sure they clearly are NIH qualifiers and make sure they're 10 11 acceptable operative risks. And we also do an 12 insurance verification at that point; if for 13 instance they have Blue Cross of Kentucky, and 14 after listening to the information about the 15 procedures, giving the patient the choice, they 16 may say I want nothing but a band. We say that's 17 going to be a real problem because Blue Cross of 18 Kentucky doesn't cover a band and if you want to 19 go through with the process you have the options 20 of changing your allegiances, trying to appeal 21 this, or paying for it out of your pocket. 22 So I think the short answer to your 23 question is there's a very limited number that are 24 economic. There's not people lined up who just 25 can't wait to get in the operating room, it's

- 1 really I think in most institutions a problem of
- 2 getting in for initial visit and before the whole
- 3 deciding process occurs.
- 4 The other thing that's important, I
- 5 think to differentiate, to make sure the panel
- 6 members know, is that it's not like -- I do a fair
- 7 amount of general surgery as well. If somebody
- 8 comes into me and they have I gallstones and they
- 9 have problems and they need their gall bladder
- out, you know, if they say I really need it now, I
- say let's do it tomorrow morning. You can't do
- this with a bariatric operation. This is the
- 13 ultimate elective operation; you need to go
- 14 through a number of processes, psychologic
- evaluation, nutritional evaluation, as well as
- 16 getting insurance preapproval prior to doing to
- ensure payment, not necessarily even to the
- doctor, just to make sure the patient doesn't get
- 19 hit with a \$30,000 bill at the end of this because

- 20 for whatever reason the T wasn't crossed or the I
- wasn't dotted.
- DR. STILES: I'd like to follow up in
- 23 terms of access in terms of economics, and a
- 24 little bit different slant on it coming from a
- 25 major payer which is an HMO called Kaiser

- 1 Permanente. There's a lot of things that I see as
- 2 the doctor who sees all the patients and knows of
- all the patients that are referred from northern
- 4 California to our referral center, and we get at
- 5 least 200 referrals a month for bariatric surgery.
- 6 And I would say that certainly we do probably
- 7 within our region maybe 100 a month. And if you
- 8 do the math, that means 100 people that we are

- 9 reviewing and often seeing are not ending up
 10 getting the surgery. And you might say, some of
 11 our patients might say that's an access problem.
- But I because of being the person that
 sees most of them, I will say it's because they
 are not yet ready. There are stringent things
 that we make our patients do that is similar to
 what these other fine surgeons are doing as well
 in terms of making our patients really healthier
 for surgery, in terms of their medical
- 19 comorbidities. Our patients walk before surgery,
- 20 there's a big thing that we make people do.
- 21 Before a lot of them will come in on their
- scooters and I will do everything I can, and I'm
- 23 called the cheerleader for all the patients, and
- that's because I believe that we can get them
- 25 healthier and we can get them safer before

1	surgery.

- 2 It's not meant as a roadblock, but yet
- 3 people sometimes will be on their own trajectory.
- 4 Sometimes they will come in and hear our
- 5 orientation, they will be ready for surgery in
- 6 three months even if they have significant
- 7 comorbidities. Sometimes it takes them a year and
- 8 a half. Sometimes they come in on their scooters
- 9 and if at all possible, I'll get them to physical
- therapy to learn upper body extremity exercises.
- 11 I'm not going to belabor you with all the
- different things that are possible to do out
- there, but a lot of us are doing these things to
- 14 get our patients healthy for surgery.
- So that the access time may be a year
- and a half for one patient, but you know what my
- patients say, and this is the honest truth, is
- 18 that when they are ready, they know that they are

19	ready, and that's because they understand what
20	they need to do, and they understand we have done
21	everything possible to insure their loved ones
22	that they are going to be safe for surgery, and we
23	have an extremely very very good record in that as
24	well.

And the last thing I'll say is that

25

- 1 postoperatively, it's not a simple thing. Luckily
- 2 at Kaiser, if we want to see a patient or a
- 3 patient wants to see us, we don't have any problem
- 4 with that, and we will go to -- sometimes I go out
- 5 to different centers that are farther away in
- 6 order to see the patients there postoperatively,
- 7 and we actively have them back for many different

- 8 kinds of programs. And I think that having access 9 postoperatively insures you that the surgery that 10 you do will be done to the best way possible and 11 that even if they decide they need another surgery 12 down the line, that that's because that's the 13 appropriate treatment for their severe obesity 14 because really, we're taking care of more than 15 just the surgery, as we have all been talking 16 about. Thank you. 17 DR. DAVIS: I think the intensity of the questioning and the discussion is ebbing a little bit, which probably means that people are getting ready to vote. Dr. Phurrough and I were
- the questioning and the discussion is ebbing a
 little bit, which probably means that people are
 getting ready to vote. Dr. Phurrough and I were
 just whispering to each other a little while ago
 that if the panel believes that we really don't
 need to go laboriously through the set of
 questions for people who are morbidly obese but
 without comorbidities, we could probably just

- 1 dispense with that.
- 2 DR. PHURROUGH: We could probably vote
- 3 on the first question, and if there isn't a good
- 4 response, then we wouldn't have to do the rest.
- 5 DR. MCNEIL: I agree with that. I
- 6 think we should vote on the first question on the
- 7 second page and then not vote on the rest of the
- 8 questions on the second page, depending on the
- 9 answer.
- DR. DAVIS: Let's just take any final
- 11 comments before we do that. Dr. Sugerman, did you
- want to chime in?
- DR. SUGERMAN: I was going to vote to
- dispense with the second page, so that answered my
- 15 question.
- DR. DAVIS: Yes, Dr. Weiner.
- DR. WEINER: I fully agree that
- dispensing with the second page times 25 is a good

- 19 idea. However, are there not still some
- 20 instances, particularly I heard about young
- 21 Medicaid recipients that really have no other
- 22 morbidities at that time, even if you look real
- hard, they don't? Therefore, by not voting, have
- 24 we excluded them for consideration?
- DR. PHURROUGH: You're going to vote on

- 1 the first question, my assumption is, on the
- 2 second page, which says we could not find any
- 3 evidence with which to answer the rest of the
- 4 questions, which is not necessarily relevant to
- 5 what we eventually do with patients who may not
- 6 have comorbidities.
- 7 DR. WEINER: So we can't vote on the

- 8 evidence if there isn't any/.
- 9 DR. PHURROUGH: Yeah.
- DR. DAVIS: Yes, Mike.
- DR. ABECAASSIS: I wanted to echo your
- concern, because I think it we answer the first
- 13 question, and let's say the vote is that the
- evidence is not overwhelming, you know, if you
- look at some of the subsequent questions, it asks
- our judgments as to how likely a good outcome is
- 17 to be in this setting. So I think that by
- answering the first question, we may actually be
- disadvantaging potentially a patient like the one
- 20 that you just brought up, a younger person that we
- 21 know is going to get into trouble shortly and that
- 22 might potentially benefit from the procedure. I
- just want to make sure, Steve, that we're not
- 24 doing that by taking this vote.
- DR. PHURROUGH: The purpose for which

- 1 we have you here is to tell us what the evidence
- 2 shows. If in fact the evidence is not there for
- 3 us to arrive at a conclusion, then there are
- 4 alternatives that we have as an agency to
- 5 hopefully insure that that patient population is
- 6 in fact not disadvantaged. It may be that they
- 7 are not disadvantaged by continuing our current
- 8 coverage because there is no evidence to change
- 9 that. Or it may be as we've had the discussion
- that we're never going to get that information in
- 11 the current clinical trial setting and so we may
- do as we have done in other decisions recently,
- say coverage in this particular entity is only
- reimbursable if we're collecting data at the same
- 15 time. And so we can define criteria by which
- someone who "has no comorbidities" may in fact be
- able to have surgery but to protect that patient

- we are only going to do it in the context of some
- 19 kind of trial to obtain clinical data.
- DR. DAVIS: Any other final requests or
- 21 comments before we move to vote? If not, Kim, I
- think has some instructions that she will provide
- about voting.
- MS. LONG: For the record, the voting
- 25 members present for today's meeting are

- 1 Dr. Barbara McNeil, Dr. David Margolis, Dr. Cliff
- 2 Goodman, Dr. Brent O'Connell, Dr. Jonathan Weiner,
- 3 Dr. Jed Weissberg, Dr. Michael Abecaassis, Dr.
- 4 Kieren Knapp, and Dr. William Owen. A quorum is
- 5 present and no one has been recused because of
- 6 conflicts of interest, and at this time Dr. Davis

- 7 can call for a motion to vote.
- 8 DR. DAVIS: Is there a motion to vote?
- 9 DR. GOODMAN: So move.
- DR. DAVIS: Second?
- DR. MCNEIL: Second.
- DR. DAVIS: Any objection to voting?
- 13 (No response.)
- DR. DAVIS: If not, we will proceed
- with voting. Now, we probably need to talk about
- the cards and how we're going to do this.
- DR. PHURROUGH: Everyone has a pack of
- 18 cards.
- DR. DAVIS: Right. So the request is
- 20 that everybody vote whether they're a voting
- 21 member or not, and we don't want to disenfranchise
- anybody at the table.
- The first set of questions pertains to
- 24 obesity patients with one or more comorbidities,
- and question number one is: How well does the

- 1 evidence address the effectiveness of bariatric
- 2 surgery in the treatment of obesity in patients
- 3 with one or more comorbidities compared with
- 4 nonsurgical medical management? And the response
- 5 choices range from one for poorly up to five for
- 6 very well. And so, we'll ask each person to pull
- 7 out their number and hold it up so that Kim can
- 8 record them.
- 9 (Dr. McNeil, Dr. Weissberg, Dr.
- 10 Abecaassis, Dr. Knapp, Dr. Owen, Dr. Raab, Dr.
- 11 Klein, Dr. Buchwald and Dr. Sugerman voted five;
- 12 Dr. Margolis, Dr. Goodman, Dr. O'Connell and Dr.
- Weiner voted four.)
- DR. DAVIS: Thank you. Question number
- two is: How confident are you in the validity of
- the scientific data on the following outcomes?
- 17 And we will have four votes for this question, and

- 18 the answers from one for no confidence up to five
- 19 for high confidence, and first is for sustained
- weight loss.
- 21 (Dr. McNeil, Dr. Weissberg, Dr.
- 22 Abecaassis, Dr. Knapp, Dr. Owen, Dr. Raab, Dr.
- 23 Klein, Dr. Buchwald and Dr. Sugerman voted five;
- 24 Dr. Margolis, Dr. Goodman, Dr. O'Connell and Dr.
- Weiner voted four.)

- DR. DAVIS: Kim was just reminding me
- 2 that we will post the results at the end of the
- 3 meeting on the screen. The next is long-term
- 4 survival.
- 5 (Dr. Raab, Dr. Buchwald and Dr.
- 6 Sugerman voted five; Dr. McNeil, Dr. O'Connell,

- 7 Dr. Weissberg, Dr. Abecaassis, Dr. Knapp, Dr. Owen
- 8 and Dr. Klein voted four; Dr. Margolis, Dr.
- 9 Goodman and Dr. Weiner voted three.)
- DR. DAVIS: Got it. The next is
- 11 short-term mortality.
- 12 (Dr. McNeil, Dr. Raab, Dr. Klein, Dr.
- 13 Buchwald and Dr. Sugerman voted five; Dr.
- 14 Margolis, Dr. Weiner, Dr. Weissberg, Dr. Knapp and
- 15 Dr. Owen voted four; Dr. Goodman, Dr. O'Connell
- and Dr. Abecaassis voted three.)
- DR. DAVIS: The next is comorbidities.
- 18 (Dr. Abecaassis, Dr. Knapp, Dr. Raab,
- 19 Dr. Klein, Dr. Buchwald and Dr. Sugerman voted
- 20 five; Dr. Margolis, Dr. Goodman, Dr. O'Connell,
- 21 Dr. Weiner, Dr. Weissberg and Dr. Owen voted four;
- 22 Dr. McNeil voted three.)
- DR. DAVIS: Got it, thank you.
- 24 Question number three, how likely is it that
- bariatric surgery, including RYGBP, banding, and

- 1 BPD, will positively affect the following outcomes
- 2 in obese patients with one or more comorbidities
- 3 compared to nonsurgical medical management, with
- 4 the response choices ranging from one, not likely,
- 5 to five, very likely. First for weight loss.
- 6 (Dr. McNeil, Dr. Goodman, Dr.
- 7 O'Connell, Dr. Weiner, Dr. Weissberg, Dr.
- 8 Abecaassis, Dr. Knapp, Dr. Owen, Dr. Raab, Dr.
- 9 Klein, Dr. Buchwald and Dr. Sugerman voted five;
- 10 Dr. Margolis voted four.)
- DR. DAVIS: Next, long-term survival.
- 12 (Dr. Abecaassis, Dr. Raab, Dr. Buchwald
- and Dr. Sugerman voted five; Dr. McNeil, Dr.
- 14 O'Connell, Dr. Weissberg, Dr. Knapp, Dr. Owen and
- 15 Dr. Klein voted four; Dr. Margolis and Dr. Goodman
- voted three.)

- DR. DAVIS: Next is short-term
- 18 mortality.
- 19 (Dr. McNeil, Dr. Raab, Dr. Buchwald and
- 20 Dr. Sugerman voted five; Dr. Margolis, Dr. Weiner,
- 21 Dr. Weissberg, Dr. Owen and Dr. Klein voted four;
- 22 Dr. Goodman, Dr. O'Connell, Dr. Abecaassis and Dr.
- 23 Knapp voted three.)
- DR. DAVIS: Next is comorbidities.
- 25 (Dr. McNeil, Dr. Goodman, Dr.

- 1 O'Connell, Dr. Weiner, Dr. Abecaassis, Dr. Knapp,
- 2 Dr. Raab, Dr. Klein, Dr. Buchwald and Dr. Sugerman
- 3 voted five; Dr. Margolis and Dr. Weissberg voted
- 4 four; Dr. Owen voted three.)
- 5 DR. DAVIS: Thank you. Question four:

- 6 How confident are you that the following bariatric
- 7 surgeries will produce a clinically important net
- 8 health benefit in the treatment of obese patients
- 9 with one or comorbidities? And there is a
- definition of net health benefit in the glossary
- at the bottom of the page as a reminder, balance
- between risks and benefits, including
- 13 complications of surgery. Response choices range
- 14 from one for no confidence up to five for high
- 15 confidence, and we'll have six votes for question
- 16 four. The first one is for RYGPB open.
- 17 (Dr. McNeil, Dr. O'Connell, Dr. Klein,
- 18 Dr. Buchwald and Dr. Sugerman voted five; Dr.
- 19 Margolis, Dr. Goodman, Dr. Weiner, Dr. Weissberg,
- 20 Dr. Abecaassis, Dr. Knapp, Dr. Owen and Dr. Raab
- voted four.)
- DR. DAVIS: Okay. Why don't we go row
- by row, and we'll do RYGBP lap next.
- 24 (Dr. McNeil, Dr. O'Connell, Dr.
- Weissberg, Dr. Abecaassis, Dr. Knapp, Dr. Raab,

- 1 Dr. Klein, Dr. Buchwald and Dr. Sugerman voted
- 2 five; Dr. Margolis, Dr. Goodman, Dr. Weiner and
- 3 Dr. Owen voted four.)
- 4 DR. DAVIS: Next is BPD open.
- 5 (Dr. Klein, Dr. Buchwald and Dr.
- 6 Sugerman voted five; Dr. McNeil, Dr. Margolis, Dr.
- 7 Goodman, Dr. O'Connell, Dr. Knapp, Dr. Owen and
- 8 Dr. Raab voted four; Dr. Weiner, Dr. Weissberg and
- 9 Dr. Abecaassis voted three.)
- DR. DAVIS: Next is BPD lap.
- 11 (Dr. Abecaassis, Dr. Knapp, Dr. Raab,
- 12 Dr. Klein, Dr. Buchwald and Dr. Sugerman voted
- 13 five; Dr. McNeil, Dr. Margolis, Dr. Goodman, Dr.
- 14 O'Connell, Dr. Weiner and Dr. Weissberg voted
- 15 four.)
- DR. DAVIS: Next is banding open.

- 17 (Dr. Klein, Dr. Buchwald and Dr.
- 18 Sugerman voted five; Dr. Margolis, Dr. O'Connell,
- 19 Dr. Weiner, Dr. Owen and Dr. Raab voted four; Dr.
- 20 McNeil, Dr. Goodman, Dr. Weissberg and Dr. Dr.
- 21 Knapp voted three; Dr. Abecaassis voted two.)
- DR. DAVIS: Last is banding lap.
- 23 (Dr. Abecaassis, Dr. Raab, Dr. Klein,
- 24 Dr. Buchwald and Dr. Sugerman voted five; Dr.
- 25 Margolis, Dr. O'Connell, Dr. Weissberg, Dr. Knapp

- and Dr. Owen voted four; Dr. McNeil, Dr. Goodman
- and Dr. Weiner voted three.)
- 3 DR. DAVIS: Thank you. Question five:
- 4 Based on the scientific evidence presented, how
- 5 likely is it that the results of bariatric surgery

- 6 in obese patients with one or more comorbidities
- 7 can be generalized to the Medicare population aged
- 8 65 and older? The response choices ranging from
- 9 one, not likely, to five, very likely.
- 10 (Dr. Klein, Dr. Buchwald and Dr.
- 11 Sugerman voted five; Dr. Weissberg, Dr.
- 12 Abecaassis, Dr. Knapp and Dr. Raab voted four; Dr.
- 13 Margolis and Dr. Owen voted three; Dr. McNeil, Dr.
- Goodman, Dr. O'Connell and Dr. Weiner voted two.)
- DR. DAVIS: And 5.B, the same question,
- 16 can be generalized to providers (facilities/
- 17 physicians) in community practice.
- 18 (Dr. Buchwald and Dr. Sugerman voted
- 19 five; Dr. Klein voted four; Dr. McNeil, Dr.
- 20 Margolis, Dr. Goodman, Dr. O'Connell, Dr. Weiner,
- 21 Dr. Weissberg, Dr. Abecaassis, Dr. Knapp and Dr.
- Raab voted three; Dr. Owen voted two.)
- DR. DAVIS: Thank you. And we will
- begin with the next set of questions and see how
- 25 far we get, depending on the outcome of number

- one, which some might predict the outcome of. So,
- 2 this next set of questions is for obese patients
- 3 without comorbidities. Question number one: How
- 4 well does the evidence address the effectiveness
- 5 of bariatric surgery in the treatment of obesity
- 6 in patients without comorbidities compared to
- 7 nonsurgical medical management? The response
- 8 choices range from one for poorly to five for very
- 9 well.
- 10 (Dr. Margolis, Dr. Weiner, Dr.
- 11 Weissberg, Dr. Abecaassis, Dr. Knapp and Dr.
- Buchwald voted two; Dr. McNeil, Dr. Goodman, Dr.
- O'Connell, Dr. Owen, Dr. Raab, Dr. Klein and Dr.
- 14 Sugerman voted one.)
- DR. BUCHWALD: So as we were discussing

16	before, if the panel is comfortable with this and
17	if CMS is comfortable with this, based on the
18	results from question number one for obese
19	patients without comorbidities, we can dispense
20	with the remainder of the questions. Is there
21	general assent among the committee members? And
22	Dr. Phurrough, on behalf of CMS, you're happy with
23	that?
24	DR. PHURROUGH: The committee has
25	spoken.

1	DR. WEINER: As long as the record
2	shows our concern.
3	DR. DAVIS: That's right. And of
4	course the full discussion has been transcribed,

- 5 will be available to CMS, will be posted on the
- 6 web, and so I think the committee's sentiments
- 7 about this will be part of the record. Yes, Mike?
- 8 DR. ABECAASSIS: You don't think it's
- 9 worth restating the concern as a matter of public
- 10 record?
- DR. DAVIS: What we should do now is as
- is customary for MCAC, is to go around the table
- and allow each person to make whatever comments he
- or she would like to explain his or her votes.
- 15 And that would provide an opportunity to express a
- 16 concern like the one you were referring to. So
- 17 why don't we proceed with that now, so Barbara,
- 18 sorry to pick on you.
- DR. MCNEIL: So, I guess I usually, I
- 20 sometimes vote a little harder than I did this
- 21 time, I am told, but I was really quite impressed
- with the data, so I thought that the outcomes were
- 23 fairly clearly and effectively improved by the
- various kinds of surgeries. I was less, really
- 25 less able to make decisions among the six

- 1 different types and that's why my votes went down.
- 2 And I didn't see any data whatsoever that made me
- 3 think that I could be absolutely certain that
- 4 these very same results would apply to the
- 5 Medicaid population over 65, or the providers at
- 6 large without a significant amount of monitoring
- 7 or training.
- 8 This looked to me like a good situation
- 9 where the data looked pretty compelling for lots
- of situations, but to go forward whole hog, we
- really have to thing critically about what we're
- going to do about monitoring, how we're going to
- define the patient populations better, how we're
- 14 going to define the sites better, and it seems to
- me there are a slew of ancillary questions that

16 CMS will have to think about that go way beyond the specific questions that we voted on just now. 17 DR. MARGOLIS: I don't really have all 18 19 that much more to add other than I think the data 20 has been well summarized multiple times already. 21 I mean, those summaries are available I guess 22 publicly, and they were reviewed and discussed today. My one overriding concern is just how the 23 patients were selected who had the current 24

procedures, and how that's going to influence the

25

- 1 outcomes in the future, but there's really no
- 2 great way to answer that right now with the
- 3 exception of perhaps the Sweden study, so you will
- 4 need to evaluate as you go forward.

- 5 DR. GOODMAN: And for most of these
- 6 questions, the preponderance of the evidence
- 7 outweighed the lack of rigor by which the evidence
- 8 was generated, so historically a big body of
- 9 evidence was built up, it wasn't built up in a
- 10 very rigorous way. If we had to do it all over
- again, there's probably a better way of doing it,
- but at this point it built up to be largely
- persuasive.
- 14 The second point is that we need to
- establish the capacity to capture the data for the
- 16 emerging populations of indication creep, which is
- inevitable.
- DR. O'CONNELL: A couple of things. I
- 19 have some reservations about the short-term
- 20 morbidity and mortality, I think it's
- 21 significantly under reported and I think we'll
- find as we go forward it's going to be a little
- higher. I like the data but I, again, did not
- 24 find anything to suggest we could move this to the
- 25 Medicare age group. I'm also a bit worried that

- 1 the community is not ready to take on the load, as
- 2 evidenced by the limited number of facilities,
- 3 certainly in my state, that are capable of doing
- 4 this major surgery. So, I'll just leave it at
- 5 that.
- 6 DR. WEINER: Again, I too underscore
- 7 everything that's been said, and just add a few
- 8 things. The provider community seems to be very
- 9 advanced in its thinking about quality and
- 10 evidence base and data collection, and I urge you
- 11 to continue in that way hopefully with some
- 12 cajoling from CMS. And the vote, my vote and
- everyone's vote was very low on the over 65; that
- 14 clearly must be a priority in terms of emphasize,

15	because there was some suggestion in some of the
16	literature that there could be some
17	counterbalancing negatives for that population.
18	I also do believe that the focus here
19	today and the focus of most of you is one
20	procedure, a few clear set of outcomes on a
21	patient who has clear-cut need, but we will need
22	to step back, and two issues I raise. One is the
23	broader, how this fits in with the broader
24	preventive in medical, and again, that wasn't our
25	charge today, but I know most of you focus on

- 1 that. It should be and will be Medicare's charge,
- 2 I know the administration here for four years more
- 3 makes that a high priority, and kudos to them for

- 4 that.
- 5 And then also the issue of training. I
- 6 think clearly the work force issues which I spent
- 7 some time on, and surgery and bariatric surgery, I
- 8 never quite put surgery and public health quite so
- 9 closely together as perhaps in this case, and I
- think we must look at that from the broader
- perspective, again, not exactly Medicare's charge,
- but Medicare by far is the biggest payer for
- training in this country, so perhaps the two
- should go together.
- DR. WEISSBERG: Sometimes when I walk
- into my office there's a manila envelope that's
- taped shut with a confidential stamp on it, and
- that represents a report of a serious adverse
- 19 event from somewhere in our health care system
- that happened to one of our 8.2 million members.
- 21 Five times in the past year there have been
- serious adverse events, including deaths, in
- bariatric surgery patients. Now given that we're
- doing between 1,000 and 2,000 a year, that's an
- 25 acceptable level of short-term mortality as judged

- 1 by what our surgeons reported in the literature.
- 2 But when I read the details of those cases, I see
- 3 opportunities for improvement and for driving that
- 4 level of mortality down even further. So, I'm
- 5 very concerned about the profession rapidly
- 6 getting up to gear in terms of policing itself,
- 7 sharing its knowledge, and standardizing to the
- 8 point of driving that short-term morbidity and
- 9 mortality down even further, and I'm very
- 10 confident that our government will be able to
- derive data as the population inevitably expands.
- DR. ABECAASSIS: I guess I just want to
- say sort of a cautionary note about -- and I
- 14 understand that our job is to evaluate the data

15	that exists, and make the best recommendations
16	based on the data, but I just want to go on the
17	record by saying that just because the data are
18	not there does not mean that some of these things
19	don't make a lot of sense. And the perfect two
20	examples of that are patients who are truly obese,
21	especially younger patients who are headed towards
22	terrible morbidities that, you know, under the
23	data that exist now, would not be candidates for
24	the procedure.

And the second is the population over

280

1 65. Again, just because there aren't a lot of

- 2 data on those patients, probably as a result of
- 3 lack of coverage for certain procedures, we should

- 4 not take that as meaning that the procedures don't
- 5 make sense. And the reason that I'm concerned
- 6 about this is that being in transplantation, I
- 7 know what it's like to deal with intermediaries
- 8 who decide on their own what the rules should be.
- 9 And unless it's clearly stated by CMS, you have
- 10 tremendous discrepancies in coverage on a
- geographic basis, and I think that that's wrong.
- DR. DAVIS: Mike, just to clarify, you
- might be talking about a young person with a BMI
- of 36 but no comorbidities?
- DR. ABECAASSIS: Yeah, that would be
- 16 it.
- DR. DAVIS: Thank you.
- DR. KNAPP: As a practicing rural
- 19 family doc, the thing important to me is not
- 20 necessarily all the reams and reams of data that
- 21 we were given to read, but the overall picture and
- actually what works for the patients. We've been
- presented with a number of procedures today, they
- all seem to work, they all seem to decrease the

1	will resemble anything compared to what we're
2	seeing ten years from now as far as procedures,
3	these may not even exist, but the idea is that it
4	does provide some hope for that. I'm the guy who
5	walks in and has to look at 30 people that are
6	grossly overweight every day in my office.
7	I think one of the problems with the
8	data on the Medicare patients who are over age 65
9	may be because of the morbidities associated with
10	that size and age group, we may have already
11	thinned the herd by the time they've gotten to age
12	65, to use a farm term. I think you're going to
13	see survivability go up remarkably in that age

14	group and I think you will have the data within a
15	number of years for the over age 65 group.

DR. OWEN: The area that I want to comment about specifically is external validity of the data. In many ways I have an ongoing concern that we're seeing center-specific effects in terms of what's presented here. I'm often reminded when you go to national meetings and you ask about performance measures like those in the search, you ask the audience, how many doctors prescribe beta blockers for their patients after an MI?

Everyone's hand goes up. And I'm fearful that of

- 1 course you're seeing the same thing in terms of
- 2 reporting bias in the literature and what's being

- 3 seen here. In other words, you're seeing best
- 4 experiences and best clinical practices. As this
- 5 unrolls, I am fearful we will see exactly what has
- 6 been seen in every other subspecialty when a new
- 7 procedure is introduced, and that is the
- 8 experience in the larger community differs quite
- 9 substantially from what has occurred in the past
- and what has been reported, so that's the area of
- 11 greatest concern.
- 12 And I recognize that the centers of
- excellence program is in place, it is admirable,
- 14 it is wonderful. Bit I also remind you that there
- is a wonderful paradigm of quality monitoring and
- 16 quality improvement which was actually mandated by
- 17 Congress and CMS in the Medicare program, and
- that's the end-stage renal disease network program
- which has been in place now for about 15 years.
- When data collection began in terms of looking at
- 21 performance of something as simple as dialyzing
- someone, 75 percent of the patients were found to
- 23 have inadequate dialysis. So caution is urged,
- and likewise, I urge a lot of rigor in terms of

13

283

1	clinical practices.
2	DR. RAAB: I don't want to repeat what
3	everyone's said about the evidence. I found it
4	compelling that the procedures had real impact
5	compared to nonsurgical interventions, nonsurgical
6	management, but I want to highlight that I was
7	most attentive during Barbara McNeil's queries as
8	to which procedure should be chosen for which
9	patient. I think that's fairly troublesome, and
10	it calls for the need for more long-term
11	information, perhaps registries.

DR. KLEIN: I have recently become a

geriatrician at my hospital and you know, our

14	population is getting older, and the prevalence of
15	obesity in the elderly population 65 and over is
16	increasing dramatically. Now obesity has become
17	the most important cause of frailty in the elderly
18	and it's a leading cause for nursing home
19	admissions. And so I think having a procedure
20	that's low risk like potentially the gastric
21	banding procedure, that will improve
22	functionality, is critically important, and one of
23	our end points should be not just looking at
24	weight loss and the typical comorbidities in the
25	elderly population, but really their ability to

- 1 function, which requires a different paradigm
- 2 completely in looking at outcome measures.

- 3 DR. BUCHWALD: First of all, I would
- 4 like to plead that we all work together to provide
- 5 the missing data ,and I think we shall. And then
- 6 I just want to thank Medicare for having this
- 7 conference, for giving a voice to the bariatric
- 8 surgical community, and for even having some of us
- 9 sit up here. Thank you.
- DR. SUGERMAN: I too want to express my
- thanks and say how impressed I have been with this
- process, and I hope that the discussions that we
- have had amongst ourselves and the people who
- presented will be weighed very carefully by
- 15 Medicare, and consideration be given to how best
- 16 to equalize access for all of our patients who
- 17 need this surgery so desperately across the
- 18 country.
- DR DAVIS: Yes, please.
- DR. RABKIN: Dr. Davis, I want to tell
- 21 you how impressed I am by the panel, but I did
- want to do one little pesky thing, which is to
- 23 clarify for the record the nomenclature, which I

- believe one of the questions referred to BPD, and
- 25 the data today that was given referred to the

- 1 duodenal switch, and it's my belief that the panel
- 2 members felt that what they were talking about
- 3 labeled BPD included the duodenal switch.
- 4 DR. DAVIS: Is there general agreement
- 5 with that? I see a lot of nodding of heads around
- 6 the table. Thank you for that clarification.
- 7 I would just like to extend kudos to
- 8 members of the committee, CMS staff, and all of
- 9 the presenters for their outstanding participation
- in today's proceedings and in the preparation for
- it, and I will now turn things over to Kim Long
- 12 and Dr. Phurrough to close off the meeting.

13	DR. PHURROUGH: I want to add my thanks
14	both to committee members who do this is not a
15	simple task. We sent you lots of stuff and it was
16	obvious that you spent some time on it, and we
17	appreciate that. I thank the guest panelists for
18	agreeing to be part of this. Our goal is to have
19	good quality clinicians to provide advice to our
20	panelists who in many cases are good
21	methodologists but may not have specific clinical
22	knowledge of the specific process, though we give
23	them enough stuff to learn a good bit about it.
24	So we have a history of doing this and we will
25	continue it.

- 2 present, both those who were formal presenters and
- 3 those who chose to present today. I want to
- 4 specifically thank Dr. Pories for his comment
- 5 about Mama Michelle here.
- 6 MS. LONG: Kim.
- 7 DR. PHURROUGH: Kim, excuse me. Dr.
- 8 Pories wanted about a couple of hours, in fact
- 9 he'd like to have had all day, and we just
- 10 couldn't do it. So there was this back and forth
- 11 to get down to what we thought was an adequate
- amount of time, about an hour, 45 minutes to an
- 13 hour, and you did a superb job in coming down to
- that. So I think the panel was well served by
- 15 this interaction that went on to get this down to
- 16 a small amount of time. But we do appreciate all
- of those who spent their time and the effort to
- 18 come and assist us today.
- Now we have had the question and folks
- 20 have asked, what's the next step? Well, we have
- 21 several options. First, it's do nothing. Every
- 22 Medicare beneficiary today who has any comorbidity
- and is obese can have surgery, nothing prevents

- 24 that from happening in our current policy. You
- 25 meet all the indications, surgeons are competent

- 1 in doing it, you can do that surgery.
- Now, we do have various levels of rules
- 3 that are established by our various contractors
- 4 and so we could open an NCD and become more
- 5 specific in our details around who is and isn't
- 6 covered, more specific around what are the
- 7 appropriate comorbidities and so forth.
- 8 We could open a coverage decision and
- 9 say we're going to cover less, based upon some of
- 10 the discussions that we've had today, and we could
- open a coverage decision that says we're going to
- 12 cover more.

13	So those are all options. So what our
14	expectation internally is that we're going to take
15	the recommendations and the information that has
16	been provided to us today and internally discuss
17	whether in fact we should have some change in our
18	current coverage decision.
19	Now, we in general do coverage
20	decisions, or we initiate coverage decisions in
21	two manners. One is, we decide internally to
22	readdress coverage decisions. The most common way
23	that we change our coverage decisions or have new
24	coverage decisions is someone asks us to do that,
25	and we have a fairly well defined process, you

- 2 And anyone, and I mean anyone, from a beneficiary
- 3 to a provider to a company to an organization, in
- 4 fact it was CDC who had us remove the obesity
- 5 language, or who asked us to remove the obesity
- 6 language, can request that we modify our current
- 7 coverage process to something else. And so we
- 8 would certainly be interested in hearing from
- 9 someone who is interested in us changing our
- 10 policy. We in fact think that's the best way to
- do it, because then we have some public interest
- in having that done and it's always helpful that
- 13 the public is interested in what we're doing
- rather than our deciding on our own to do things.
- 15 That always goes over better with the public.
- So those are our next processes, and so
- we will be reviewing this information, though we
- 18 certainly encourage folks who are interested in us
- 19 having a different policy to request that we
- 20 change that.
- So with that, thank you again for your
- time, and Kim, you have some final, or Michelle,

- you have some final comments? I can't even
- remember who I'm talking to.
- MS. LONG: I want to thank everyone

- also, and if somebody would move to adjourn, we
- 2 can end the meeting.
- 3 DR. WEISSBERG: Move to adjourn.
- 4 MS. LONG: Second?
- 5 DR. O'CONNELL: Second.
- 6 MS. LONG: We are adjourned.
- 7 (Whereupon, the meeting adjourned at
- 8 3:45 p.m.)

9

10